arXiv:1304.0323v1 [math.RT] 1 Apr 2013

SYMMETRIC QUIVER HECKE ALGEBRAS AND R-MATRICES OF QUANTUM AFFINE ALGEBRAS

SEOK-JIN KANG[1], MASAKI KASHIWARA[2], MYUNGHO KIM[3]

Abstract. Let J be a set of pairs consisting of good $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules and invertible elements in the base field $\mathbb{C}(q)$. The distribution of poles of normalized R-matrices yields Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras $R^J(\beta)$ for each $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$. We define a functor $\mathcal{F}_\beta$ from the category of graded $R^J(\beta)$-modules to the category of $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. The functor $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+} \mathcal{F}_\beta$ sends convolution products of finite-dimensional graded $R^J(\beta)$-modules to tensor products of finite-dimensional $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. It is exact if R^J is of finite type A, D, E. If $V(\varpi_1)$ is the fundamental representation of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$ of weight ϖ_1 and $J = \big\{ \big(V(\varpi_1), q^{2i}\big) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \big\}$, then R^J is the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra of type A_∞. The corresponding functor $\mathcal{F}$ sends a finite-dimensional graded R^J-module to a module in $\mathcal{C}_J$, where $\mathcal{C}_J$ is the category of finite-dimensional integrable $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-modules M such that every composition factor of M appears as a composition factor of a tensor product of modules of the form $V(\varpi_1)_{q^{2s}}$ $(s \in \mathbb{Z})$. Focusing on this case, we obtain an abelian rigid graded tensor category $\mathcal{T}_J$ by localizing the category of finite-dimensional graded R^J-modules. The functor $\mathcal{F}$ factors through $\mathcal{T}_J$. Moreover, the Grothendieck ring of the category $\mathcal{C}_J$ is isomorphic to the Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{T}_J$ at $q = 1$.

Contents

Introduction 2
Convention 6
1. Quantum groups and Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras 6
1.1. Cartan datum 6
1.2. Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras 8
1.3. R-matrices for Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras 11

Date: April 1, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81R50, 16G, 16T25,17B37.

Key words and phrases. Quantum affine algebra, Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra, Quantum group, R-matrix, Schur-Weyl duality.

[1] This work was supported by NRF Grant # 2012-005700 and NRF Grant # 2011-0027952.

[2] This work was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 22340005, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

[3] This work was partially supported by NRF Grant # 2012-005700.

1.4. R-matrices with spectral parameters 13
1.5. R-matrices for one-dimensional modules 19
1.6. Uniqueness of R-matrices 20
2. Quantum affine algebras and their representations 21
2.1. Quantum affine algebras 21
2.2. R-matrices 25
3. Affine Schur-Weyl duality via Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras 27
3.1. Action of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras on $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$ 27
3.2. The Functor $\mathcal{F}$ 30
3.3. Exactness of the functor $\mathcal{F}$ 32
4. Quantum affine algebra $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$ and the category $\mathcal{C}_J$ 34
4.1. R-matrix for $V(\varpi_1)$ of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$ 34
4.2. KLR-modules in A case 35
4.3. Properties of the functor $\mathcal{F}$ 43
4.4. Quotient of the category R-gmod 46
4.5. The category $\mathcal{T}_J'$ 47
4.6. Rigidity of the tensor categories $\mathcal{T}_J'$ and $\mathcal{T}_J$ 54
4.7. The Category $\mathcal{C}_J$ 62
Appendix A. Localization 64
A.1. Tensor category 64
A.2. Adjunction and Quasi-adjunction 66
A.3. Central objects 68
A.4. Commuting family of objects 68
A.5. Localization 70
A.6. Localization of tensor categories 71
A.7. Graded case 73
A.8. Twisting 77
Appendix B. Quotient categories 77
B.1. Serre category 77
References 79

Introduction

The *Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras* (sometimes called the *quiver Hecke algebras*) are a family of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebras which *categorifies* the negative half of a quantum group ([21, 22, 29]). More precisely, if $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a quantum group associated with a symmetrizable Cartan datum, then there exists a family of algebras $\{R(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ such that the Grothendieck group of the direct sum $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} R(n)$-gproj of the categories of finitely generated projective graded $R(n)$-modules is isomorphic to the integral form

$U^-_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the negative half of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Here, the multiplication of the Grothendieck group is given by the convolution product and the action of q is given by the grading shift functor. Moreover, the cyclotomic quotient $R^\Lambda(n)$ of $R(n)$ provides a categorification of the integrable highest weight module $V(\Lambda)$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ ([13]).

One of the motivations of these categorification theorems originated from the so-called *LLT-Ariki theory*. In 1996, Lascoux-Leclerc-Thibon ([24]) conjectured that the irreducible representations of Hecke algebras of type A are controlled by the upper global basis ([15, 16]) (or dual canonical basis ([26])) of the basic representation of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(A^{(1)}_{N-1})$. Soon after, Ariki proved this conjecture by showing that the cyclotomic quotients of affine Hecke algebras categorify the irreducible highest weight modules over $U(A^{(1)}_{N-1})$, the universal enveloping algebra of affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $A^{(1)}_{N-1}$. In [4, 29], Brundan-Kleshchev and Rouquier showed that the affine Hecke algebra of type A is isomorphic to the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra of type A or of type A_∞ up to a specialization and a localization. Thus the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras can be understood as a graded version of the affine Hecke algebras of type A and Kang-Kashiwara's cyclotomic categorification theorem is a generalization of Ariki's theorem on type A and A_∞ to all symmetrizable Cartan datum.

The purpose of this paper is to show that the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra can be regarded as a generalization of the affine Hecke algebra of type A in another context: the *quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality*. In [11], M. Jimbo extended the classical Schur-Weyl duality to the quantum case: the duality between the category of finite-dimensional modules over the Hecke algebra $H_q(n)$ and the category of finite-dimensional modules over the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. In [6, 7, 9], Chari-Pressley, Cherednik and Ginzburg-Reshetikhin-Vasserot introduced a quantum affine version of Schur-Weyl duality: they defined a functor between the category of finite-dimensional modules over the affine Hecke algebra $H^{\mathrm{aff}}_q(n)$ and the category of finite-dimensional integrable modules over $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$.

There are two key ingredients in defining this functor: (1) the R-matrices on the n-fold tensor product of the fundamental representation $V(\varpi_1)$ which satisfy the Yang-Baxter equations, (2) a set of elements in $H^{\mathrm{aff}}_q(n)$, called the *intertwiners*, which satisfy the braid relations. Roughly speaking, by assigning intertwiners to R-matrices, we obtain the quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality functor.

Now it is quite natural to ask whether this functor can be generalized to the case of quantum affine algebras $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ of other types or not. Our answer to this question can be explained in the following way.

Let $\{V_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ be a family of good $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules and let J be a subset of $\mathcal{S}\times\mathbb{C}(q)^\times$. An element $i\in J$ is denoted by $i=(S(i),X(i))$. We define a quiver Γ_J as follows:

1) The set of vertices is taken to be J.

2) For $i, j \in J$, let

$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V_{S(i)},V_{S(j)}} \colon (V_{S(i)})_u \otimes (V_{S(j)})_v \to (V_{S(j)})_v \otimes (V_{S(i)})_u$$

be the normalized R-matrix. We put d many arrows from i to j where d is the order of poles of $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V_{S(i)},V_{S(j)}}(v/u)$ at $v/u = X(j)/X(i)$.

Then the quiver Γ_J defines a Cartan datum $(A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in J}, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$, where A is determined by (3.1.4). Hence we obtain a Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra $R^J(\beta)$ ($\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$) with the parameters $Q_{i,j}(u,v) = \delta(i \neq j)(u-v)^{d_{ij}}(v-u)^{d_{ji}} \in \mathbf{k}[u,v]$. Here, $\mathsf{Q}^+ = \sum_{i\in J} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$ denotes the positive root lattice.

Let $\beta = \sum_{i\in J} k_i\alpha_i \in \mathsf{Q}^+$ with $|\beta| := \sum_{i\in J} k_i = n$ and let $J^\beta = \{\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in J^n\,;\, \alpha_{\nu_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{\nu_n} = \beta\}$. For each sequence $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in J^\beta$, set

$$V_\nu = (V_{S(\nu_1)})_{\mathrm{aff}} \otimes \cdots \otimes (V_{S(\nu_n)})_{\mathrm{aff}}$$

and let $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$ be a certain completion of $\bigoplus_{\nu\in J^\beta} V_\nu$. Then $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$ is endowed with a structure of $(U_q'(\mathfrak{g}), R^J(\beta))$-bimodule. Hence we can define a functor

$$\mathcal{F}_\beta \colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{gr}}(R^J(\beta)) \to \mathrm{Mod}(U_q'(\mathfrak{g}))$$

by

$$M \longmapsto \widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta} \otimes_{R^J(\beta)} M,$$

where $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{gr}}(R^J(\beta))$ denotes the category of graded $R^J(\beta)$-modules and $\mathrm{Mod}(U_q'(\mathfrak{g}))$ denotes the category of $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. We would like to emphasize that, in general, the type of the quiver Γ_J is irrelevant to the type of the affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ or its underlying finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

We denote by $R^J(\beta)$-gmod the category of finite-dimensional graded $R^J(\beta)$-modules and set R^J-gmod $= \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathsf{Q}^+} R^J(\beta)$-gmod. We also denote by $\mathscr{C}_\mathfrak{g}$ the category of finite-dimensional integrable $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules.

The functor $\mathcal{F}_\beta$ enjoys two important properties:

(1) $\mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathsf{Q}^+} \mathcal{F}_\beta$ is a tensor functor; i.e., $\mathcal{F}$ sends a convolution product of objects in R^J-gmod to a tensor product of $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules in $\mathscr{C}_\mathfrak{g}$.

(2) $\mathcal{F}_\beta$ is exact if $R^J(\beta)$ is of finite type A, D, E.

As the first step toward the understanding of $\mathcal{F}$, we investigate the case when $J = \{(V(\varpi_1), q^{2i}) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ in detail, where $V(\varpi_1)$ is the fundamental representation of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$ of weight ϖ_1. Then, by the construction, the corresponding Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra is of type A_∞ and the functor $\mathcal{F}_n := \bigoplus_{|\beta|=n} \mathcal{F}_\beta$ recovers the quantum affine Schur-Weyl duality functor if we identify the objects in $R^J(n)$-gmod :=

$\bigoplus_{|\beta|=n} R^J(\beta)$-gmod with finite-dimensional $H_q^{\rm aff}(n)$-modules in an appropriate way ([23, 29]).

Let $\mathcal{A} = R^J$-gmod and let $\mathcal{C}_J$ be the full subcategory of $\mathscr{C}_\mathfrak{g}$ consisting of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-modules M such that every composition factor of M appears as a composition factor of a tensor product of modules of the form $V(\varpi_1)_{q^{2s}}$ $(s \in \mathbb{Z})$. Then $\mathcal{C}_J$ is an abelian category and is stable under taking submodules, quotients, extensions and tensor products. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_J$ contains all the modules of the form $V(\varpi_i)_{(-q)^s}$ for $1 \le i \le N-1$ and $s \in i-1+2\mathbb{Z}$ (see § 4.7). Then $\mathcal{F}$ restricts to a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{C}_J$.

Next, we construct a tensor category $\mathcal{T}_J$ in two steps. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the kernel of the functor $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is a Serre subcategory $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{A}$. The quotient category $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ has a structure of a tensor category with the convolution as tensor product. Secondly, we localize the category $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ one step further to obtain a category $\mathcal{T}_J$, where the R^J-modules mapped to the trivial representations of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$ by $\mathcal{F}$ are isomorphic to the unit object in $\mathcal{T}_J$. We then show that the category $\mathcal{T}_J$ is an abelian rigid tensor category; i.e., every object in $\mathcal{T}_J$ has a right dual and a left dual. To prove our assertions, we develop a general process of localization of tensor categories through a *commuting family of central objects*. We summarize this process in Appendix A.

Moreover, the functor $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}_J$ factors through the category $\mathcal{T}_J$. Hence, roughly speaking, $\mathcal{T}_J$ can be regarded as a graded version of $\mathcal{C}_J$. Note that the category $\mathcal{T}_J$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded (i.e., endowed with a grading shift functor), while the category $\mathcal{C}_J$ is not. Thus the structure of the category $\mathcal{T}_J$ is definitely richer than that of $\mathcal{C}_J$.

Our main result shows that $\mathcal{F}$ induces a ring isomorphism between $K(\mathcal{T}_J)_{q=1}$, the Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{T}_J$ forgetting the grading and $K(\mathcal{C}_J)$, the Grothendieck ring of the category $\mathcal{C}_J$. Therefore $\mathcal{T}_J$ provides a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded rigid tensor categorification of $\mathcal{C}_J$.

As we have seen so far, even the simplest case yields many interesting and deep applications of the functor $\mathcal{F}$. We expect that a lot more exciting developments will follow as we take various choices of the affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and J.

This paper is organized as follows. In the first section, we recall the notions of quantum groups and Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras. Next, we introduce the R-matrices for Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras and study their basic properties. In the second section, we recall the quantum affine algebras and their representation theory. The notion of normalized R-matrices are also recalled. In the third section, we define an $(R^J(\beta)), U_q'(\mathfrak{g}))$-bimodule $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$ and a functor $\mathcal{F}_\beta$ between the category of graded $R^J(\beta)$-modules and the category of $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. In the last section, we construct a category $\mathcal{T}_J$ and investigate its fundamental properties. In the appendices, we gather necessary lemmas and propositions on the localization of tensor categories and the quotient categories of an abelian category by a Serre subcategory.

Acknowledgements. We would like to express our gratitude to Bernard Leclerc for his kind explanations of his works and many fruitful discussions. The first and the third author gratefully acknowledge the hospitality of RIMS (Kyoto) during their visit in 2011 and 2012.

Convention

(i) All the algebras and rings in this paper are assumed to have a unit, and modules over them are unitary.
(ii) For a ring A, A^{opp} denotes the opposite ring of A.
(iii) For a ring A, an A-module means a left A-module.
(iv) For a statement P, $\delta(P)$ is 1 if P is true and 0 if P is false.
(v) For a ring A, we denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(A)$ the category of A-modules. We denote by A-proj the category of finitely generated projective A-modules. When A is an algebra over a field $\mathbf{k}$, we denote by A-mod the category of A-modules which are finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}$.

If A is a graded ring, then we denote by $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{gr}}(A)$, A-gproj, A-gmod their graded version with homomorphism preserving the grading as morphisms. They are also exact categories.

(vi) For a ring A, we denote by $A^{\times}$ the set of invertible elements of A.

1. Quantum groups and Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras

1.1. **Cartan datum.** In this subsection, we recall the definition of quantum groups. Let I be an index set. A *Cartan datum* is a quintuple $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ consisting of

(a) an integer-valued matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$, called the *symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix*, which satisfies
  (i) $a_{ii} = 2$ $(i \in I)$,
  (ii) $a_{ij} \le 0$ $(i \neq j)$,
  (iii) $a_{ij} = 0$ if $a_{ji} = 0$ $(i, j \in I)$,
  (iv) there exists a diagonal matrix $D = \mathrm{diag}(\mathsf{s}_i \mid i \in I)$ such that DA is symmetric, and s_i are positive integers.
(b) a free abelian group P, called the *weight lattice*,
(c) $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \in P \mid \ i \in I\}$, called the set of *simple roots*,
(d) $P^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}(P, \mathbb{Z})$, called the *co-weight lattice*,
(e) $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i \mid i \in I\} \subset P^\vee$, called the set of *simple coroots*,

satisfying the following properties:
  (i) $\langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle = a_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in I$,
  (ii) Π is linearly independent,
  (iii) for each $i \in I$, there exists $\Lambda_i \in P$ such that $\langle h_j, \Lambda_i\rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for all $j \in I$.

We call Λ_i the *fundamental weights*. The free abelian group $\mathsf{Q}:=\bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ is called the *root lattice*. Set $\mathsf{Q}^+ = \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\alpha_i \subset \mathsf{Q}$ and $\mathsf{Q}^- = \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}\alpha_i \subset \mathsf{Q}$. For $\beta = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\alpha_i \in \mathsf{Q}$, we set $|\beta| = \sum_{i\in I} |m_i|$.

Set $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P^\vee$. Then there exists a symmetric bilinear form $(\quad,\quad)$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ satisfying

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = \mathsf{s}_i a_{ij} \quad (i, j \in I) \quad \text{and } \langle h_i, \lambda\rangle = \frac{2(\alpha_i, \lambda)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \text{ for any } \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \text{ and } i \in I.$$

Let q be an indeterminate. For each $i \in I$, set $q_i = q^{\mathsf{s}_i}$.

Definition 1.1.1. *The* quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ *associated with a Cartan datum* $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ *is the algebra over* $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ *generated by* e_i, f_i $(i \in I)$ *and* q^h $(h \in P^\vee)$ *satisfying following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^0 = 1,\ q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'} \quad \textit{for } h, h' \in P,\\
&q^h e_i q^{-h} = q^{\langle h,\alpha_i\rangle} e_i, \quad q^h f_i q^{-h} = q^{-\langle h,\alpha_i\rangle} f_i \quad \textit{for } h \in P^\vee, i \in I,\\
&e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \quad \textit{where } K_i = q^{\mathsf{s}_i h_i},\\
&\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i e_i^{1-a_{ij}-r} e_j e_i^r = 0 \quad \textit{if } i \neq j,\\
&\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i f_i^{1-a_{ij}-r} f_j f_i^r = 0 \quad \textit{if } i \neq j.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we set $[n]_i = \dfrac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$, $[n]_i! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_i$ and $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i = \dfrac{[m]_i!}{[m-n]_i![n]_i!}$ for each $m, n \in Z_{\geq 0}$, $i \in I$.

We have a comultiplication $\Delta\colon U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ given by

$$\Delta(q^h) = q^h \otimes q^h, \qquad \Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes e_i, \qquad \Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes f_i.$$

Let $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$) be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_i's (resp. f_i's), and let $U_q^0(\mathfrak{g})$ be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by q^h $(h \in P^\vee)$. Then we have the *triangular decomposition*

$$U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}),$$

and the *weight space decomposition*

$$U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathsf{Q}} U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\beta,$$

where $U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\beta := \big\{x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \mid q^h x q^{-h} = q^{(h,\beta)} x \text{ for any } h \in P\big\}$.

Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ and set

$$e_i^{(n)} = e_i^n/[n]_i!, \quad f_i^{(n)} = f_i^n/[n]_i! \ \ (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

We define the **A**-form $U_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the **A**-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $e_i^{(n)}$, $f_i^{(n)}$ $(i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0})$, q^h $(h \in P^\vee)$. Let $U_{\mathbf{A}}^{+}(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_{\mathbf{A}}^{-}(\mathfrak{g})$) be the **A**-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $e_i^{(n)}$ (resp. $f_i^{(n)}$) for $i \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$.

1.2. **Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras.**

Now we recall the definition of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras associated with a given Cartan datum $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$.

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a commutative ring. For $i, j \in I$ such that $i \neq j$, set

$$S_{i,j} = \left\{(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^2 \mid (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)p + (\alpha_j, \alpha_j)q = -2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\right\}.$$

Let us take a family of polynomials $(Q_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ in $\mathbf{k}[u,v]$ which are of the form

$$Q_{ij}(u,v) = \begin{cases} \qquad 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ \sum\limits_{(p,q) \in S_{i,j}} t_{i,j;p,q} u^p v^q & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \tag{1.2.1}$$

with $t_{i,j;p,q} \in \mathbf{k}$. We assume that they satisfy $t_{i,j;p,q} = t_{j,i;q,p}$ (equivalently, $Q_{i,j}(u,v) = Q_{j,i}(v,u)$) and $t_{i,j:-a_{ij},0} \in \mathbf{k}^\times$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_n = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1} \rangle$ the symmetric group on n letters, where $s_i := (i, i+1)$ is the transposition of i and $i+1$. Then $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts on I^n by place permutations.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ and $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}_+$ such that $|\beta| = n$, we set

$$I^\beta = \{\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in I^n \mid \alpha_{\nu_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{\nu_n} = \beta\}.$$

Definition 1.2.1. *For $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$ with $|\beta| = n$, the* Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra *$R(\beta)$ at β associated with a Cartan datum $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ and a matrix $(Q_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ is the algebra over $\mathbf{k}$ generated by the elements $\{e(\nu)\}_{\nu \in I^\beta}$, $\{x_k\}_{1 \le k \le n}$, $\{\tau_m\}_{1 \le m \le n-1}$ satisfying the following defining relations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& e(\nu)e(\nu') = \delta_{\nu,\nu'} e(\nu), \quad \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta} e(\nu) = 1, \\
& x_k x_m = x_m x_k, \quad x_k e(\nu) = e(\nu) x_k, \\
& \tau_m e(\nu) = e(s_m(\nu)) \tau_m, \quad \tau_k \tau_m = \tau_m \tau_k \quad \text{if } |k - m| > 1, \\
& \tau_k^2 e(\nu) = Q_{\nu_k, \nu_{k+1}}(x_k, x_{k+1}) e(\nu), \\
& (\tau_k x_m - x_{s_k(m)} \tau_k) e(\nu) = \begin{cases} -e(\nu) & \text{if } m = k, \nu_k = \nu_{k+1}, \\ e(\nu) & \text{if } m = k+1, \nu_k = \nu_{k+1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
& (\tau_{k+1} \tau_k \tau_{k+1} - \tau_k \tau_{k+1} \tau_k) e(\nu) \\
& \quad = \begin{cases} \dfrac{Q_{\nu_k, \nu_{k+1}}(x_k, x_{k+1}) - Q_{\nu_k, \nu_{k+1}}(x_{k+2}, x_{k+1})}{x_k - x_{k+2}} e(\nu) & \text{if } \nu_k = \nu_{k+2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

The above relations are homogeneous provided with

$$\deg e(\nu) = 0, \quad \deg\, x_k e(\nu) = (\alpha_{\nu_k}, \alpha_{\nu_k}), \quad \deg\, \tau_l e(\nu) = -(\alpha_{\nu_l}, \alpha_{\nu_{l+1}}),$$

and hence $R(\beta)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra.

For an element w of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$, let us choose a reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$, and set

$$\tau_w = \tau_{i_1} \cdots \tau_{i_\ell}.$$

In general, it depends on the choice of reduced expressions of w (see Lemma 1.4.2). Then we have

$$R(\beta) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in I^\beta,\, w \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \mathbf{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] e(\nu) \tau_w.$$

For a graded $R(\beta)$-module $M = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} M_k$, we define $qM = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (qM)_k$, where

$$(qM)_k = M_{k-1} \ (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We call q the *grading-shift functor* on the category of graded $R(\beta)$-modules.

We denote by $R(\beta)$-gproj the category of finitely generated projective graded $R(\beta)$-modules and denote by $R(\beta)$-gmod the category of graded $R(\beta)$-modules which are finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}$. We also denote by $R(\beta)$-mod the category of ungraded $R(\beta)$-modules which are finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Mod}(R(n)) &:= \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta\in \mathsf{Q}^+,\\ |\beta|=n}} \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta)), & \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{gr}}(R(n)) &:= \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta\in \mathsf{Q}^+,\\ |\beta|=n}} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{gr}}(R(\beta)),\\
R(n)\text{-proj} &:= \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta\in \mathsf{Q}^+,\\ |\beta|=n}} R(\beta)\text{-proj}, & R(n)\text{-gproj} &:= \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta\in \mathsf{Q}^+,\\ |\beta|=n}} R(\beta)\text{-gproj},\\
R(n)\text{-mod} &:= \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta\in \mathsf{Q}^+,\\ |\beta|=n}} R(\beta)\text{-mod}, & R(n)\text{-gmod} &:= \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta\in \mathsf{Q}^+,\\ |\beta|=n}} R(\beta)\text{-gmod}.
\end{aligned}$$

We sometimes say that an object of $\mathrm{Mod}(R(n))$ is an $R(n)$-module. Similarly, we say that an object of $\bigoplus\limits_{\beta\in\mathsf{Q}^+} \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta))$ is an R-module, etc.

For $\beta, \gamma \in \mathsf{Q}^+$ with $|\beta| = m$, $|\gamma| = n$, set

$$e(\beta, \gamma) = \sum_{\substack{\nu\in I^{\beta+\gamma},\\ (\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_m)\in I^\beta}} e(\nu) \in R(\beta + \gamma).$$

Then $e(\beta, \gamma)$ is an idempotent. Let

$$R(\beta) \otimes R(\gamma) \to e(\beta, \gamma) R(\beta + \gamma) e(\beta, \gamma) \tag{1.2.2}$$

be the $\mathbf{k}$-algebra homomorphism given by $e(\mu)\otimes e(\nu)\mapsto e(\mu*\nu)$ ($\mu\in I^\beta$ and $\nu\in I^\gamma$) $x_k\otimes 1\mapsto x_k e(\beta,\gamma)$ $(1\le k\le m)$, $1\otimes x_k\mapsto x_{m+k}e(\beta,\gamma)$ $(1\le k\le n)$, $\tau_k\otimes 1\mapsto \tau_k e(\beta,\gamma)$ $(1\le k<m)$, $1\otimes\tau_k\mapsto\tau_{m+k}e(\beta,\gamma)$ $(1\le k<n)$. Here $\mu*\nu$ is the concatenation of μ and ν; i.e., $\mu*\nu=(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_m,\nu_1,\dots,\nu_n)$.

For $a\in R(\beta)$ and $b\in R(\gamma)$, we denote by $a\boxtimes b$ the image of $a\otimes b$ under this homomorphism. Hence for example, $\tau_1 e(\beta)\boxtimes\tau_1 e(\gamma)=\tau_1\tau_{m+1}e(\beta,\gamma)$. The whole image of $R(\beta)\otimes R(\gamma)\to e(\beta,\gamma)R(\beta+\gamma)e(\beta,\gamma)$ is denoted by $R(\beta,\gamma)$.

For a graded $R(\beta)$-module M and a graded $R(\gamma)$-module N, we define the *convolution product* $M\circ N$ by

$$M\circ N=R(\beta+\gamma)e(\beta,\gamma)\underset{R(\beta)\otimes R(\gamma)}{\otimes}(M\otimes N).$$

We sometimes denote by $M\boxtimes N$ the module $M\otimes N$ regarded as an $R(\beta,\gamma)$-submodule of $M\circ N$.

For $M\in R(\beta)$-mod, the dual space

$$M^*:=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(M,\mathbf{k})$$

admits an $R(\beta)$-module structure via

$$(r\cdot f)(u):=f(\psi(r)u)\quad (r\in R(\beta),\ u\in M),$$

where ψ denotes the $\mathbf{k}$-algebra anti-involution on $R(\beta)$ which fixes the generators $e(\nu)$, x_m and τ_k for $\nu\in I^\beta, 1\le m\le|\beta|$ and $1\le k\le|\beta|-1$.

It is known that (see [25, Theorem 2.2 (2)])

$$(M_1\circ M_2)^*\simeq q^{(\beta,\gamma)}(M_2^*\circ M_1^*) \tag{1.2.3}$$

for any $M_1\in R(\beta)$-gmod and $M_2\in R(\gamma)$-gmod.

Let us denote by $K(\mathrm{R}(\beta)\text{-gproj})$ and $K(\mathrm{R}(\beta)\text{-gmod})$ the corresponding Grothendieck groups. Then, $\bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathsf{Q}^+}K(R(\beta)\text{-gproj})$ and $\bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathsf{Q}^+}K(R(\beta)\text{-gmod})$ are $\mathbf{A}$-algebras with the multiplication induced by the convolution product and the $\mathbf{A}$-action induced by the grading shift functor q.

In [21, 29], it is shown that Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras *categorify* the negative half of the corresponding quantum group. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2.2 ([21, 29]). *For a given Cartan datum $(A,P,\Pi,P^\vee,\Pi^\vee)$, we take a parameter matrix $(Q_{ij})_{i,j\in J}$ satisfying the conditions in (1.2.1), and let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $R(\beta)$ be the associated quantum group and Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra, respectively. Then there exists an $\mathbf{A}$-algebra isomorphism*

$$U_{\mathbf{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})\simeq\bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathsf{Q}^+}K(R(\beta)\text{-gproj}). \tag{1.2.4}$$

By duality, we have

$$U_{\mathbf{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})^\vee \simeq \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathsf{Q}^+} K(R(\beta)\text{-gmod}), \tag{1.2.5}$$

where $U_{\mathbf{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})^\vee := \{x \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \mid (x, U_{\mathbf{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}))_- \subset \mathbf{A}\}$ *and* $(\quad,\quad)_-$ *denotes the non-degenerate bilinear form on* $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ *defined in* [16, § 3].

The Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras also categorify the global bases. For the definition of global bases, see [16].

Theorem 1.2.3 ([32, 30])**.** *Assume that A is symmetric and that $Q_{i,j}(u,v)$ is a polynomial in $u-v$. Then under the isomorphism in* Theorem 1.2.2*, the lower global basis (respectively, upper global basis) corresponds to the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective modules (respectively, the set of isomorphism classes of simple modules).*

1.3. R-matrices for Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras.

1.3.1. *Intertwiners.* For $|\beta| = n$ and $1 \le a < n$, we define $\varphi_a \in R(\beta)$ by

$$\varphi_a e(\nu) = \begin{cases} (\tau_a x_a - x_a\tau_a)e(\nu) \\ \qquad = (x_{a+1}\tau_a - \tau_a x_{a+1})e(\nu) \\ \qquad\qquad = (\tau_a(x_a - x_{a+1}) + 1)e(\nu) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad = ((x_{a+1} - x_a)\tau_a - 1)e(\nu) & \text{if } \nu_a = \nu_{a+1}, \\ \\ \tau_a e(\nu) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1.3.1}$$

They are called the *intertwiners.*

The following lemma is well-known (for example, it easily follows from the polynomial representation of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras ([21, Proposition 2.3], [29, Proposition 3.12]).

Lemma 1.3.1.

(i) $\varphi_a^2 e(\nu) = \big(Q_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a, x_{a+1}) + \delta_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}\big)e(\nu)$.

(ii) $\{\varphi_k\}_{1\le k<n}$ *satisfies the braid relation.*

(iii) *For* $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, *let* $w = s_{a_1}\cdots s_{a_\ell}$ *be a reduced expression of* w *and set* $\varphi_w = \varphi_{a_1}\cdots\varphi_{a_\ell}$. *Then* φ_w *does not depend on the choice of reduced expressions of* w.

(iv) *For* $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ *and* $1 \le k \le n$, *we have* $\varphi_w x_k = x_{w(k)}\varphi_w$.

(v) *For* $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ *and* $1 \le k < n$, *if* $w(k+1) = w(k)+1$, *then* $\varphi_w \tau_k = \tau_{w(k)}\varphi_w$.

(vi) $\varphi_{w^{-1}}\varphi_w e(\nu) = \displaystyle\prod_{\substack{a<b,\\ w(a)>w(b)}} (Q_{\nu_a,\nu_b}(x_a, x_b) + \delta_{\nu_a,\nu_b})e(\nu)$.

We define another symmetric bilinear form $(\,\bullet\,,\,\bullet\,)_{\mathrm{n}}$ on Q by

$$(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)_{\mathrm{n}}=\delta_{ij}.$$

Then the intertwiner $\varphi_w e(\nu)$ has degree

$$\sum_{\substack{1\le a<b\le n,\\ w(a)>w(b)}}\bigl(-(\alpha_{\nu_a},\alpha_{\nu_b})+2(\alpha_{\nu_a},\alpha_{\nu_b})_{\mathrm{n}}\bigr).$$

For $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}$, let us denote by $w[m,n]$ the element of $\mathfrak{S}_{m+n}$ defined by

$$w[m,n](k)=\begin{cases}k+n & \text{if } 1\le k\le m,\\ k-m & \text{if } m<k\le m+n.\end{cases}\tag{1.3.2}$$

Let $\beta,\gamma\in\mathsf{Q}^+$ with $|\beta|=m$, $|\gamma|=n$ and let M be an $R(\beta)$-module and N an $R(\gamma)$-module. Then the map

$$M\otimes N\to q^{(\beta,\gamma)-2(\beta,\gamma)_{\mathrm{n}}}N\circ M$$

given by

$$u\otimes v\longmapsto\varphi_{w[n,m]}(v\otimes u)$$

is $R(\beta,\gamma)$ -linear by the above lemma, and it extends to an $R(\beta+\gamma)$- module homomorphism

$$R_{M,N}\colon M\circ N\longrightarrow q^{(\beta,\gamma)-2(\beta,\gamma)_{\mathrm{n}}}N\circ M.\tag{1.3.3}$$

Then we obtain the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L\circ M\circ N \arrow[r, "R_{L,M}"] \arrow[dr, "R_{L,M\circ N}"'] & M\circ L\circ N \arrow[d, "R_{L.N}"] \\
& M\circ N\circ L
\end{tikzcd}
\quad\text{and}\quad
\begin{tikzcd}
L\circ M\circ N \arrow[r, "R_{M,N}"] \arrow[dr, "R_{L\circ M,N}"'] & L\circ N\circ M \arrow[d, "R_{L.N}"] \\
& N\circ L\circ M\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence the homomorphisms $R_{M,N}$ satisfy the Yang-Baxter equation, namely, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& L\circ M\circ N \arrow[dl, "R_{L,M}"'] \arrow[dr, "R_{M,N}"] & \\
M\circ L\circ N \arrow[d, "R_{L,N}"'] & & L\circ N\circ M \arrow[d, "R_{L,N}"] \\
M\circ N\circ L \arrow[dr, "R_{M,N}"'] & & N\circ L\circ M \arrow[dl, "R_{L,M}"] \\
& N\circ M\circ L\,. &
\end{tikzcd}\tag{1.3.4}$$

In the above diagrams, we omit the grading shift.

1.3.2. *Spectral parameters.*

Definition 1.3.2. *A Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra $R(\beta)$ is* symmetric *if*

$$\text{(1.3.5)}\qquad \begin{array}{l}\text{(a) the Cartan matrix } A=(a_{ij})_{i,j\in I} \text{ is symmetric,}\\ \text{(b) } (\alpha_i,\alpha_j)=a_{ij},\\ \text{(c) } Q_{i,j}(u,v) \text{ is a polynomial in } u-v.\end{array}$$

In this subsection, we assume that Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras are symmetric. Let z be an indeterminate which is homogeneous of degree 2, and let ψ_z be the algebra homomorphism

$$\psi_z\colon R(\beta)\to \mathbf{k}[z]\otimes R(\beta)$$

given by

$$\psi_z(x_k)=x_k+z,\quad \psi_z(\tau_k)=\tau_k,\quad \psi_z(e(\nu))=e(\nu).$$

For an $R(\beta)$-module M, we denote by M_z the $\big(\mathbf{k}[z]\otimes R(\beta)\big)$-module $\mathbf{k}[z]\otimes M$ with the action of $R(\beta)$ twisted by ψ_z. Namely,

$$\text{(1.3.6)}\; e(\nu)(a\otimes u)=a\otimes e(\nu)u,\ x_k(a\otimes u)=(za)\otimes u+a\otimes(x_ku),\ \tau_k(a\otimes u)=a\otimes(\tau_ku)$$

for $\nu\in I^\beta$, $a\in\mathbf{k}[z]$ and $u\in M$. For $u\in M$, we sometimes denote by u_z the corresponding element $1\otimes u$ of the $R(\beta)$-module M_z.

Observe that this construction is possible only when the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra is symmetric.

We can reformulate the above construction as follows. Let $R(\beta)_z:=\big(\mathbf{k}[z]\otimes R(\beta)\big)\mathbf{1}_z$ be the left free $\mathbf{k}[z]\otimes R(\beta)$-module generated by $\mathbf{1}_z$. We define the $(\mathbf{k}[z]\otimes R(\beta), R(\beta))$ -bimodule structure on $R(\beta)_z$ by

$$\mathbf{1}_ze(\nu)=e(\nu)\mathbf{1}_z,\quad \mathbf{1}_zx_k=(x_k-z)\mathbf{1}_z,\quad \mathbf{1}_z\tau_k=\tau_k\mathbf{1}_z.$$

Then we have

$$M_z\simeq R(\beta)_z\underset{R(\beta)}{\otimes}M.$$

The element $a\otimes u$ in (1.3.6) corresponds to $a\mathbf{1}_z\otimes u$ under this notation.

1.4. **R-matrices with spectral parameters.**

Lemma 1.4.1. *Let $\Delta^\pm$ be the set of positive* (*resp. negative*) *roots associated with a generalized Cartan matrix, as in* § 1.1. *Let $\{\alpha_i \mid i\in I\}$ be the set of simple roots, W the Weyl group, and $s_i\in W$ the simple reflection. Let G be the monoid generated by $\tilde{s}_i$ with the defining relation:*

$$\text{(1.4.1)}\qquad \tilde{s}_i\tilde{s}_j=\tilde{s}_j\tilde{s}_i \text{ if } (\alpha_i,\alpha_j)=0.$$

Assume that an element w of W satisfies the following conditions:

$$\text{(1.4.2)}\qquad \text{if } \alpha,\beta\in\Delta^+,\ \alpha\neq\beta, \text{ and } w\alpha,\ w\beta\in\Delta^-, \text{ then } (\alpha,\beta)\geq 0.$$

Then $\tilde{s}_{i_1}\cdots\tilde{s}_{i_\ell}\in G$ does not depend on the choice of a reduced expression $w=s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_\ell}$.

Proof. (a) We shall first prove

(1.4.3) if w satisfies (1.4.2) and $s_iw < w$, then s_iw also satisfies (1.4.2).

Set $w' := s_iw$. Assume that $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$, $w'\alpha, w'\beta \in \Delta^-$ and $(\alpha, \beta) < 0$. Then either $w\alpha$ or $w\beta$ belongs to Δ^+, say, $w\alpha \in \Delta^+$. Then $w\alpha = \alpha_i$, and hence $w^{-1}(\alpha_i) \in \Delta^+$, which contradicts $s_iw < w$.

(b) We will use induction on the length of w. Let $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ and $w = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_\ell}$ be reduced expressions of w. If $i_1 = j_1$, then applying the induction hypothesis to $s_{i_1}w$, we obtain the desired result.

Assume $i_1 \neq j_1$. Then $\alpha := -w^{-1}(\alpha_{i_1})$ and $\beta := -w^{-1}(\alpha_{j_1})$ belong to Δ^+ and $w\alpha, w\beta$ belong to Δ^-. Hence we have $(\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{j_1}) \geq 0$, which implies that $(\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{j_1}) = 0$.

Note that $s_{i_1}w$ and $s_{j_1}w$ satisfy (1.4.2) by (a). Set $w' = s_{i_1}s_{j_1}w$. Then we have $w' < s_{j_1}w < w$ because $(s_{j_1}w)^{-1}\alpha_{i_1} = w^{-1}\alpha_{i_1} \in \Delta^-$. Taking a reduced expression $w' = s_{k_3} \cdots s_{k_\ell}$, and applying the induction hypothesis to $s_{i_1}w$ and $s_{j_1}w$, we conclude

$$\tilde{s}_{i_1}\tilde{s}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{s}_{i_\ell} = \tilde{s}_{i_1}\tilde{s}_{j_1}\tilde{s}_{k_3} \cdots \tilde{s}_{k_\ell} = \tilde{s}_{j_1}\tilde{s}_{i_1}\tilde{s}_{k_3} \cdots \tilde{s}_{k_\ell} = \tilde{s}_{j_1}\tilde{s}_{j_2} \cdots \tilde{s}_{j_\ell}.$$

□

We apply the above lemma to the case of the symmetric group.

Lemma 1.4.2. *Assume that $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ satisfies the condition*

$$\text{(1.4.4)} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \textit{there exists no triple of integers } (i,j,k) \textit{ such that} \\ \qquad\qquad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \textit{ and } w(i) > w(j) > w(k). \end{array}$$

Then $\tau_w := \tau_{i_1} \cdots \tau_{i_\ell} \in R(\beta)$ does not depend on the choice of a reduced expression of $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$.

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let

$$\mathfrak{S}_{m,n} := \{ w \in \mathfrak{S}_{m+n} \mid \ w(i) < w(i+1) \text{ for any } i \neq m \}.$$

This condition is equivalent to saying that $w|_{[1,m]}$ and $w|_{[m+1,m+n]}$ are increasing. It is easy to see that any element of $\mathfrak{S}_{m,n}$ satisfies the condition (1.4.4). Hence we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4.3. *Let $|\beta| = m$ and $|\gamma| = n$. For $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{m,n}$, the product $\tau_w := \tau_{i_1} \cdots \tau_{i_\ell} \in R(\beta + \gamma)$ does not depend on the choice of reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$.*

Hence $\tau_w \in R(\beta + \gamma)$ is well-defined for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{m,n}$. Since $w[m,n]$ belongs to $\mathfrak{S}_{m,n}$, we set

$$\tau_{m,n} = \tau_{w[m,n]}. \tag{1.4.5}$$

Note that

$$\deg \tau_{m,n}e(\beta, \gamma) = -(\beta, \gamma) \quad \text{if } |\beta| = m \text{ and } |\gamma| = n.$$

Proposition 1.4.4. *Let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathsf{Q}^+$ with $|\beta| = m$ and $|\gamma| = n$, and let M, N be an $R(\beta)$-module and an $R(\gamma)$-module, respectively. Suppose we have algebraically independent indeterminates z and z'. Then*

(i) $N_{z'} \circ M_z = \displaystyle\bigoplus_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,m}} \mathbf{k}[z, z']\tau_w(\mathbf{1}_{z'} \otimes \mathbf{1}_z \otimes N \otimes M)$.

(ii) *We have*

$$R_{M_z, N_{z'}}(M \otimes N) \;\subset\; \bigoplus_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,m}} \mathbf{k}[z - z']\tau_w(\mathbf{1}_{z'} \otimes \mathbf{1}_z \otimes N \otimes M).$$

(iii) *Set $\ell = (\beta, \gamma)_{\mathrm{n}}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{M_z, N_{z'}}(u_z \otimes v_{z'}) &- (z' - z)^{\ell}\tau_{w[n,m]}(v_{z'} \otimes u_z) \\ &\in \sum_{s<\ell} (z' - z)^s \tau_{w[n,m]}((\mathbf{1}_{z'} \otimes \mathbf{1}_z \otimes N \otimes M) \\ &\qquad + \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,m}, \\ w \neq w[n,m]}} \mathbf{k}[z' - z]\tau_w(\mathbf{1}_{z'} \otimes \mathbf{1}_z \otimes N \otimes M) \end{aligned}$$

for $u \in M$ and $v \in N$.

(iv) *Set*

$$A = \sum_{\mu \in I^\beta, \nu \in I^\gamma} \Big(\prod_{\substack{1 \le a \le m, 1 \le b \le n \\ \mu_a \neq \nu_b}} Q_{\mu_a, \nu_b}\big(x_a \boxtimes e(\gamma), e(\beta) \boxtimes x_b\big)\Big) e(\mu) \boxtimes e(\nu) \in R(\beta) \boxtimes R(\gamma).$$

Then A belongs to the center of $R(\beta) \boxtimes R(\gamma)$, and

$$R_{N,M} R_{M,N}(u \otimes v) = A(u \otimes v) \quad \text{for } u \in M \text{ and } v \in N.$$

Proof. By induction on the length of w, one can prove

$$\varphi_w e(\nu) - \tau_w \prod_{(i,j) \in B} (x_i - x_j) e(\nu) \in \sum_{w' < w} \tau_{w'} \mathbf{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \tag{1.4.6}$$

for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\nu \in I^n$, where

$$B = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le n,\ w(i) > w(j),\ \nu_i = \nu_j\}.$$

Now it is easy to see that the statement (iii) follows from (1.4.6).

The other statements easily follow from Lemma 1.3.1. ☐

Hereafter we assume that

(1.4.7) the base ring $\mathbf{k}$ is a field.

For a non-zero $R(\beta)$-module M and a non-zero $R(\gamma)$-module N,

(1.4.8) let s be the order of zeroes of $R_{M_z, N_{z'}} \colon M_z \circ N_{z'} \longrightarrow q^{(\beta,\gamma) - 2(\beta,\gamma)_{\mathrm{n}}} N_{z'} \circ M_z$; i.e., the largest non-negative integer such that the image of $R_{M_z, N_{z'}}$ is contained in $(z' - z)^s q^{(\beta,\gamma) - 2(\beta,\gamma)_{\mathrm{n}}} N_{z'} \circ M_z$.

Note that Proposition 1.4.4 (iii) shows that such an s exists and $s \le (\beta,\gamma)_{\mathrm{n}}$.

Definition 1.4.5. *For a non-zero $R(\beta)$-module M and a non-zero $R(\gamma)$-module N, we set*

$$\mathrm{d}(M,N) := (\beta,\gamma) - 2(\beta,\gamma)_{\mathrm{n}} + 2s,$$

and define

$$r_{M,N} \colon M \circ N \to q^{\mathrm{d}(M,N)} N \circ M$$

by

$$r_{M,N} = \big((z'-z)^{-s} R_{M_z,N_{z'}}\big)|_{z=z'=0}.$$

If M or N vanishes, then we set

$$s = 0,\ \ \mathrm{d}(M,N) = (\beta,\gamma) - 2(\beta,\gamma)_{\mathrm{n}},\ \ \textit{and}\ r_{M,N} = 0.$$

By Proposition 1.4.4 (ii), the morphism $r_{M,N}$ does not vanish if M and N are non-zero.

Note that if $R_{M,N}$ does not vanish, then $s = 0$ and $r_{M,N} = R_{M,N}$.

Corollary 1.4.6. *If M and N are non-zero, then there exists a non-zero homomorphism $M \circ N \to N \circ M$.*

Remark 1.4.7. We don't know if Corollary 1.4.6 holds when the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra is not symmetric.

Note that the homomorphisms $r_{M,N}$ satisfy the Yang-Baxter equation. Namely, if L is another $R(\gamma)$-module, then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & L \circ M \circ N \arrow[dl, "r_{L,M}"'] \arrow[dr, "r_{M,N}"] & \\
M \circ L \circ N \arrow[d, "r_{L,N}"'] & & L \circ N \circ M \arrow[d, "r_{L,N}"] \\
M \circ N \circ L \arrow[dr, "r_{M,N}"'] & & N \circ L \circ M \arrow[dl, "r_{L,M}"] \\
 & N \circ M \circ L\,. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, we omit the grading shift operators. This immediately follows from the corresponding result for $R_{M,N}$.

For $\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_t \in \mathsf{Q}^+$, a sequence of $R(\beta_k)$-modules M_k $(k = 1,\ldots,t)$ and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_t$, we set $d = \sum \mathrm{d}(M_i,M_j)$, where the summation ranges over the set

$$\{(i,j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le t,\, w(i) > w(j)\}\,.$$

We define

$$r^{\,w}_{M_1,\ldots,M_t} = r^{\,w}_{\{M_s\}_{1\le s\le t}} \colon M_1 \circ \cdots \circ M_t \to q^{d} M_{w(1)} \circ \cdots \circ M_{w(t)} \tag{1.4.9}$$

by induction on the length of w as follows:

$$r^{\,w}_{\{M_a\}_{1\le a\le t}} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{id}_{M_1\circ\cdots\circ M_t} & \text{if } w=e,\\[2ex] r^{\,ws_k}_{\{M_{s_k(a)}\}_{1\le a\le t}} \\ \quad \cdot\big(M_1\circ\cdots\circ M_{k-1}\circ r_{M_k,M_{k+1}}\circ M_{k+2}\circ\cdots\circ M_t\big) & \text{if } w(k)>w(k+1).\end{cases}$$

Then it does not depend on the choice of k and $r^{\,w}_{M_1,\ldots,M_t}$ is well-defined.

Similarly, we define

$$R^w_{M_1,\ldots,M_t}\colon M_1\circ\cdots\circ M_t \to q^b M_{w(1)}\circ\cdots\circ M_{w(t)}, \tag{1.4.10}$$

where $b = \sum\limits_{\substack{1\le k<k'\le t,\\ w(k)>w(k')}} (\beta_k,\beta_{k'}) - 2(\beta_k,\beta_{k'})_{\mathrm{n}}$.

We set

$$r_{M_1,\ldots,M_t} := r^{\,w_t}_{M_1,\ldots,M_t} \quad\text{and}\quad R_{M_1,\ldots,M_t} := R^{\,w_t}_{M_1,\ldots,M_t}, \tag{1.4.11}$$

where w_t is the longest element of $\mathfrak{S}_t$.

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 1.4.8. *Let M_k $(1\le k\le t)$ be $R(\beta_k)$-modules and $N_{k'}$ $(1\le k'\le t')$ be $R(\gamma_{k'})$-modules for some $\beta_k,\gamma_{k'}\in \mathsf{Q}^+$.*

Let $\widetilde{M} := M_1\circ\cdots\circ M_t$ and $\widetilde{N} := N_1\circ\cdots\circ N_{t'}$. Suppose we have epimorphisms

$$\widetilde{M} \twoheadrightarrow M \ \text{ and } \ \widetilde{N} \twoheadrightarrow N.$$

Then we have

(i) $R_{\widetilde{M},\widetilde{N}} = R^{w[t,t']}_{M_1,\ldots,M_t,N_1,\ldots,N_{t'}}$,

(ii) *there exists a unique morphism $\varphi\colon M\circ N\to N\circ M$ such that, the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} M_1\circ\cdots\circ M_t\circ N_1\circ\cdots\circ N_{t'} \arrow[r, "r^{w[t,t']}_{M_1,\ldots,M_t,N_1,\ldots,N_{t'}}"] \arrow[d, two heads] & N_1\circ\cdots\circ N_{t'}\circ M_1\circ\cdots\circ M_t \arrow[d, two heads] \\ M\circ N \arrow[r, "\varphi"] & N\circ M \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

(iii) *Moreover, if φ does not vanish, then we have*

$$\varphi = r_{M,N} \quad\text{and}\quad \mathrm{d}(M,N) = \sum_{1\le k\le t,\,1\le k'\le t'} \mathrm{d}(M_k,N_{k'}).$$

The following proposition will be used later. Note that the grading plays a crucial role here.

Proposition 1.4.9. *Let $\beta_k \in \mathsf{Q}^+$ and let M_k a* non-zero *module in $R(\beta_k)$*-gmod *$(1 \le k \le t)$. For $w \in \mathfrak{S}_t$, set $d = \sum\limits_{\substack{1\le k<k'\le t,\\ w(k)>w(k')}} \mathrm{d}(M_k, M_{k'})$. Assume that*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta)\text{-gmod}}(M_1 \circ \cdots \circ M_t,\, q^c M_{w(1)} \circ \cdots \circ M_{w(t)}) = 0 \text{ for all } c > d, \tag{1.4.12}$$

where $\beta = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{t} \beta_k$. Then the homomorphism

$$r^{\,w}_{M_1,\ldots,M_t} \colon M_1 \circ \cdots \circ M_t \longrightarrow q^d M_{w(1)} \circ \cdots \circ M_{w(t)}$$

does not vanish.

Proof. Set $d_{i,j} = \mathrm{d}(M_i, M_j)$, $D_{i,j} = (\beta_i, \beta_j) - 2(\beta_i, \beta_j)_{\mathrm{n}}$, and let $s_{i,j}$ be the degree of zeroes of $R_{(M_i)_z,\,(M_j)_{z'}}$. Then we have $d_{i,j} = D_{i,j} + 2s_{i,j}$ and

$$r_{M_i,M_j} = (z' - z)^{-s_{i,j}} R_{(M_i)_z,\,(M_j)_{z'}}|_{z=z'=0}.$$

We set $D = \sum_{i,j} D_{i,j}$ and $s = \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j}$. Here, the sum is taken over the set

$$\{(i,j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le t,\, w(i) > w(j)\}.$$

Let z be an indeterminate and let $a_1, \ldots, a_t$ be generic constants. Set $z_k = a_k z$ for $1 \le k \le t$. Consider the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\,w}_{(M_1)_{z_1},\ldots,(M_t)_{z_t}} \colon & (M_1)_{z_1} \circ \cdots \circ (M_t)_{z_t} \\ & \longrightarrow q^D (M_{w(1)})_{z_{w(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ (M_{w(t)})_{z_{w(t)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4.13}$$

Let m be the degree of zeroes of $R^{\,w}_{(M_1)_{z_1},\ldots,(M_t)_{z_t}}$, that is, the largest integer m such that the image of $R^{\,w}_{(M_1)_{z_1},\ldots,(M_t)_{z_t}}$ is contained in $z^m q^D (M_{w(1)})_{z_{w(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ (M_{w(t)})_{z_{w(t)}}$. Since $R^{\,w}_{(M_1)_{z_1},\ldots,(M_t)_{z_t}}$ is not identically zero, such an m exists.

Set $r = \big((q^{-2}z)^{-m} R^{\,w}_{(M_1)_{z_1},\ldots,(M_t)_{z_t}}\big)|_{z=0}$. Then r is a non-zero homomorphism from $M_1 \circ \cdots, M_t$ to $q^{2m+D} M_{w(1)} \circ \cdots, M_{w(t)}$. By the assumption (1.4.12), we obtain $2m + D \le d = D + 2s$. Hence we conclude

$$m \le s.$$

On the other hand, for i, j such that $1 \le i < j \le t$ and $w(i) > w(j)$, the homomorphism $z^{-s_{i.j}} R_{(M_i)_{z_i},(M_j)_{z_j}}$ is well-defined and $r_{M_i,M_j} = \big(z^{-s_{i,j}} R_{(M_i)_{z_i},\,(M_j)_{z_j}}\big)|_{z=0}$ up to a constant multiple. Since $z^{-s} R^{\,w}_{(M_1)_{z_1},\ldots,(M_t)_{z_t}}$ is a product of the $\big(z^{-s_{i,j}} R_{(M_i)_{z_i},(M_j)_{z_j}}\big)$'s, it is well-defined, and $r^{\,w}_{M_1,\cdots,M_t}$ coincides with $\big(z^{-s} R^{\,w}_{(M_1)_{z_1},\ldots,(M_t)_{z_t}}\big)|_{z=0}$ up to a constant multiple. Hence we have $m \ge s$. Therefore $m = s$ and $r^{\,w}_{M_1,\cdots,M_t}$ is equal to r up to a constant multiple. □

1.5. **R-matrices for one-dimensional modules.**

Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ be a sequence of elements in I. Let $L(\mu)$ be a free $\mathbf{k}$-module $\mathbf{k}u(\mu)$ with the generator $u(\mu)$ of degree 0. The following lemma can be easily verified.

Lemma 1.5.1. *By defining*

$$x_k u(\mu) = 0, \quad \tau_k u(\mu) = 0, \quad e(\nu)u(\mu) = \delta_{\nu,\mu} u(\mu),$$

$L(\mu)$ becomes an $R(\alpha_{\mu_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{\mu_n})$ -module if and only if

$$\text{(1.5.1)} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) } (\alpha_{\mu_k}, \alpha_{\mu_{k+1}}) < 0 \text{ for } 1 \le k < n, \\ \text{(b) if } \mu_k = \mu_{k+2} \ (1 \le k \le n-2), \text{ then } \langle h_{\mu_k}, \alpha_{\mu_{k+1}} \rangle \neq -1. \end{array}$$

Now we assume (1.3.5); i.e., R is a symmetric Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra. The following lemma immediately follows from the definition.

Lemma 1.5.2. *Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ be a pair of sequences satisfying (1.5.1). If $\mu_i \neq \nu_j$ for any $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n$, then*

$$R_{L(\mu)_z, L(\nu)_{z'}}\big(u(\mu)_z \otimes u(\nu)_{z'}\big) = \tau_{n,m}\big(u(\nu)_{z'} \otimes u(\mu)_z\big).$$

We shall use the following lemma later in the type A case.

Lemma 1.5.3. *Let $i \in I$ and let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ be a sequence satisfying (1.5.1). Set $\beta = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{\mu_k}$ and $p = (\beta, \alpha_i)_{\rm n}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{L(\mu)_z, L(i)_{z'}}(u(\mu)_z \otimes u(i)_{z'}) \\ &\qquad = \big((z'-z)^p \tau_n \cdots \tau_1 + \delta_{\mu_n,i}(z'-z)^{p-1}\tau_{n-1}\cdots\tau_1\big)\big(u(i)_{z'} \otimes u(\mu)_z\big), \\ &R_{L(i)_z, L(\mu)_{z'}}(u(i)_z \otimes u(\mu)_{z'}) \\ &\qquad = \big((z'-z)^p \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n + \delta_{\mu_1,i}(z'-z)^{p-1}\tau_2\cdots\tau_n\big)\big(u(\mu)_{z'} \otimes u(i)_z\big). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We shall prove only the second formula. We prove it by induction on n. Since $n = 1$ case is obvious, assume that $n > 1$. Set $\mu' = (\mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$ and $j = \mu_1$.

First assume that $i \neq j$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{L(i)_z, L(j)_{z'} \circ L(\mu')_{z'}}(u(i)_z \otimes u(j)_{z'} \otimes u(\mu')_{z'}) \\ &= \big(L(j)_{z'} \circ R_{L(i)_z, L(\mu')_{z'}}\big) \cdot \Big(\big(R_{L(i)_z, L(j)_{z'}}(u(i)_z \otimes u(j)_{z'})\big) \otimes u(\mu')_{z'}\Big) \\ &= \big(L(j)_{z'} \circ R_{L(i)_z, L(\mu')_{z'}}\big)\Big(\tau_1(u(j)_{z'} \otimes u(i)_z) \otimes u(\mu')_{z'}\Big) \\ &= \tau_1\big(L(j)_{z'} \circ R_{L(i)_z, L(\mu')_{z'}}\big)\Big(u(j)_{z'} \otimes u(i)_z \otimes u(\mu')_{z'}\Big) \\ &= \tau_1\Big(u(j)_{z'} \otimes R_{L(i)_z, L(\mu')_{z'}}(u(i)_z \otimes u(\mu')_{z'})\Big) \\ &= \tau_1\Big(u(j)_{z'} \otimes \Big(\big((z'-z)^p \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{n-1} + \delta_{i,\mu_2}(z'-z)^{p-1}\tau_2 \cdots \tau_{n-1}\big)\big(u(\mu')_{z'} \otimes u(i)_z\big)\Big)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&R_{L(i)_z,L(\mu)_{z'}}(u(i)_z\otimes u(\mu)_{z'})\\&\quad=\big((z'-z)^p\tau_1(\tau_2\cdots\tau_n)+\delta_{i,\mu_2}(z'-z)^{p-1}\tau_1(\tau_3\cdots\tau_n)\big)(u(\mu)_{z'}\otimes u(i)_z).\end{aligned}$$

Then the last term vanishes since $\tau_1 u(\mu)_{z'}=0$.

Now assume that $i=\mu_1$. Then $\mu_2\neq i$. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}R_{L(i)_z,L(i)_{z'}}(u(i)_z\otimes u(i)_{z'}) &= (\tau_1(x_1-x_2)+1)(u(i)_{z'}\otimes u(i)_z)\\ &= ((z'-z)\tau_1+1)(u(i)_z\otimes u(i)_{z'}),\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}&R_{L(i)_z,L(j)_{z'}\circ L(\mu')_{z'}}(u(i)_z\otimes u(j)_{z'}\otimes u(\mu')_{z'})\\&\quad=\big(L(j)_{z'}\circ R_{L(i)_z,\circ L(\mu')_{z'}}\big)\Big((z'-z)\tau_1+1)(u(i)_{z'}\otimes u(i)_z\otimes u(\mu')_{z'}\Big)\\&\quad=((z'-z)\tau_1+1)(z'-z)^{p-1}\tau_2\cdots\tau_n(u(i)_{z'}\otimes u(\mu')_{z'}\otimes u(i)_z).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the desired result. □

1.6. **Uniqueness of R-matrices.** The following lemma says that the convolution of two simple modules (after adding spectral parameters) remains simple.

Lemma 1.6.1. *Assume that* $\mathbf{k}$ *is a field. Let* z, z' *be algebraically independent indeterminates and set* $K=\mathbf{k}(z,z')$. *Let* M *and* N *be a simple* $R(\beta)$*-module and a simple* $R(\gamma)$*-module, respectively. Then* $K\otimes_{\mathbf{k}[z,z']}(M_z\circ N_{z'})$ *is a simple* $K\otimes R(\beta+\gamma)$*-module.*

Proof. In this proof, we write M_z for the $\mathbf{k}(z)\otimes R(\beta)$-module $\mathbf{k}(z)\otimes_{\mathbf{k}[z]}M_z$ for the sake of simplicity. Recall that $\mathfrak{S}_{m,n}:=\{w\in S_{m+n}\mid w(k)<w(k+1)\text{ for any }k\neq m\}$. Then we can easily see that

$$M_z\circ N_{z'}=\bigoplus_{w\in\mathfrak{S}_{m,n}}\varphi_w\cdot M_z\otimes N_{z'}.$$

Indeed, it follows from (1.4.6) and the fact that $x_i-x_j\colon M_z\otimes N_{z'}\to M_z\otimes N_{z'}$ is bijective for $1\le i\le m<j\le m+n$.

Let L be a non-zero $K\otimes R(\beta+\gamma)$-submodule of $M_z\circ N_{z'}$. For $u\in M_z\circ N_{z'}$, we write

$$u=\sum_{w\in\mathfrak{S}_{m,n}}\varphi_w u_w\quad\text{with } u_w\in M_z\otimes N_{z'},$$

and set $S(u)=\{w\in\mathfrak{S}_{m,n}\mid u_w\neq 0\}$.

Let us take non-zero $u\in L$ such that the cardinality of $S(u)$ is minimal and let $w\in S(u)$. Assume that there exists $w'\neq w$ in $S(u)$. Since we have

$$\{1\le k\le m+n\mid w^{-1}(k)\le m\}\not\subset\Big\{1\le k\le m+n\mid w'^{-1}(k)\le m\Big\},$$

there exists a such that $1\le w^{-1}(a)\le m$ and $m<w'^{-1}(a)$.

Take a monic polynomial $f(x)$ such that $f(x_k)|_N = 0$ for $1 \le k \le n$. Then $f(x_k - z')$ acts by zero on $M_z \otimes N_{z'}$ for $k > m$, and the action of $f(x_k - z')$ is invertible on $M_z \otimes N_{z'}$ for $k \le m$. Hence $f(x_{w'^{-1}(a)} - z')u_{w'} = 0$. Since

$$f(x_a - z')u = \sum_{y \in A \setminus \{w'\}} \varphi_y f(x_{y^{-1}(a)} - z')u_y,$$

the minimality of $S(u)$ implies that $f(x_a - z')u = 0$. In particular, we have $f(x_{w^{-1}(a)} - z')u_w = 0$, which implies that $u_w = 0$. It is a contradiction and we conclude that $S(u) = \{w\}$ and $u = \varphi_w u_w$.

Since $\varphi_{w^{-1}}\varphi_w \colon M_z \otimes N_{z'} \to M_z \otimes N_{z'}$ is a $K[x_1, \ldots, x_{m+n}]$-linear isomorphism, we have $u_w \in L$. Since $M_z \otimes N_{z'}$ is a simple $K \otimes R(\beta) \otimes R(\gamma)$-module, we have $M_z \otimes N_{z'} \subset L$, and we conclude that $L = M_z \circ N_{z'}$. □

This lemma immediately implies the following proposition which says that an R-matrix for simple modules is unique up to constant multiple.

Proposition 1.6.2. *Assume that* $\mathbf{k}$ *is a field and let* z, z' *be independent indeterminates. Let* M *and* N *be a simple* $R(\beta)$*-module and* $R(\gamma)$*-module, respectively. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta+\gamma)}\big(M_z \circ N_{z'}, M_z \circ N_{z'}\big) \simeq \mathbf{k}[z, z'],$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta+\gamma)}\big(M_z \circ N_{z'}, N_{z'} \circ M_z\big) \simeq \mathbf{k}[z, z'](z - z')^{-s} R_{M_z, N_{z'}}.$$

Here s *is the order of zeroes of* $R_{M_z,N_{z'}}$ *(see (1.4.8)).*

Proof. The first assertion immediately follows from the preceding lemma. The second follows from the first since $R_{M_z,N_{z'}}$ gives an isomorphism from $K \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[z,z']} (M_z \circ N_{z'})$ to $K \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[z,z']} (N_{z'} \circ M_z)$, where $K = \mathbf{k}[z, z', (z - z')^{-1}]$. □

2. Quantum affine algebras and their representations

2.1. Quantum affine algebras.

In this section, we briefly review the representation theory of quantum affine algebras following [1, 18]. Hereafter, we take the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{C}(q)$ in $\cup_{m>0}\mathbb{C}((q^{1/m}))$ as a base field $\mathbf{k}$.

Let I be an index set and $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a generalized Cartan matrix of affine type; i.e., A is positive semi-definite of corank 1. We choose $0 \in I$ as the leftmost vertices in the tables in [12, pages 54, 55] except $A^{(2)}_{2n}$-case, in which case we take the longest simple root as α_0. Set $I_0 = I \setminus \{0\}$. We take a Cartan datum $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ as follows.

The weight lattice P is given by

$$P = \Big(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i\Big) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta$$

and the simple roots are given by

$$\alpha_i = \sum_{j \in I} a_{ji} \Lambda_j + \delta(i = 0)\delta.$$

Also, the simple coroots $h_i \in P^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{Z})$ are given by

$$\langle h_i, \Lambda_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad \langle h_i, \delta \rangle = 0.$$

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated with the affine Cartan datum $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}_0$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by e_i, f_i, h_i for $i \in I_0$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra. Consider the positive integers c_i's and d_i's determined by the conditions

$$\sum_{i \in I} c_i a_{ij} = \sum_{i \in I} a_{ji} d_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in I,$$

and $\{c_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\{d_i\}_{i \in I}$ be families of relatively prime positive integers (see [12, Chapter 4]). Then the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ is 1-dimensional and is generated by the *canonical central element*

$$c = \sum_{i \in I} c_i h_i$$

([12, Proposition 1.6]). Also it is known that the imaginary roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ are non-zero integral multiples of the *null root*

$$\delta = \sum_{i \in I} d_i \alpha_i$$

([12, Theorem 5.6]). Note that $d_0 = 1$ in all cases and $c_0 = 1$ if $\mathfrak{g} \neq A^{(2)}_{2n}$ and $c_0 = 2$ if $\mathfrak{g} = A^{(2)}_{2n}$.

Let us denote by $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ the quantum group associated with the affine Cartan datum $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$. We denote by $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $e_i, f_i, K_i^{\pm 1} (i = 0, 1, , \ldots, n)$.

Set

$$P_{\mathrm{cl}} = P/\mathbb{Z}\delta$$

and call it the *classical weight lattice*. Let $\mathrm{cl}\colon P \to P_{\mathrm{cl}}$ be the projection. Then $P_{\mathrm{cl}} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda_i)$ and we have

$$P^\vee_{\mathrm{cl}} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_{\mathrm{cl}}, \mathbb{Z}) = \{h \in P^\vee \mid \langle h, \delta \rangle = 0\} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} h_i.$$

Set $\Pi_{\mathrm{cl}} = \mathrm{cl}(\Pi)$ and $\Pi^\vee_{\mathrm{cl}} = \{h_0, \ldots, h_n\}$. Then $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ can be regarded as the quantum group associated with the quintuple $(A, P_{\mathrm{cl}}, \Pi_{\mathrm{cl}}, P^\vee_{\mathrm{cl}}, \Pi^\vee_{\mathrm{cl}})$.

Set $P^0_{\mathrm{cl}} = \{\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{cl}} \mid \langle c, \lambda \rangle = 0\} \subset P_{\mathrm{cl}}$. We call the elements of P^0_{cl} by the *classical integral weight of level* 0. Note that we can take P^0_{cl} as the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

Let W be the *Weyl group* of $\mathfrak{g}$. The image of the canonical group homomorphism $W \to \mathrm{Aut}(P^0_{\mathrm{cl}})$ is denoted by W_{cl}. Then W_{cl} coincides with the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

A $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module M is called an *integrable module* if

(i) M has a weight space decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}} M_\lambda,$$

where $M_\lambda = \left\{ u \in M \mid K_i u = q_i^{\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle} u \text{ for all } i \in I \right\}$,

(ii) the actions of e_i and f_i on M are locally nilpotent for any $i \in I$.

In this paper, we mainly consider the category of finite-dimensional integrable $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules, denoted by $\mathscr{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. The modules in this category are also called *of type* 1 (for example, see [5]).

Let M be an integrable $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module. Then the *affinization* M_{aff} of M is a P-graded $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module

$$M_{\mathrm{aff}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} (M_{\mathrm{aff}})_\lambda \quad \text{with } (M_{\mathrm{aff}})_\lambda = M_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}.$$

Let us denote by $\mathrm{cl}\colon M_{\mathrm{aff}} \to M$ the canonical $\mathbf{k}$-linear homomorphism. The actions

$$e_i\colon (M_{\mathrm{aff}})_\lambda \to (M_{\mathrm{aff}})_{\lambda+\alpha_i} \quad \text{and} \quad f_i\colon (M_{\mathrm{aff}})_\lambda \to (M_{\mathrm{aff}})_{\lambda-\alpha_i}$$

are defined in such a way that they commute with $\mathrm{cl}\colon M_{\mathrm{aff}} \to M$.

We denote by $z_M\colon M_{\mathrm{aff}} \to M_{\mathrm{aff}}$ the $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module automorphism of weight δ defined by $(M_{\mathrm{aff}})_\lambda \simeq M_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)} \simeq (M_{\mathrm{aff}})_{\lambda+\delta}$. Then we have

$$M \simeq M_{\mathrm{aff}}/(z_M - 1)M_{\mathrm{aff}}.$$

Let A be a commutative $\mathbf{k}$-algebra and let x be an invertible element of A. For an $A \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module M, let us denote by M_x the $A \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $M_{\mathrm{aff}}/(z_M - x)M_{\mathrm{aff}}$. For invertible elements x, y of A and $A \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules M, N, we have

$$(M_x)_y \simeq M_{xy} \quad \text{and} \quad (M \otimes_A N)_x \simeq M_x \otimes_A N_x.$$

We sometimes write M_z for M_{aff} with $z_M = z$.

We embed P_{cl} into P by $\iota\colon P_{\mathrm{cl}} \to P$ which is given by $\iota(\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda_i)) = \Lambda_i$. For $u \in M_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$), let us denote by $u_z \in (M_{\mathrm{aff}})_{\iota(\lambda)}$ the element such that $\mathrm{cl}(u_z) = u$. With this notation, we have

$$e_i(u_z) = z^{\delta_{i,0}}(e_i u)_z, \quad f_i(u_z) = z^{-\delta_{i,0}}(f_i u)_z, \quad K_i(u_z) = (K_i u)_z.$$

Then we have $M_{\mathrm{aff}} \simeq \mathbf{k}[z, z^{-1}] \otimes M$.

Definition 2.1.1. *Let M be an integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. A weight vector $u \in M_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in P$) is called an* extremal vector *if there exists a family of vectors $\{u_w\}_{w \in W}$ satisfying the following properties:*

$$u_w = u \textit{ for } w = e,$$

$$\text{if } \langle h_i, w\lambda\rangle \geq 0, \text{ then } e_i u_w = 0 \text{ and } f_i^{(\langle h_i, w\lambda\rangle)} u_w = u_{s_i w},$$
$$\text{if } \langle h_i, w\lambda\rangle \leq 0, \text{ then } f_i u_w = 0 \text{ and } e_i^{(-\langle h_i, w\lambda\rangle)} u_w = u_{s_i w}.$$

This definition extends to the $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$*-module case by replacing* P *with* P_{cl} *and keeping* W*.*

Hence if such $\{u_w\}_{w\in W}$ exists, then it is unique and u_w has weight $w\lambda$. We denote u_w by $S_w u$.

For $\lambda \in P$, let us denote by $W(\lambda)$ the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module generated by u_λ with the defining relation that u_λ is an extremal vector of weight λ (see [17]). This is in fact a set of infinitely many linear relations on u_λ.

Set $\varpi_i = \gcd(c_0, c_i)^{-1}(c_0\Lambda_i - c_i\Lambda_0) \in P^0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then $\{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,n}$ forms a basis of P^0_{cl}. We call ϖ_i a *level* 0 *fundamental weight*. As shown in [18], for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, there exists a $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module automorphism $z_i \colon W(\varpi_i) \to W(\varpi_i)$ which sends u_{ϖ_i} to $u_{\varpi_i + \mathsf{d}_i\delta}$, where $\mathsf{d}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ denotes the generator of the free abelian group $\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \varpi_i + m\delta \in W\varpi_i\}$.

We define the $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module $V(\varpi_i)$ by

$$V(\varpi_i) = W(\varpi_i)/(z_i - 1)W(\varpi_i).$$

It can be characterized as follows ([1, § 1.3]):

1. the weights of $V(\varpi_i)$ are contained in the convex hull of $W_{\mathrm{cl}}\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)$,
2. $\dim V(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)} = 1$,
3. for any $\mu \in W_{\mathrm{cl}}\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i) \subset P^0_{\mathrm{cl}}$, we can associate a non-zero vector u_μ of weight μ such that
$$u_{s_i\mu} = \begin{cases} f_i^{(\langle h_i,\mu\rangle)} u_\mu & \text{if } \langle h_i, \mu\rangle \geq 0, \\ e_i^{(-\langle h_i,\mu\rangle)} u_\mu & \text{if } \langle h_i, \mu\rangle \leq 0, \end{cases}$$
4. $V(\varpi_i)$ is generated by $V(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}$ as a $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module.

We call $V(\varpi_i)$ the *fundamental representation of* $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ *of weight* ϖ_i.

We have $V(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{aff}} \simeq \mathbf{k}[z_i^{1/\mathsf{d}_i}] \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[z_i]} W(\varpi_i)$, and hence if $\mathsf{d}_i = 1$, then $W(\varpi_i) \simeq V(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{aff}}$ [18, Theorem 5.15].

An involution of a $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module M is called a *bar involution* if $\overline{au} = \bar{a}\bar{u}$ holds for any $a \in U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ and $u \in M$. We say that a finite crystal B with weight in P^0_{cl} is a *simple crystal* if there exists $\lambda \in P^0_{\mathrm{cl}}$ such that $\sharp(B_\lambda) = 1$ and the weight of any extremal vector of B is contained $W_{\mathrm{cl}}\lambda$. If a $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module M has a bar involution, a crystal basis with simple crystal graph, and a global basis, then we say that M is a *good module* ([18, § 8]). For example, the fundamental representation $V(\varpi_i)$ is a good $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module. Any good module is a simple $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module.

2.2. **R-matrices.**

We recall the notion of R-matrices of good modules following [18, § 8]. For a vector v in a $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module M, assume that $\mathrm{wt}(v)$ is of level 0 and dominant with respect to I_0. Then v is an extremal weight vector if and only if $\mathrm{wt}(U_q'(\mathfrak{g})v) \subset \mathrm{wt}(v)+\sum_{i\in I_0}\mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}\mathrm{cl}(\alpha_i)$. In this case, we call v a *dominant extremal weight vector of M*.

Let M_1 and M_2 be good $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules, and let u_1 and u_2 be dominant extremal weight vectors in M_1 and M_2, respectively. Then there exists a unique $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module homomorphism

$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}\colon (M_1)_{\mathrm{aff}}\otimes(M_2)_{\mathrm{aff}} \to \mathbf{k}(z_1,z_2)\otimes_{\mathbf{k}[z_1^{\pm1},z_2^{\pm1}]}\big((M_2)_{\mathrm{aff}}\otimes(M_1)_{\mathrm{aff}}\big) \tag{2.2.1}$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}\circ z_1 = z_1\circ R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}, \quad R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}\circ z_2 = z_2\circ R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2},\\ &\text{that is, } R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2} \text{ is } \mathbf{k}[z_1,z_2]\text{-linear,}\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.2}$$

and

$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}(u_1\otimes u_2) = u_2\otimes u_1 \tag{2.2.3}$$

([18, § 8]). Here we write z_k for the automorphism z_{M_k} of $(M_k)_{\mathrm{aff}}$ $(k=1,2)$. We sometimes write $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}(z_1,z_2)$ if we want to emphasize z_1 and z_2 as variables and consider the R-matrix as an element of $\mathbf{k}(z_1,z_2)\otimes_{\mathbf{k}}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(M_1\otimes M_2, M_2\otimes M_1)$.

Note that $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}\big((M_1)_{\mathrm{aff}}\otimes(M_2)_{\mathrm{aff}}\big) \subset \mathbf{k}(z_2/z_1)\otimes_{\mathbf{k}[(z_2/z_1)^{\pm1}]}\big((M_2)_{\mathrm{aff}}\otimes(M_1)_{\mathrm{aff}}\big)$. Let $d_{M_1,M_2}(u)\in\mathbf{k}[u]$ be a monic polynomial of the smallest degree such that the image of $d_{M_1,M_2}(z_2/z_1)R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}$ is contained in $(M_2)_{\mathrm{aff}}\otimes(M_1)_{\mathrm{aff}}$. We call $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}$ the *normalized R-matrix* and d_{M_1,M_2} the *denominator of $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}$*.

Since $(M_1)_x\otimes(M_2)_y$ is irreducible for generic $x,y\in\mathbf{k}^\times$, we have

$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_2,M_1}\circ R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2} = \mathrm{id}_{(M_1)_{\mathrm{aff}}\otimes(M_2)_{\mathrm{aff}}}\,. \tag{2.2.4}$$

The normalized R-matrices satisfy the *Yang-Baxter equation* (cf. (1.3.4)).

$$\begin{aligned}&(R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_2,M_3}\otimes 1)\circ(1\otimes R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_3})\circ(R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2}\otimes 1)\\ &\qquad\qquad = (1\otimes R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_2})\circ(R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_1,M_3}\otimes 1)\circ(1\otimes R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_2,M_3}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.5}$$

Let $M_1,\dots,M_t$ be good modules and $w\in\mathfrak{S}_t$. Let z_k be z_{M_k} in $\mathrm{End}((M_k)_{\mathrm{aff}})$. Then we can define

$$\begin{aligned}&R^{\mathrm{norm}}{}^{\,w}_{M_1,\dots,M_t}\colon (M_1)_{\mathrm{aff}}\otimes\cdots(M_t)_{\mathrm{aff}}\\ &\qquad\qquad \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}(z_1,\dots,z_t)\otimes_{\mathbf{k}[z_1^{\pm1},\dots,z_t^{\pm1}]}(M_{w(1)})_{\mathrm{aff}}\otimes\cdots(M_{w(t)})_{\mathrm{aff}}\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.6}$$

as

$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}{}^{\,w}_{M_1,\dots,M_t} = R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_{w_\ell(i_\ell)},M_{w_\ell(1+i_\ell)}}\circ\cdots\circ R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{M_{w_1(i_1)},M_{w_1(1+i_1)}}$$

for a reduced expression $w=s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_\ell}$ of w, and $w_k = s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_{k-1}}$. By the Yang-Baxter equation for normalized R-matrices, this definition does not depend on the choice of reduced expressions of w. We write ${R^{\mathrm{norm}}}_{M_1,\dots,M_t}$ for ${R^{\mathrm{norm}}}^{w_t}_{M_1,\dots,M_t}$, when w_t is the longest element of $\mathfrak{S}_t$.

The following facts are proved in [1, 18]. .

Theorem 2.2.1.

- (i) *For good modules M_1, M_2, the zeroes of $d_{M_1,M_2}(z)$ belong to $\mathbb{C}[[q^{1/m}]]\ q^{1/m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.*
- (ii) *Let M_k be a good module with a dominant extremal vector u_k of weight λ_k. and $a_k \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ for $k = 1, \dots, t$.*

  Assume that a_j/a_i is not a zero of $d_{M_i,M_j}(z)$ for any $1 \le i < j \le t$, Then the following statements hold.
  - (a) *$(M_1)_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (M_t)_{a_t}$ is generated by $u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_t$.*
  - (b) *The head of $(M_1)_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (M_t)_{a_t}$ is simple.*
  - (c) *The vector $u_t \otimes \cdots \otimes u_1$ cogenerates $(M_t)_{a_t} \otimes \cdots \otimes (M_1)_{a_1}$; i.e., any non-zero submodule contains this vector.*
  - (d) *The socle of $(M_t)_{a_t} \otimes \cdots \otimes (M_1)_{a_1}$ is simple.*
  - (e) *Let*
    $$r\colon (M_1)_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (M_t)_{a_t} \to (M_t)_{a_t} \otimes \cdots \otimes (M_1)_{a_1}$$
    be the specialization of ${R^{\mathrm{norm}}}_{M_1,\dots,M_t}$ at $z_k = a_k$. Then the image of r is simple and it coincides with the head of $(M_1)_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (M_t)_{a_t}$ and also with the socle of $(M_t)_{a_t} \otimes \cdots \otimes (M_1)_{a_1}$.
- (iii) *Let M be a simple integrable $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module M. Then, there exists a finite sequence $\big((i_1, a_1), \dots, (i_t, a_t)\big)$ in $I_0 \times \mathbf{k}^\times$ such that $d_{V(\varpi_{i_k}),V(\varpi_{i_{k'}})}(a_{k'}/a_k) \neq 0$ for $1 \le k < k' \le t$ and M is isomorphic to the head of $V(\varpi_{i_1})_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\varpi_{i_t})_{a_t}$. Moreover, such a sequence $\big((i_1, a_1), \dots, (i_t, a_t)\big)$ is unique up to permutation.*

Example 2.2.2. When $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N$, the normalized R-matrices for the fundamental representations are given as follows for $1 \le k, \ell \le N-1$ (see, for example, [8]):

$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V(\varpi_k),V(\varpi_\ell)} = \sum_{0 \le i \le \min(k,\ell,N-k,N-\ell)} \prod_{s=1}^{i} \frac{1-(-q)^{|k-\ell|+2s} z}{z-(-q)^{|k-\ell|+2s}}\ P_{\varpi_{\max(k,\ell)+i}+\varpi_{\min(k,\ell)-i}}, \tag{2.2.7}$$

where $z = z_{V(\varpi_\ell)}/z_{V(\varpi_k)}$ and P_λ denotes the projection from $V(\varpi_k) \otimes V(\varpi_\ell)$ to the direct summand $V(\lambda)$ as a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$-module. We understand $\varpi_0 = \varpi_N = 0$.

Note that

$$d_{V(\varpi_k),V(\varpi_\ell)}(z) = \prod_{s=1}^{\min(k,\ell,N-k,N-\ell)} \big(z-(-q)^{|k-\ell|+2s}\big) = \prod_{\substack{|k-\ell|+2 \le p \le k+\ell,\, 2N-k-\ell,\\ p \equiv k-\ell \bmod 2}} (z-(-q)^p). \tag{2.2.8}$$

Remark 2.2.3. The above example shows that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $A^{(1)}_{N-1}$ ($N \ge 2$), then every normalized R-matrix between two fundamental representations of $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ has only simple poles. In the forthcoming paper, we will show that it is not true when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $D_N^{(1)}$ $(N \geq 4)$.

3. Affine Schur-Weyl duality via Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras

3.1. **Action of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras on $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$.** Let $\{V_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ be a family of good $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules and let λ_s be a dominant extremal weight of V_s and v_s a dominant extremal weight vector in V_s of weight λ_s.

Let J be an index set and let $X\colon J \to \mathbb{T}$ and $S\colon J \to \mathcal{S}$ be maps, where $\mathbb{T} = \mathbf{k}^\times$ is the algebraic torus. For each $i, j \in J$, we choose $c_{i,j}(u,v) \in \mathbf{k}[[u,v]]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &c_{i,j}(u,v)c_{j,i}(v,u) = 1,\\ &c_{i,i}(u,v) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.1}$$

Set

$$P_{ij}(u,v) = (u-v)^{d_{ij}} c_{i,j}(u,v), \tag{3.1.2}$$

where d_{ij} denotes the order of the zero of $d_{V_{S(i)},V_{S(j)}}(z_2/z_1)$ at $z_2/z_1 = X(j)/X(i)$.

Let R^J be the symmetric Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra associated with

$$Q_{ij}(u,v) = \delta(i \neq j) P_{ij}(u,v) P_{ji}(v,u) = \delta(i \neq j)(u-v)^{d_{ij}}(v-u)^{d_{ji}} \tag{3.1.3}$$

for $i, j \in J$.

Remark 3.1.1. If we consider the non-graded R^J-module category R^J-mod, the choice of $c_{i,j}$ is not important, and we can choose, for example, $c_{i,j}(u,v) = 1$. Indeed, adding $c_{i,j}(u,v)$ is equivalent to the change of generators $\tau_k e(\nu) \mapsto c_{\nu_k,\nu_{k+1}}(x_x, x_{k+1})\tau_k e(\nu)$. However, as we shall see later in Theorem 4.6.5, we need to choose $c_{i,j}(u,v)$ carefully when we are concerned with localizations of the graded R^J-module category R^J-gmod.

Remark 3.1.2. The underlying Cartan matrix $A_J = (a_{ij}^J)_{i,j\in J}$ is given by

$$a_{ij}^J = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = j,\\ -d_{ij} - d_{ji} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \tag{3.1.4}$$

Consider the quiver $\Gamma_J := (J, \Omega)$ with the set of vertices J and the set of oriented edges Ω such that

$$\sharp\{h \in \Omega \mid s(h) = i, t(h) = j\} = d_{ij} \quad \text{for all } i, j \in J,$$

where $s(h)$ and $t(h)$ denote the source and the target of an oriented edge $h \in \Omega$. Theorem 2.2.1 implies that $X(j)/X(i) \in \mathbb{C}[[q^{1/m}]]q^{1/m}$ for some $m > 0$ if $d_{ij} > 0$. Hence we obtain

$$\text{if } d_{ij} > 0, \text{ then } d_{ji} = 0.$$

Thus the quiver Γ_J has neither loops nor cycles. The underlying unoriented graph of Γ_J gives a symmetric Cartan datum and the polynomials in (3.1.3) are essentially same as the ones used in [32] associated with the symmetric Cartan datum of Γ_J.

Let

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^n,X(\nu)} = \mathbf{k}[[X_1 - X(\nu_1), \dots, X_n - X(\nu_n)]]$$

be the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{T}^n,X(\nu)}$ of $\mathbb{T}^n$ at $X(\nu) := (X(\nu_1), \dots, X(\nu_n))$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\nu$ the fraction field of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^n,X(\nu)}$. For $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$ with $|\beta| = n$, set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_\beta &:= \bigoplus_{\nu \in J^\beta} \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]e(\nu) \subset R^J(\beta), \\
\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_\beta &:= \bigoplus_{\nu \in J^\beta} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^n,X(\nu)}e(\nu), \\
\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta &:= \bigoplus_{\nu \in J^\beta} \widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\nu e(\nu).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}_\beta \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_\beta \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta$$

as $\mathbf{k}$-algebras, where the first arrow is given by

$$x_k e(\nu) \mapsto X(\nu_k)^{-1}\big(X_k - X(\nu_k)\big)e(\nu).$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{k}[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}] \subset \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^n,X(\nu)} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^n,X(\nu)} \simeq \mathbf{k}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \quad \text{for all } \nu \in J^\beta.$$

Let

$$\mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{S}_n] := \bigoplus_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \mathbf{k} r_w$$

be the group algebra of $\mathfrak{S}_n$; i.e., the $\mathbf{k}$-algebra with the multiplication $r_w r_{w'} = r_{ww'}$ for $w, w' \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. We denote r_{s_i} by r_i for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts on $\mathbb{P}_\beta$, $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_\beta$, $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta$ from the left and we have

$$\mathbb{P}_\beta \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{S}_n] \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_\beta \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{S}_n] \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$$

as algebras. Here the algebra structure on $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ is given by

$$r_w f = w(f) r_w \quad \text{for } f \in \widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta,\ w \in \mathfrak{S}_n. \tag{3.1.5}$$

Then $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta$ may be regarded as a right $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$-module by

$$a(f \otimes r_w) = w^{-1}(af) \quad (a, f \in \widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta,\ w \in \mathfrak{S}_n).$$

Define $\tau_a \in \widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ by

$$e(\nu)\tau_a = \begin{cases} e(\nu) P_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a, x_{a+1}) r_a & \text{if } \nu_a \neq \nu_{a+1}, \\ e(\nu)(r_a - 1)(x_a - x_{a+1})^{-1} & \text{if } \nu_a = \nu_{a+1}. \end{cases}$$

Then the subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ generated by

$$e(\nu)\ (\nu \in J^\beta), \quad x_a\ (1 \le a \le n), \quad \tau_a\ (1 \le a \le n-1)$$

is isomorphic to the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra $R^J(\beta)$ at β associated with $Q_{ij}(u,v)=\delta(i\neq j)P_{ij}(u,v)P_{ji}(v,u)$ ([29, Proposition 3.12], [21, Theorem 2.5]).

For each $\nu=(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_n)\in J^\beta$, we set

$$V_\nu=(V_{S(\nu_1)})_{\mathrm{aff}}\otimes\cdots\otimes(V_{S(\nu_n)})_{\mathrm{aff}}$$

which is a $\big(\mathbf{k}[X_1^{\pm1},\dots,X_n^{\pm1}]\otimes U_q'(\mathfrak{g})\big)$-module, where $X_k=z_{V_{S(\nu_k)}}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{V}_\nu\quad&:=\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^n,X(\nu)}\otimes_{\mathbf{k}[X_1^{\pm1},\dots,X_n^{\pm1}]}V_\nu,\\ \widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}\quad&:=\textstyle\bigoplus_{\nu\in J^\beta}\widehat{V}_\nu e(\nu),\\ \widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}_{\widehat{\mathbb{K}}}\quad&:=\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta\otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_\beta}\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}\simeq\textstyle\bigoplus_{\nu\in J^\beta}\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\nu\otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^n,X(\nu)}}\widehat{V}_\nu\end{aligned}\tag{3.1.6}$$

For each $\nu\in J^\beta$ and $a=1,\dots,n-1$, there exists a $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module homomorphism

$$R^\nu_{a,a+1}\colon\mathbf{k}(X_1,\dots,X_n)\otimes_{\mathbf{k}[X_1^{\pm1},\dots X_n^{\pm1}]}V_\nu\to\mathbf{k}(X_1,\dots,X_n)\otimes_{\mathbf{k}[X_1^{\pm1},\dots X_n^{\pm1}]}V_{s_a(\nu)}$$

which is given by

$$v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_a\otimes v_{a+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_n\mapsto v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V_{S(\nu_a)},V_{S(\nu_{a+1})}}(v_a\otimes v_{a+1})\otimes\cdots\otimes v_n$$

for $v_k\in(V_{S(\nu_k)})_{\mathrm{aff}}$ $(1\le k\le n)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&R^\nu_{a,a+1}\circ X_k=X_{s_a(k)}\circ R^\nu_{a,a+1} &&\text{from (2.2.2)},\\ &R^{s_a(\nu)}_{a,a+1}\circ R^\nu_{a,a+1}=1_{V_\nu} &&\text{from (2.2.4)},\\ &R^{s_{a+1}s_a(\nu)}_{a,a+1}\circ R^{s_a(\nu)}_{a+1,a+2}\circ R^\nu_{a,a+1}=R^{s_as_{a+1}(\nu)}_{a+1,a+2}\circ R^{s_{a+1}(\nu)}_{a,a+1}\circ R^\nu_{a+1,a+2} &&\text{from (2.2.5)}.\end{aligned}$$

Set $d_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(u)=d_{V_{S(\nu_a)},V_{S(\nu_{a+1})}}(u)$. Then, we have

$$R^\nu_{a,a+1}\circ d_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(X_{a+1}/X_a)\colon V_\nu\to V_{s_a(\nu)}.\tag{3.1.7}$$

The algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta\otimes\mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ acts on $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}_{\widehat{\mathbb{K}}}$ from the right, where

$$\begin{aligned}e(\nu)r_a\colon\ &\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\nu\otimes_{\mathbf{k}[X_1^{\pm1},\dots X_n^{\pm1}]}V_\nu\\ &\quad\to\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{s_a(\nu)}\otimes_{\mathbf{k}(X_1,\dots,X_n)}\big(\mathbf{k}(X_1,\dots,X_n)\otimes_{\mathbf{k}[X_1^{\pm1},\dots X_n^{\pm1}]}V_{s_a(\nu)}\big)\end{aligned}$$

is given by

$$(f\otimes v)e(\nu)r_a=s_a(f)e(s_a(\nu))\otimes R^\nu_{a,a+1}(v)$$

for $f\in\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\nu$, $v\in\mathbf{k}(X_1,\dots,X_n)\otimes_{\mathbf{k}[X_1^{\pm1},\dots,X_n^{\pm1}]}V_\nu$. The subalgebra $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta$ acts by the multiplication. The relation (3.1.5) follows from the properties of normalized R-matrices and hence we have a well-defined right action of the algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta\otimes\mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ on $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}_{\widehat{\mathbb{K}}}$. Since the normalized R-matrices are $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module homomorphisms, $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}_{\widehat{\mathbb{K}}}$ has a structure of $(U_q'(\mathfrak{g}),\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta\otimes\mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{S}_n])$-bimodule.

Theorem 3.1.3. *The subspace $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$ of $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}_{\widehat{\mathbb{K}}}$ is stable under the right action of the subalgebra $R^J(\beta)$ of $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_\beta \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$. In particular, $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$ has a structure of $(U_q'(\mathfrak{g}), R^J(\beta))$-bimodule.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$ is stable under the actions of $e(\nu)$ $(\nu \in J^\beta)$ and x_a $(1 \le a \le n)$. Thus it is enough to show that $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$ is stable under $e(\nu)\tau_a$ $(\nu \in J^\beta,\ 1 \le a < n)$.

Assume $\nu_a \neq \nu_{a+1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&e(\nu)P_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a, x_{a+1})\\ &\quad= e(\nu)d_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(X_{a+1}/X_a)\frac{(X(\nu_a)^{-1}X_a - X(\nu_{a+1})^{-1}X_{a+1})^{d_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}}c_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a, x_{a+1})}{d_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(X_{a+1}/X_a)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $d_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}$ is the multiplicity of the zero of the polynomial $d_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(X_{a+1}/X_a)$ at $X_{a+1}/X_a = X(\nu_{a+1})/X(\nu_a)$, we have

$$\frac{(X(\nu_a)^{-1}X_a - X(\nu_{a+1})^{-1}X_{a+1})^{d_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}}c_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a, x_{a+1})}{d_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(X_{a+1}/X_a)} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^n, X(\nu)}.$$

It follows that

$$\widehat{V}_\nu e(\nu)P_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a, x_{a+1}) \subset \widehat{V}_\nu e(\nu)d_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(X_{a+1}/X_a).$$

Hence

$$\widehat{V}_\nu e(\nu)\tau_a = \widehat{V}_\nu e(\nu)P_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a, x_{a+1})r_a \subset \widehat{V}_\nu e(\nu)d_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(X_{a+1}/X_a)r_a,$$

and it is contained in $\widehat{V}_{s_a(\nu)}e(s_a(\nu))$ by (3.1.7).

Assume $\nu_a = \nu_{a+1}$. Then $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V_{S(\nu_a)},V_{S(\nu_a)}}$ does not have a pole at $X_a = X_{a+1}$ by Theorem 2.2.1. Since $(V_{S(\nu_a)})_x \otimes (V_{S(\nu_a)})_x$ is irreducible for any $x \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, we obtain $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V_{S(\nu_a)},V_{S(\nu_a)}}|_{X_a=X_{a+1}} = \mathrm{id}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{V}_\nu e(\nu)\tau_a &= \widehat{V}_\nu e(\nu)(r_a - 1)(x_a - x_{a+1})^{-1}\\ &= \widehat{V}_\nu e(\nu)X(\nu_a)(r_a - 1)(X_a - X_{a+1})^{-1} \subset \widehat{V}_\nu e(\nu),\end{aligned}$$

as desired. ☐

3.2. **The Functor $\mathcal{F}$.** Since $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$ is a $(U_q'(\mathfrak{g}), R^J(\beta))$-bimodule, we can construct the following functor:

$$\mathcal{F}_\beta\colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{gr}}(R^J(\beta)) \to \mathrm{Mod}(U_q'(\mathfrak{g})) \tag{3.2.1}$$

sending an $R^J(\beta)$-module M to the $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module

$$\mathcal{F}_\beta(M) := \widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta} \otimes_{R^J(\beta)} M.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{F}_n := \bigoplus_{\beta\in \mathsf{Q}^+,\, |\beta|=n} \mathcal{F}_\beta : \mathrm{Mod}(R^J(n)) \to \mathrm{Mod}(U_q'(\mathfrak{g})),$$
$$\mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}} \mathcal{F}_n \colon \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}} \mathrm{Mod}(R^J(n)) \to \mathrm{Mod}(U_q'(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Recall that $\mathscr{C}_\mathfrak{g}$ denotes the category of finite-dimensional integrable $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules.

Theorem 3.2.1. *The functor $\mathcal{F}$ induces a tensor functor*

$$\mathcal{F}\colon\ \textstyle\bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathsf{Q}^+} R^J(\beta)\text{-gmod} \to \mathscr{C}_\mathfrak{g}.$$

Namely, $\mathcal{F}$ sends finite-dimensional graded $R^J(\beta)$-modules to $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-modules in $\mathscr{C}_\mathfrak{g}$, and there exist canonical $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{F}(R^J(0)) \simeq \mathbf{k}, \quad \mathcal{F}(M_1\circ M_2) \simeq \mathcal{F}(M_1)\otimes\mathcal{F}(M_2)$$

for $M_1 \in R^J(\beta_1)$-gmod and $M_2 \in R^J(\beta_2)$-gmod such that the diagrams in (A.1.2) *is commutative .*

Proof. (i) First let us show that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ belongs to $\mathscr{C}_\mathfrak{g}$ for any $M \in R^J(\beta)$-gmod. Since $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$ has a weight decomposition, $\mathcal{F}(M) = \widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}\otimes_{\mathrm{R}^J(\beta)} M$ has also a weight decomposition. Since $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is a quotient of $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}\otimes_{\mathbb{P}_\beta} M$ which is finite-dimensional, $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is also finite-dimensional.

(ii) We shall construct a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{F}(M_1\circ M_2)\simeq\mathcal{F}(M_1)\otimes\mathcal{F}(M_2)$. Set $\beta=\beta_1+\beta_2$. For each $\nu=(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_n)\in J^\beta$ such that $\nu'=(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_{n_1})\in J^{\beta_1}$, $\nu''=(\nu_{1+n_1},\dots,\nu_n)\in J^{\beta_2}$, we have an algebra homomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^{n_1},X(\nu')}\otimes\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^{n_2},X(\nu'')}\to\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^n,X(\nu)}$. Moreover, for any finite-dimensional $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^{n_1},X(\nu')}$-module L_1 and any finite-dimensional $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^{n_1},X(\nu'')}$-module L_2, the induced morphism

$$L_1\otimes L_2 \to \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^n,X(\nu)} \mathop{\otimes}_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^{n_1},X(\nu')}\otimes\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^{n_2},X(\nu'')}} (L_1\otimes L_2)$$

is an isomorphism. Hence for any finite-dimensional $\mathbb{P}_{\beta_1}$-module L_1 and any finite-dimensional $\mathbb{P}_{\beta_2}$-module L_2, the induced morphism

$$(\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta_1}\otimes\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta_2}) \mathop{\otimes}_{\mathbb{P}_{\beta_1}\otimes\mathbb{P}_{\beta_2}} (L_1\otimes L_2) \to \widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta} \mathop{\otimes}_{\mathbb{P}_{\beta_1}\otimes\mathbb{P}_{\beta_2}} (L_1\otimes L_2)$$

is an isomorphism.

The module $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}\otimes_{R^J(\beta)}(M_1\circ M_2)\simeq\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}\otimes_{R^J(\beta_1)\otimes R^J(\beta_2)}(M_1\otimes M_2)$ is the quotient of $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}\otimes_{\mathbb{P}_{\beta_1}\otimes\mathbb{P}_{\beta_2}}(M_1\otimes M_2)$ by the submodule generated by $va\otimes u - v\otimes au$, where $a\in R^J(\beta_1)\otimes R^J(\beta_2)$, $v\in\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$, $u\in M_1\otimes M_2$. A similar result holds for $\bigl(\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta_1}\otimes\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta_2}\bigr)\otimes_{R^J(\beta_1)\otimes R^J(\beta_2)}(M_1\otimes M_2)$. Thus we obtain the desired result

$$\bigl(\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta_1}\otimes\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta_2}\bigr)\otimes_{R^J(\beta_1)\otimes R^J(\beta_2)}(M_1\otimes M_2)\simeq\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}\otimes_{R^J(\beta_1)\otimes R^J(\beta_2)}(M_1\otimes M_2).$$

The commutativity of (A.1.2) is immediate. □

The following proposition is obvious by the construction.

Proposition 3.2.2.

(i) *For any* $i \in J$, *we have*

$$\mathcal{F}(L(i)_z) \simeq \mathbf{k}[[z]] \underset{\mathbf{k}[z_{V_{S(i)}}]}{\otimes} (V_{S(i)})_{\mathrm{aff}}, \tag{3.2.2}$$

where $\mathbf{k}[z^{\pm 1}_{V_{S(i)}}] \to \mathbf{k}[[z]]$ *is given by* $z_{V_{S(i)}} \mapsto X(i)(1+z)$.

(ii) *For* $i, j \in J$, *let* $\phi = R_{L(i)_z, L(j)_{z'}} \colon L(i)_z \circ L(j)_{z'} \to L(j)_{z'} \circ L(i)_z$; *i.e., the* $R^J(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)$*-module homomorphism given by*

$$\phi\big(u(i)_z \otimes u(j)_{z'}\big) = \varphi_1\big(u(j)_{z'} \otimes u(i)_z\big), \tag{3.2.3}$$

where φ_1 *is the intertwiner defined in* § 1.3.1. *Then we have*

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi) = (X_i/X(i) - X_j/X(j))^{d_{i,j}} c_{i,j}(X_i/X(i) - 1, X_j/X(j) - 1) R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V_{S(i)}, V_{S(j)}}$$

as a morphism

$$\begin{aligned}&\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^2,(X(i),X(j))} \underset{\mathbf{k}[X_i^{\pm 1}, X_j^{\pm 1}]}{\otimes} \big((V_{S(i)})_{\mathrm{aff}} \otimes (V_{S(j)})_{\mathrm{aff}}\big) \\ &\qquad\longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{T}^2,(X(j),X(i))} \underset{\mathbf{k}[X_j^{\pm 1}, X_i^{\pm 1}]}{\otimes} \big((V_{S(j)})_{\mathrm{aff}} \otimes (V_{S(i)})_{\mathrm{aff}}\big),\end{aligned}$$

where $X_i = z_{V(S(i))}$ *and* $X_j = z_{V(S(j))}$.

3.3. **Exactness of the functor** $\mathcal{F}$**.** The following propositions are key ingredients in proving our main theorem.

Proposition 3.3.1 ([20, Corollary 2.9], [27, Theorem 4.6])**.** *If the quiver associated with* R^J *is of finite type* A, D, E, *then* $R^J(\beta)$ *has a finite global dimension for every* $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$.

Proposition 3.3.2. *Let* $A \to B$ *be a homomorphism of algebras. We assume the following conditions:*

(a) *B is a finitely generated projective A-module,*
(b) $\mathrm{Hom}_A(B, A)$ *is a projective* B*-module,*
(c) *the global dimension of B is finite.*

Then we have:

(i) *any B-module projective over A is projective over B,*
(ii) *any B-module flat over A is flat over B.*

Proof. Since the proof is similar, we give only the proof of (ii).

Let us denote by $\operatorname{flat.dim_A} M$ the flat dimension of an A-module M. Then we have

$$\operatorname{flat.dim_A}(M) \le \operatorname{flat.dim_B}(M)$$

for any B-module M, because $\operatorname{Tor}_k^A(N,M) \simeq \operatorname{Tor}_k^B(N\otimes_A B, M)$ for any A^{opp}-module N and $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ by (a).

By (b), $\operatorname{Hom}_A(B,A)\otimes_A L$ is a flat B-module if L is a flat A-module. Indeed, the functor $X\otimes_B \operatorname{Hom}_A(B,A)$ is exact in $X\in \operatorname{Mod}(B^{\mathrm{opp}})$ and hence the functor $X\otimes_B \operatorname{Hom}_A(B,A)\otimes_A L$ is also exact in X.

On the other hand, for any A-module L, the canonical B-module homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_A(B,A)\underset{A}{\otimes} L \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(B,L), \qquad f\otimes s \longmapsto (B\ni b\mapsto f(b)s)$$

is an isomorphism by (a). Hence we conclude that $\operatorname{Hom}_A(B,L)$ is a flat B-module for any flat A-module L. It immediately implies that

$$\operatorname{flat.dim_B}\bigl(\operatorname{Hom}_A(B,L)\bigr) \le \operatorname{flat.dim_A}(L) \quad \text{for any } A\text{-module } L.$$

Now, let M be a B-module. Then there exists a canonical B-module homomorphism

$$\varphi_M\colon M\to \operatorname{Hom}_A(B,M)$$

given by $\varphi_M(x)(b)=bx$. It is evidently injective.

In order to prove the proposition, it is enough to show the following statement for any $d\ge 0$:

$$\text{for any } B\text{-module } M,\ \operatorname{flat.dim_A}(M)\le d \text{ implies } \operatorname{flat.dim_B}(M)\le d.$$

We shall show it by the descending induction on d. If $d\gg 0$, it is a consequence of (c). Let M be a B-module with $\operatorname{flat.dim_A}(M)\le d$. We have an exact sequence

$$0\to M\xrightarrow{\varphi_M}\operatorname{Hom}_A(B,M)\to N\to 0.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{flat.dim_A}\bigl(\operatorname{Hom}_A(B,M)\bigr)\le \operatorname{flat.dim_B}\bigl(\operatorname{Hom}_A(B,M)\bigr)\le \operatorname{flat.dim_A}(M)\le d.$$

Hence we have $\operatorname{flat.dim_A} N\le d+1$, which implies that $\operatorname{flat.dim_B}(N)\le d+1$ by the induction hypothesis. Finally, we conclude that $\operatorname{flat.dim_B}(M)\le d$. Thus the induction proceeds. □

Theorem 3.3.3. *If the quiver associated with R^J is of finite type A, D, E, then the functor $\mathcal{F}_\beta$ is exact for every $\beta\in \mathsf{Q}^+$.*

Proof. Let us apply Proposition 3.3.2 with $A=\mathbb{P}_\beta^{\mathrm{opp}}$ and $B=R^J(\beta)^{\mathrm{opp}}$. The conditions (a) and (b) are well-known, and (c) is nothing but Proposition 3.3.1. Therefore, $\widehat{V}^{\otimes\beta}$ is a flat $R^J(\beta)^{\mathrm{opp}}$-module since it is a flat $\mathbb{P}_\beta^{\mathrm{opp}}$-module. □

4. QUANTUM AFFINE ALGEBRA $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$ AND THE CATEGORY $\mathcal{C}_J$

In this section, we investigate the tensor category structure of $\mathscr{C}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N}$ $(N \ge 2)$ via its vector representation using the method introduced in the previous section. In the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N$, we have $I = \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$ and

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 2\delta_{i,j} - \delta(i \equiv j+1 \mod N) - \delta(i \equiv j-1 \mod N).$$

The base field $\mathbf{k}$ is $\mathbb{C}(q)$.

4.1. **R-matrix for $V(\varpi_1)$ of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$.** Let $V := V(\varpi_1)$ be the fundamental representation of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$ of fundamental weight ϖ_1. Then $V = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N} \mathbf{k} u_k$ with the action

$$\begin{aligned} e_i(u_k) &= \delta(k \equiv i+1 \mod N) u_i, \\ f_i(u_k) &= \delta(k \equiv i \mod N) u_{i+1}, \\ K_i u_k &= q^{\delta(k \equiv i \bmod N) - \delta(k \equiv i+1 \bmod N)} u_k. \end{aligned}$$

Here $u_0 = u_N$.

The normalized R-matrix

$$R = R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V(\varpi_1), V(\varpi_1)} \colon V_z \otimes V_{z'} \to V_{z'} \otimes V_z$$

is explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} &R((u_i)_z \otimes (u_j)_{z'}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \dfrac{(1-q^2) z'^{\delta(i>j)} z^{\delta(i<j)}}{z' - q^2 z} (u_i)_{z'} \otimes (u_j)_z + \dfrac{q(z'-z)}{z'-q^2 z} (u_j)_{z'} \otimes (u_i)_z & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ (u_i)_{z'} \otimes (u_i)_z & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.1}$$

It shows that $d_{V,V}(z'/z) = z'/z - q^2$.

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{V\}$, $J = \mathbb{Z}$ and let $X \colon J \to \mathbf{k}^\times$ be the map given by $X(j) = q^{2j}$. Then we have

$$d_{ij} = \delta(j = i+1) \quad \text{for } i, j \in J. \tag{4.1.2}$$

Then, for $i, j \in J$, we have

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i - j = \pm 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$Q_{ij}(u, v) = \begin{cases} \pm(u - v) & \text{if } j = i \pm 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore the corresponding Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra $R = R^J$ is of type A_∞.

We take

$$P_J = \bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_a$$

as the weight lattice with $(\epsilon_a, \epsilon_b) = \delta_{a,b}$. The root lattice $\mathsf{Q}_J = \bigoplus_{i\in J} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ is embedded into P_J by $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$. We write as usual Q_J^+ for $\bigoplus_{i\in J} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$.

Note that, for $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}_J^+$ and $\nu \in J^\beta$, we have

$$(\tau_{a+1}\tau_a\tau_{a+1} - \tau_a\tau_{a+1}\tau_a)e(\nu) = \begin{cases} \pm e(\nu) & \text{if } \nu_a = \nu_{a+2} = \nu_{a+1} \mp 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also, we have

$$P_{ij}(u, v) = (u - v)^{\delta(j=i+1)} c_{i,j}(u, v).$$

We will choose $c_{i,j}(u, v)$ satisfying (3.1.1) later in Theorem 4.6.5. Recall that the functor

$$\mathcal{F}\colon \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathsf{Q}_J^+} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{gr}}(R(\beta)) \to \mathrm{Mod}(U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N))$$

defined in (3.2.1) is exact (Theorem 3.3.3).

4.2. **KLR-modules in A case.** Let us recall the representation theory of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras in A-case.

A pair of integer (a, b) such that $a \leq b$ is called a *segment*. The *length* of (a, b) is $b - a + 1$. A *multisegment* is a finite sequence of segments.

For a segment (a, b) of length ℓ, we define a graded 1-dimensional $R(\epsilon_a - \epsilon_{b+1})$-module $L(a, b) = \mathbf{k}u(a, b)$ in $R(\epsilon_a - \epsilon_{b+1})$-gmod which is generated by a vector $u(a, b)$ of degree 0 with the action of $R(\epsilon_a - \epsilon_{b+1})$ given by

(4.2.1)

$$x_m u(a, b) = 0, \quad \tau_k u(a, b) = 0, \quad e(\nu)u(a, b) = \begin{cases} u(a, b) & \text{if } \nu = (a, a+1, \ldots, b), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that it was denoted by $L(a, a+1, \ldots, b)$ in § 1.5. We understand that $L(a, a-1)$ is the 1-dimensional module over $R(0) = \mathbf{k}$ and the length of $(a, a-1)$ is 0. When $a = b$, we use the notation $L(a)$ instead of $L(a, a)$.

Recall that $w[\ell, \ell']$ denotes the element in the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{\ell+\ell'}$ given by

$$w[\ell, \ell'](k) = \begin{cases} \ell' + k & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq \ell, \\ k - \ell & \text{for } \ell < k \leq \ell + \ell', \end{cases}$$

and we write $\tau_{\ell,\ell'}$ for $\tau_{w[\ell,\ell']} \in R(\beta)$ with $|\beta| = \ell + \ell'$. For example, we have $\tau_{1,\ell} = \tau_\ell \cdots \tau_1$.

In the sequel, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}_J^+$ with $|\beta| = n$, we sometimes write $e(n)$ for $e(\beta)$.

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let z and z' be algebraically independent indeterminates. For $a < b$, set $\ell = b - a + 1$. Then we have*

$$\tau_{1,\ell}(e(1) \boxtimes \tau_{\ell-1,1})(u(a,b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z) = u(a,b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z \tag{4.2.2}$$

in $L(a,b)_{z'} \circ L(a)_z$.

Proof. We shall prove it by induction on ℓ. If $\ell = 2$ (i.e., $b = a+1$), then we have

$$\tau_2\tau_1\tau_2(u(a,b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z) = (\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1 + 1)(u(a,b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z) = u(a,b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z.$$

Now assume $\ell > 2$. Set $A_\ell = \tau_{1,\ell}(e(1) \boxtimes \tau_{\ell-1,1})$. Then we have $A_\ell = \tau_\ell A_{\ell-1}\tau_\ell$. By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$A_{\ell-1}\big(u(a,b-1)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z \otimes u(b)_{z'}\big) = u(a,b-1)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z \otimes u(b)_{z'} \tag{4.2.3}$$

in $L(a,b-1)_{z'} \circ L(a)_z \circ L(b)_{z'}$. By applying the homomorphism $L(a,b-1)_{z'} \circ R_{L(a)_z, L(b)_{z'}}$ to (4.2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &A_{\ell-1}(e(\ell-1) \boxtimes \tau_1)\big(u(a,b-1)_{z'} \otimes u(b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z\big) \\ &\qquad = (e(\ell-1) \boxtimes \tau_1)\big(u(a,b-1)_{z'} \otimes u(b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z\big) \end{aligned}$$

because $R_{L(a)_z, L(b)_{z'}}(u(a)_z \otimes u(b)_{z'}) = \tau_1(u(b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z)$.

Hence we have

$$A_{\ell-1}\tau_\ell\big(u(a,b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z\big) = \tau_\ell\big(u(a,b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z\big)$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_\ell\big(u(a,b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z\big) &= \tau_\ell A_{\ell-1}\tau_\ell\big(u(a,b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z\big) \\ &= \tau_\ell^2\big(u(a,b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z\big) = u(a,b)_{z'} \otimes u(a)_z. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2.2. *For $a \leq b$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{L(a,b)_z, L(a,b)_{z'}}(u(a,b)_z \otimes u(a,b)_{z'}) \\ &\qquad = \big((z'-z)^{b-a+1}\tau_{b-a+1,b-a+1} + (z'-z)^{b-a}\big)(u(a,b)_{z'} \otimes u(a,b)_z). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We shall prove it by induction on $\ell := b - a + 1$. It is obvious when $\ell = 1$. Assume that $\ell > 1$. Set $u = u(a,b) \in L(a,b)$, $v = u(a) \in L(a)$, $w = u(a+1,b) \in L(a+1,b)$, $L = L(a,b)_z$, $L_1 = L(a)_z$, $L_2 = L(a+1,b)_z$ and $L' = L(a,b)_{z'}$, $L'_1 = L(a)_{z'}$, $L'_2 = L(a+1,b)_{z'}$.

Then we have

$$R_{L,\, L'_1 \circ L'_2}(u_z \otimes v_{z'} \otimes w_{z'}) = (L'_1 \circ R_{L,L'_2}) \cdot (R_{L,L'_1} \circ L'_2)(u_z \otimes v_{z'} \otimes w_{z'}).$$

Lemma 1.5.3 implies that $(R_{L,L_1'} \circ L_2')(u_z \otimes v_{z'} \otimes w_{z'}) = (z'-z)\tau_{1,\ell}(v_{z'} \otimes u_z \otimes w_{z'})$. Hence we obtain

$$R_{L,\, L_1' \circ L_2'}(u_z \otimes v_{z'} \otimes w_{z'}) = (z'-z)\tau_{1,\ell}\Big(v_{z'} \otimes \big(R_{L,L_2'}(u_z \otimes w_{z'})\big)\Big).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$R_{L_1 \circ L_2, L_2'}(v_z \otimes w_z \otimes w_{z'}) = (R_{L_1,L_2'} \circ L_2) \cdot (L_1 \circ R_{L_2,L_2'})(v_z \otimes w_z \otimes w_{z'}).$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$R_{L_2,L_2'}(w_z \otimes w_{z'}) = ((z'-z)^{\ell-1}\tau_{\ell-1,\ell-1} + (z'-z)^{\ell-2})(w_{z'} \otimes w_z),$$

and $R_{L_1,L_2'}(v_z \otimes w_{z'}) = \tau_{\ell-1,1}(w_{z'} \otimes v_z)$. Hence we obtain

$$R_{L_1 \circ L_2, L_2'}(v_z \otimes w_z \otimes w_{z'}) = \big(e(1) \boxtimes ((z'-z)^{\ell-1}\tau_{\ell-1,\ell-1} + (z'-z)^{\ell-2})\big)\tau_{\ell-1,1}(w_{z'} \otimes v_z \otimes w_z).$$

Applying the morphism $L_1 \circ L_2 \to L$, we obtain

$$R_{L,L_2'}(u_z \otimes w_{z'}) = \big((e(1) \boxtimes ((z'-z)^{\ell-1}\tau_{\ell-1,\ell-1} + (z'-z)^{\ell-2})\big)\tau_{\ell-1,1}(w_{z'} \otimes u_z).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{L,L'}(u_z \otimes u_{z'}) \\ &\quad = (z'-z)\tau_{1,\ell}\Big(e(2) \boxtimes ((z'-z)^{\ell-1}\tau_{\ell-1,\ell-1} + (z'-z)^{\ell-2})\Big)(e(1) \boxtimes \tau_{\ell-1,1})(u_{z'} \otimes u_z). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $\tau_{1,\ell}(e(1) \boxtimes \tau_{\ell-1,1})(u_{z'} \otimes u_z) = u_{z'} \otimes u_z$ by the preceding lemma. Together with $\tau_{1,\ell}(e(2) \boxtimes \tau_{\ell-1,\ell-1})(e(1) \boxtimes \tau_{\ell-1,1}) = \tau_{\ell,\ell}$, we obtain the desired result. □

Some parts of the following proposition appeared in [33] in terms of modules over affine Hecke algebras.

We omit the details of its proof.

Proposition 4.2.3. *For* $a \le b$ *and* $a' \le b'$, *set* $\ell = b-a+1$, $\ell' = b'-a'+1$ *and* $p = \sharp([a,b] \cap [a',b']) = \max(0, \min(b',b) - \max(a,a')+1)$, $\beta = \epsilon_a - \epsilon_{b+1}$ *and* $\beta' = \epsilon_{a'} - \epsilon_{b'+1}$. *Let* s *be the degree of zeroes of* $R_{L(a,b)_z, L(a',b')_{z'}}$ *(see (1.4.8)).*

(i) *If* $a' = a$ *and* $b' = b$, *then* $s = b-a$ *and we have* $r_{L(a,b),L(a,b)} = \mathrm{id}_{L(a,b) \circ L(a,b)}$.

(ii) (a) *If* $a \le a' \le b \le b'$, *then* $s = b-a'$ *and there exists a nonzero homomorphism*

$$f := r_{L(a,b),L(a',b')} : L(a,b) \circ L(a',b') \to q^{\delta_{a,a'}+\delta_{b,b'}-2} L(a',b') \circ L(a,b).$$

(b) *Unless* $a \le a' \le b \le b'$, *we have* $s = p$,

$$R_{L(a,b)_z, L(a',b')_{z'}}(u(a,b)_z \otimes u(a',b')_{z'}) = (z'-z)^p \tau_{\ell',\ell}(u(a',b')_{z'} \otimes u(a,b)_z)$$

and there exists a nonzero homomorphism

$$g := r_{L(a,b),L(a',b')} : L(a,b) \circ L(a',b') \to q^{(\beta,\beta')} L(a',b') \circ L(a,b)$$

given by $g(u(a,b) \otimes u(a',b')) = \tau_{\ell',\ell}\big(u(a',b') \otimes u(a,b)\big))$.

(iii) *If* $a \le a' \le b' \le b$, *then* $L(a,b) \circ L(a',b')$ *is irreducible and*

$$L(a,b) \circ L(a',b') \simeq q^{\delta_{a,a'}-\delta_{b,b'}} L(a',b') \circ L(a,b).$$

(iv) *If* $b' < a-1$, *then* $L(a,b) \circ L(a',b')$ *is irreducible and*

$$g\colon L(a,b) \circ L(a',b') \xrightarrow{\sim} L(a',b') \circ L(a,b).$$

(v) *If* $a' < a \le b' < b$, *then we have the following exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow qL(a',b) \circ L(a,b') \xrightarrow{\varphi} L(a,b) \circ L(a',b') \\ \xrightarrow{g} L(a',b') \circ L(a,b) \longrightarrow q^{-1}L(a',b) \circ L(a,b') \longrightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, the image of g *coincides with the head of* $L(a,b) \circ L(a',b')$ *and the socle of* $L(a',b') \circ L(a,b)$.

(vi) *If* $a = b'+1$, *then we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \to qL(a',b) \xrightarrow{\psi} L(a,b) \circ L(a',b') \xrightarrow{g} q^{-1}L(a',b') \circ L(a,b) \to q^{-1}L(a',b) \to 0.$$

Moreover, the image of g *coincides with the head of* $L(a,b) \circ L(a',b')$ *and the socle of* $q^{-1}L(a',b') \circ L(a,b)$.

(vii) $\mathrm{d}(L(a,b), L(a',b')) = (\beta,\beta') - 2\delta(a \le a' \le b \le b')$.

Proof. The assertion (i) is noting but Lemma 4.2.2.

Let us show (ii) (a). Let $a \le b$ and $a \le c \le b+1$. Then it is easy to see that there exists a unique morphism

$$\xi_{a,c,b}\colon L(a,b) \longrightarrow q^{\delta_{a,c}+\delta_{c,b+1}-1} L(c,b) \circ L(a,c-1)$$

such that the diagram

(4.2.4)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L(a,c-1) \circ L(c,b) \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[dr, "R_{L(a,c-1),L(c,b)}"'] & L(a,b) \arrow[d, "\xi_{a,c,b}"] \\
& q^{\delta_{a,c}+\delta_{c-1,b}-1} L(c,b) \circ L(a,c-1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. We have explicitly

$$\xi_{a,c,b}(u(a,b)) = \tau_{b-c+1,c-a}\big(u(c,b) \otimes u(a,c-1)\big).$$

Let f be the composition

$$\begin{aligned}
&L(a,b) \circ L(a',b') \\
&\xrightarrow{\xi_{a,a',b}\circ\xi_{a',b+1,b'}} q^{\delta_{a,a'}-1} L(a',b) \circ L(a,a'-1) \circ q^{\delta_{b,b'}-1} L(b+1,b') \circ L(a',b) \\
&\xrightarrow{R_{L(a,a'-1),L(b+1,b')}} q^{\delta_{a,a'}+\delta_{b,b'}-2} L(a',b) \circ L(b+1,b') \circ L(a,a'-1) \circ L(a',b) \\
&\longrightarrow q^{\delta_{a,a'}+\delta_{b,b'}-2} L(a',b') \circ L(a,b).
\end{aligned}$$

We can check easily that this composition does not vanish. Hence it coincides with $r_{L(a,b),\, L(a',b')}$ by using (i) and Lemma 1.4.8.

Let us show (ii) (b).
By Proposition 1.4.4 (iii), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{L(a,b)_z, L(a',b')_{z'}}(u(a,b)_z \otimes u(a',b')_{z'}) \\ &\qquad = (z'-z)^p \tau_{\ell',\ell}(u(a',b')_{z'} \otimes u(a,b)_z) + a(z'-z)\tau_{\ell',\ell}(u(a',b')_{z'} \otimes u(a,b)_z) \\ &\qquad\qquad + \sum_{w \in A} g_w \tau_w (u(a',b')_{z'} \otimes u(a,b)_z), \end{aligned}$$

where $A = \{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\ell',\ell} \mid w \neq w[\ell',\ell]\}$, $a(z'-z)$ is a polynomial of degree $< p$, and $g_w \in \mathbf{k}[z',z]$.

The degree of $(z'-z)^p \tau_{\ell',\ell}(u(a',b')_{z'} \otimes u(a,b)_z)$ is equal to the degree of $a(z'-z)\tau_{\ell',\ell}(u(a',b')_{z'} \otimes u(a,b)_z)$. Hence $a(z'-z)$ should vanish. Moreover, we may assume that $w \in A$ satisfies $w\nu = w[\ell',\ell]\nu$ where $\nu = (a', \ldots, b', a, \ldots, b)$. We can easily see that there is no such w except the case $a \le a' \le b \le b'$. Hence we obtain (ii) (b).

The assertions (iii)-(vi) appeared in [33, Lemma 4] except the descriptions of homomorphisms. We will describe the homomorphisms explicitly.

The left arrow ψ in (vi) is given by (4.2.4).

The left arrow φ in (v) is given by

$$qL(a',b) \circ L(a,b') \xrightarrow{\xi} L(a,b) \circ L(a',a-1) \circ L(a,b') \longrightarrow L(a,b) \circ L(a',b').$$

The right arrow in (v) is given by

$$L(a',b') \circ L(a,b) \xrightarrow{\xi} L(a',b') \circ q^{-1} L(b'+1,b) \circ L(a,b') \to q^{-1} L(a',b) \circ L(a,b').$$

The assertion (vii) is a consequence of (ii). □

Remark 4.2.4. If we had chosen $Q_{i,i+1}(u,v) = v - u$, then $r_{L(a,b),L(a,b)}$ would be $(-1)^{b-a}$ id.

We give a total order on the set of segments as follows:

$$(a_1,b_1) > (a_2,b_2) \qquad \text{if } a_1 > a_2 \text{ or } a_1 = a_2 \text{ and } b_1 > b_2. \tag{4.2.5}$$

The following proposition was proved in [14]. The corresponding statement for affine Hecke algebras was proved in [33, Theorem 2.2] (see also [3, 34]).

Proposition 4.2.5. [14, Theorem 4.8, Theorem 5.1]

(i) *Let M be a finite-dimensional simple graded $R(\ell)$-module. Then there exist a unique pair of a multisegment $\big((a_1,b_1), \ldots, (a_t,b_t)\big)$ and an integer c such that*
  (a) $(a_k,b_k) \ge (a_{k+1},b_{k+1})$ *for* $1 \le k \le t-1$,

(b) $\sum_{k=1}^{t} \ell_k = \ell$, *where* $\ell_k := b_k - a_k + 1$,

(c) $M \simeq q^c \mathrm{hd}\big(L(a_1, b_1) \circ \cdots \circ L(a_t, b_t)\big)$, *where* hd *denotes the head.*

(ii) *Conversely, if a multisegment* $\big((a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_t, b_t)\big)$ *satisfies* (a) *and* (b), *then* $\mathrm{hd}\big(L(a_1, b_1) \circ \cdots \circ L(a_t, b_t)\big)$ *is a simple graded* $R(\ell)$*-module.*

If a multisegment $\big((a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_t, b_t)\big)$ satisfies the condition (a) above, then we say that it is an *ordered multisegment*. We call the ordered multisegment $\big((a_k, b_k)\big)_{1\le k\le t}$ in Proposition 4.2.5 (i) the *multisegment associated with* M.

The following lemma is more or less proved in [33] if we ignore the grading.

Lemma 4.2.6. *Let* $\big((a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_t, b_t)\big)$ *be a multisegment satisfying the conditions* (a), (b) *in* Proposition 4.2.5. *Set* $\beta_k = \epsilon_{a_k} - \epsilon_{b_k+1}$ *and*

$$d := \sum_{1\le i<j\le t} \mathrm{d}(L(a_i, b_i), L(a_j, b_j)) = \sum_{1\le i<j\le t,\, \beta_i \neq \beta_j} (\beta_i, \beta_j).$$

Also set $L = L(a_1, b_1) \circ \cdots \circ L(a_t, b_t)$ *and* $L' = L(a_t, b_t) \circ \cdots \circ L(a_1, b_1)$. *Then the following statements hold.*

(i) $\mathrm{hd}(L)$ *is isomorphic to* $q^d \mathrm{soc}(L')$, *where* soc *denotes the socle.*

(ii) $\big(\mathrm{hd}(L)\big)^* \simeq q^{-2\sum_{1\le i<j\le t} \delta_{\beta_i,\beta_j}} \mathrm{hd}(L)$.

(iii) *For any* $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and any non-zero homomorphism* $\phi\colon L \to q^s L'$, *we have*

$$\mathrm{hd}(L) \simeq \phi(L) \simeq \mathrm{soc}(q^s L').$$

(iv) *We have*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta)\text{-gmod}}(L, q^s L') \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbf{k} & \text{if } s = d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us rename the multisegment $\big((a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_r, b_r)\big)$ by

$$\big((c_1, d_{1,1}), (c_1, d_{1,2}), \ldots, (c_1, d_{1,s_1}), (c_2, d_{2,1}), (c_2, d_{2,2}), \ldots, (c_2, d_{2,s_2}),$$
$$\ldots, (c_p, d_{p,1}), (c_p, d_{p,2}), \ldots, (c_p, d_{p,s_t})\big)$$

satisfying

$$c_k > c_{k+1} \quad (1 \le k < p) \quad \text{and} \quad d_{k,j} \ge d_{k,j+1} \quad (1 \le k \le p,\ 1 \le j < s_k)$$

and set

$$L^k := L(c_k, d_{k,1}) \circ L(c_k, d_{k,2}) \circ \cdots \circ L(c_k, d_{k,s_k})$$

for each $1 \le k \le p$. Then $L \simeq L^1 \circ \cdots \circ L^p$.

The module L^k is an irreducible $R(\gamma_k)$-module by [33, Lemma 5], where $\gamma_{k,j} = \epsilon_{c_k} - \epsilon_{d_{k,j}+1}$ for $1 \le j \le s_k$ and $\gamma_k = \sum_{j=1}^{s_k} \gamma_{k,j}$. Define

$$\nu^k := (\underbrace{c_k, \ldots, c_k}_{m_{k,c_k}}, \underbrace{c_k + 1, \ldots, c_k + 1}_{m_{k,1+c_k}}, \ldots, \underbrace{d_{k,1}, \ldots, d_{k,1}}_{m_{k,d_{k,1}}}) \in I^{\gamma_k},$$

where $m_{k,j}$ denotes the number of occurrences of j in

$$(c_k, c_k+1\ldots, d_{k,1}; c_k, c_k+1, \ldots, d_{k,2}; \ldots; c_k, c_k+1, \ldots d_{k,s_k}).$$

Then the shuffle lemma says that

$$\dim e(\nu^k)L^k = m_{k,c_k}!\, m_{k,1+c_k}! \cdots m_{k,d_{k,1}}!.$$

Hence $e(\nu^k)L^k$ is a simple $R(\beta_{k,c_k}) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes R(\beta_{k,d_{k,1}})$-module, and L^k is generated by $e(\nu^k)L^k$ as an $R(\gamma_k)$-module. Here $\beta_{k,j} = m_{k,j}\alpha_j$. Note that $\gamma_k = \sum_j \beta_{k,j}$.

On the other hand, by the shuffle lemma, we have

$$\dim e(\nu)L = \prod_{k=1}^{p} (m_{k,c_k}! \cdots m_{k,d_{k,1}}!),$$

where $\nu := \nu^1 * \nu^2 * \cdots * \nu^p$ is the concatenation of the ν_k's. It follows that

$$e(\nu)L = e(\nu^1)L^1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes e(\nu^p)L^p$$

is an irreducible $\big(R(\beta_{1,c_1}) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes R(\beta_{1,d_{1,1}})\big) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \big(R(\beta_{p,c_p}) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes R(\beta_{p,d_{p,1}})\big)$-module. Hence $e(\nu)L$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of Kato modules up to a grading shift and

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim_q e(\nu)L = q^A \textstyle\prod_{k=1}^{p} ([m_{k,c_k}]! \cdots [m_{k,d_{s_{k,1}}}]!), \\ &\Big(q^{-A} e(\nu)L\Big)^* \simeq q^{-A} e(\nu)L \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.6}$$

for some integer A.

Let K be a submodule of L such that $e(\nu)K \neq 0$. Then we have

$$e(\nu)K = e(\nu)L,$$

because $e(\nu)K$ is an $(R(\beta_{1,c_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(\beta_{1,d_{1,1}})) \otimes \cdots \otimes (R(\beta_{p,c_p}) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(\beta_{p,d_{p,1}}))$-module. Since $e(\nu^1)L^1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes e(\nu^p)L^p$ generates $L^1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes L^p$ and $L^1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes L^p$ generates L, $e(\nu)L$ generates L. Thus $e(\nu)K$ generates L. It follows that for any proper submodule K of L, we have $e(\nu)K = 0$. Hence L has a unique maximal submodule and therefore the head of L is irreducible. Moreover, we have

$$e(\nu)L \xrightarrow{\sim} e(\nu)\mathrm{hd}(L).$$

By (4.2.6), we have

$$(\mathrm{hd}(L))^* \simeq q^{-2A}\mathrm{hd}(L).$$

Note that $L' \simeq q^{-B}L^*$ by (1.2.3), where $B = \sum_{1 \le k < k' \le t} (\beta_k, \beta_{k'})$. Hence L' has a simple socle and $e(\nu)\mathrm{soc}(L') \xrightarrow{\sim} e(\nu)L'$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathrm{soc}(L') \simeq q^{-B}\mathrm{soc}(L^*) \simeq q^{-B}(\mathrm{hd}(L))^* \simeq q^{-B-2A}\mathrm{hd}(L).$$

If $\phi\colon L \to q^s L'$ is a non-zero homomorphism, then we have

$$e(\nu)\phi(L) \neq 0$$

because $e(\nu)L$ generates L and hence $e(\nu)\phi(L)$ generates $\phi(L)$. Note that $e(\nu)q^sL'$ generates the socle of q^sL'. Hence we conclude that $\phi(L) = \mathrm{soc}(q^sL') \simeq q^{s-2A-B}\mathrm{hd}(L)$. It follows that $s = B+2A$ and ϕ is equal to the composition

$$L \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{hd}(L) \xrightarrow{\sim} q^{B+2A}\mathrm{soc}(L') \rightarrowtail q^{B+2A}L'$$

up to a constant multiple. Because $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta)\text{-gmod}}(M,N) = \delta(M \simeq N)$ for any simple modules M and N in $R(\beta)$-gmod (see [21, Corollary 3.19]), we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta)\text{-gmod}}(L, q^sL') \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbf{k} & \text{if } s = B+2A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now it remains to show that

$$A = -\sharp\left\{(i,j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le t, \beta_i = \beta_j\right\}.$$

Set

$$\dim_q e(\nu^k)L^k = q^{A_k}[m_{k,c_k}]![m_{k,1+c_k}]!\cdots[m_{k,d_{k,1}}]!.$$

We have $\prod_{s=1}^{m} \frac{(1-q^{-2s})}{(1-q^{-2})} = q^{-m(m-1)/2}[m]!$. We can see easily that $A_k = A'_k + A''_k$ with

$$\begin{aligned} A'_k &= -\sum_{c_k \le s \le d_{k,1}} \frac{m_{k,s}(m_{k,s}-1)}{2}, \\ A''_k &= \sharp\left\{(u,v,j) \mid 1 \le u < v \le s_k, c_k \le j \le d_{k,v},\ j < d_{k,u}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that A''_k is the largest degree of the Laurent polynomial $\dim_q e(\nu^k)L^k$.

First we shall calculate A'_k. We have $m_{k,s} = \sharp\left\{u \mid 1 \le u \le s_k,\ c_k \le s \le d_{k,u}\right\}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{k,s}(m_{k,s}-1) &= \sharp\left\{(u,v) \mid 1 \le u \neq v \le s_k,\ c_k \le s \le d_{k,u}, c_k \le s \le d_{k,v}\right\} \\ &= 2\sharp\left\{(u,v) \mid 1 \le u < v \le s_k,\ c_k \le s \le d_{k,u}, c_k \le s \le d_{k,v}\right\} \\ &= 2\sharp\left\{(u,v) \mid 1 \le u < v \le s_k,\ c_k \le s \le d_{k,v}\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

because $d_{k,v} \le d_{k,u}$ for $1 \le u < v \le s_k$. It follows that

$$A'_k = -\sum_{1 \le u < v \le s_k} (d_{k,v} - c_k + 1).$$

Next we shall calculate A''_k. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A''_k = {}&\text{‘}sharp\left\{(u,v,j) \mid 1 \le u < v \le s_k, c_k \le j \le d_{k,v}\right\} \\ &-\sharp\left\{(u,v,j) \mid 1 \le u < v \le s_k, j = d_{k,u} = d_{k,v}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is equal to

$$\sum_{1\le u<v\le c_k}(d_{k,v}-c_k+1)=-A'_k.$$

The second term is

$$\sharp\left\{(u,v)\mid 1\le u<v\le s_k,\ d_{k,u}=d_{k,v}\right\}=\sharp\left\{(i,j)\mid 1\le i<j\le t,\beta_i=\beta_j,a_i=c_k\right\}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$A_k=-\sharp\left\{(i,j)\mid 1\le i<j\le t,\beta_i=\beta_j,a_i=c_k\right\}$$

and hence

$$A=\sum_k A_k=-\sharp\left\{(i,j)\mid 1\le i<j\le t,\beta_i=\beta_j\right\}$$

as desired. □

Proposition 4.2.7. *Let M be a finite-dimensional graded simple $R(\ell)$-module and let*

$$\big((a_1,b_1),\dots,(a_t,b_t)\big)$$

be the ordered multisegment associated with M. Set

$$\beta_k=\epsilon_{a_k}-\epsilon_{1+b_k},\quad d=\sum_{1\le i<j\le t,\,\beta_i\neq\beta_j}(\beta_i,\beta_j),\quad L_k=L(a_k,b_k),\ \text{and}$$

$$r:=r_{L_1,\dots,L_t}\colon L_1\circ\cdots\circ L_t\to q^d L_t\circ\cdots\circ L_1.$$

Then $M\simeq\operatorname{Im}(r)$.

Proof. By Propositions 1.4.9 and Lemma 4.2.6, the morphism r does not vanish and hence the result follows from Lemma 4.2.6 (iii). □

Corollary 4.2.8. *Let $\{(a_k,b_k)\}_{1\le k\le t}$ be a sequence of segments. If $L(a_j,b_j)\circ L(a_k,b_k)$ is simple for any $1\le j<k\le t$, then $L(a_1,b_1)\circ\cdots\circ L(a_t,b_t)$ is simple.*

Proof. Under the assumption, $r_{L(a_j,b_j),L(a_k,b_k)}$ is an isomorphism for any $1\le j<k\le t$. Hence $r:=r_{L(a_1,b_1),\dots,L(a_t,b_t)}$ is an isomorphism so that $\operatorname{Im}(r)=q^dL(a_t,b_t)\circ\cdots\circ L(a_1,b_1)$. By the above proposition, $q^dL(a_t,b_t)\circ\cdots\circ L(a_1,b_1)$ is simple and so is $L(a_1,b_1)\circ\cdots\circ L(a_t,b_t)$. □

4.3. **Properties of the functor $\mathcal{F}$.** The *trivial representation* is the 1-dimensional $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-module on which e_i, f_i act by 0. It is a unit object of the tensor category $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-mod. For $k>N$ or $k<0$, $V(\varpi_k)$ is understood to be zero, and the modules $V(\varpi_0)$ and $V(\varpi_N)$ are understood to be the trivial representation.

Proposition 4.3.1. *Let (a,b) be a segment with length $\ell := b-a+1$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{F}(L(a,b)) \simeq V(\varpi_\ell)_{(-q)^{a+b}} \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ \ell > N, \\ V(\varpi_\ell)_{(-q)^{a+b}} & \text{if } \ 0 \le \ell \le N. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will show our assertion by induction on ℓ. In the course of the proof, we omit the grading. We write

$$r_{(a,b),(a',b')} \colon L(a,b) \circ L(a',b') \longrightarrow L(a',b') \circ L(a,b)$$

for $r_{L(a,b),L(a',b')}$.

When $\ell = 1$, we have $\mathcal{F}(L(a)) \simeq V_{(-q)^{2a}}$ by Proposition 3.2.2 (a).
Assume that $\ell \ge 2$. Consider the following exact sequence in $R(\ell)$-mod

$$0 \to L(a,b) \to L(b) \circ L(a,b-1) \xrightarrow{r_{(b),(a,b-1)}} L(a,b-1) \circ L(b) \to L(a,b) \to 0$$

given in Proposition 4.2.3 (vi). Applying the exact functor $\mathcal{F}$ and using the induction hypothesis, we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \to \mathcal{F}(L(a,b)) \to V_{(-q)^{2b}} \otimes V(\varpi_{\ell-1})_{(-q)^{a+b-1}} \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(r_{(b),(a,b-1)})} V(\varpi_{\ell-1})_{(-q)^{a+b-1}} \otimes V_{(-q)^{2b}} \to \mathcal{F}(L(a,b)) \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.1}$$

Now assume that $\ell \le N$. It is known that there exists an exact sequence ([1, Lemma B.1])

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \to V(\varpi_\ell)_{(-q)^{a+b}} \to V_{(-q)^{2b}} \otimes V(\varpi_{\ell-1})_{(-q)^{a+b-1}} \\ &\xrightarrow{h} V(\varpi_{\ell-1})_{(-q)^{a+b-1}} \otimes V_{(-q)^{2b}} \to V(\varpi_\ell)_{(-q)^{a+b}} \to 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.2}$$

such that h is non-zero. If $\mathcal{F}(r_{(b),(a,b-1)})$ vanishes, then $V_{(-q)^{2b}} \otimes V(\varpi_{\ell-1})_{(-q)^{a+b-1}}$ and $V(\varpi_{\ell-1})_{(-q)^{a+b-1}} \otimes V_{(-q)^{2b}}$ are isomorphic, which is a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{F}(r_{(b),(a,b-1)})$ does not vanish.

We know

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)}(V_{(-q)^{2b}} \otimes V(\varpi_{\ell-1})_{(-q)^{a+b-1}}, V(\varpi_{\ell-1})_{(-q)^{a+b-1}} \otimes V_{(-q)^{2b}}) \simeq \mathbf{k}.$$

Since $\mathcal{F}(r_{(b),(a,b-1)})$ does not vanish, it is equal to h up to a constant multiple and hence $\mathcal{F}(L(a,b))$ is isomorphic to $V(\varpi_\ell)_{(-q)^{a+b}}$. Thus we have proved the proposition when $\ell \le N$.

Now assume that $\ell = N+1$. Then $\mathcal{F}(L(a,b-1)) \simeq \mathcal{F}(L(a-1,b)) \simeq \mathbf{k}$. Applying $\mathcal{F}$ to the epimorphism $L(a,b-1) \circ L(b) \twoheadrightarrow L(a,b)$, $\mathcal{F}(L(a,b))$ is a quotient of $V_{(-q)^{2b}}$. Similarly, applying $\mathcal{F}$ to the epimorphism $L(a) \circ L(a+1,b) \twoheadrightarrow L(a,b)$, $\mathcal{F}(L(a,b))$ is a quotient of $V_{(-q)^{2a}}$. Since $V_{(-q)^{2b}}$ and $V_{(-q)^{2a}}$ are simple modules and they are not isomorphic to each other, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}(L(a,b))$ vanishes.

For $\ell > N+1$, $\mathcal{F}(L(a,b))$ vanishes since it is a quotient of

$$\mathcal{F}(L(a,a+N)) \otimes \mathcal{F}(L(a+N+1,b)) \simeq 0.$$

□

Lemma 4.3.2. *Assume that two segments (a,b) and (a',b') satisfy $(a,b) \geq (a',b')$. Set $\ell = b-a+1$, $\ell' = b'-a'+1$, $c = (-q)^{a+b}$ and $c' = (-q)^{a'+b'}$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *c'/c is not a zero of the denominator $d_{V(\varpi_\ell),V(\varpi_{\ell'})}(z'/z)$ of $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V(\varpi_\ell),V(\varpi_{\ell'})}(z,z')$,*
- (ii) *the homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{F}(r_{L(a,b),L(a',b')})\colon V(\varpi_\ell)_c \otimes V(\varpi_{\ell'})_{c'} \to V(\varpi_{\ell'})_{c'} \otimes V(\varpi_\ell)_c$$

is a non-zero constant multiple of the normalized R-matrix $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V(\varpi_\ell),V(\varpi_{\ell'})}(c,c')$.

Proof. (i) follows from (2.2.8) because $(b'-a'+1)-(b-a+1) \geq (a'+b')-(a+b)$. By (i) and Theorem 2.2.1, the module $V(\varpi_\ell)_c \otimes V(\varpi_{\ell'})_{c'}$ is generated by the dominant extremal vector $v_\ell \otimes v_{\ell'}$. Since

$$\dim \Big(V(\varpi_{\ell'})_{c'} \otimes V(\varpi_\ell)_c \Big)_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_\ell+\varpi_{\ell'})} = 1,$$

any non-zero homomorphism from $V(\varpi_\ell)_c \otimes V(\varpi_{\ell'})_{c'}$ to $V(\varpi_{\ell'})_{c'} \otimes V(\varpi_\ell)_c$ is unique up to a constant multiple. Hence it is enough to show that $\mathcal{F}(r_{L(a,b),L(a',b')})$ does not vanish.

We may therefore assume that $r := r_{L(a,b),L(a',b')}$ is not an isomorphism. Then we have $a' < a \leq b' < b$ or $a = b'+1$. Applying $\mathcal{F}$ to the exact sequences (v) or (vi) in Proposition 4.2.3, we obtain an exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to V(\varpi_{\ell_1})_{(-q)^{a'+b}} \otimes V(\varpi_{\ell_2})_{(-q)^{a+b'}} \to V(\varpi_\ell)_c \otimes V(\varpi_{\ell'})_{c'} \\ \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(r)} V(\varpi_{\ell'})_{c'} \otimes V(\varpi_\ell)_c \to V(\varpi_{\ell_1})_{(-q)^{a'+b}} \otimes V(\varpi_{\ell_2})_{(-q)^{a+b'}} \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\ell_1 = b-a'+1$ and $\ell_2 = b'-a+1$.

Since $\Big(V(\varpi_{\ell_1})_{(-q)^{a'+b}} \otimes V(\varpi_{\ell_2})_{(-q)^{a+b'}} \Big)_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_\ell+\varpi_{\ell'})} = 0$, we deduce that $\mathcal{F}(r)$ is a non-zero homomorphism and hence it is a non-zero constant multiple of the normalized R-matrix. □

The following theorem will play a crucial role in the rest of this section.

Theorem 4.3.3. *Let M be a finite-dimensional irreducible graded $R(\ell)$-module and*

$$\big((a_1,b_1),\ldots,(a_r,b_r)\big)$$

be the multisegment associated with M. Set $\ell_k = b_k - a_k + 1$.

- (i) *If $\ell_k > N$ for some $1 \leq k \leq r$, then $\mathcal{F}(M) \simeq 0$.*
- (ii) *If $\ell_k \leq N$ for all $1 \leq k \leq r$, then $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. If $\ell_k > N$ for some k, the assertion follows from Proposition 4.3.1. Assume that $\ell_k \le N$ for all $1 \le k \le r$. We know that M is isomorphic to the image of $r := r_{L(a_1,b_1),\dots,L(a_t,b_t)}$. Set $V_k = V(\varpi_k)$ and $c_k = (-q)^{a_k+b_k}$. Then $\mathcal{F}(L(a_k,b_k)) \simeq (V_k)_{c_k}$ and $c_{k'}/c_k$ is not a zero of the denominator $d_{V_k,V_{k'}}(z'/z)$ of $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V_k,V_{k'}}(z,z')$ for $k < k'$ by Lemma 4.3.2. Hence Theorem 2.2.1 says that the image of the R-matrix

$$R\colon (V_1)_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (V_t)_{c_t} \to (V_t)_{c_t} \otimes \cdots \otimes (V_1)_{c_1}$$

is irreducible. On the other hand, $\mathcal{F}(r)$ is equal to R up to a constant multiple by Lemma 4.3.2. Hence $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is irreducible. □

4.4. **Quotient of the category** R**-gmod.** Set $\mathcal{A}_\ell = R(\ell)$-gmod and set $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{\ell \ge 0} \mathcal{A}_\ell$. Similarly, we define $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}_\ell$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}$ by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}_\ell = \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{gr}}(R(\ell))$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}} = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}} \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}_\ell$. Then we have a functor $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{\ell \ge 0} \mathcal{F}_\ell \colon \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}} \to \mathrm{Mod}(U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N))$, where $\mathcal{F}_\ell$ is the functor from $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}_\ell$ to $\mathrm{Mod}(U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N))$ given in (3.2.1).

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the smallest Serre subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ (see Appendix B) such that

(4.4.1)
(1) $\mathcal{S}$ contains $L(a, a+N)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$,
(2) $X \circ Y,\ Y \circ X \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{S}$.

Note that $\mathcal{S}$ contains $L(a,b)$ if $b \ge a+N$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ the quotient category of $\mathcal{A}$ relative to $\mathcal{S}$ and denote by $\mathcal{Q}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ the canonical functor. Since $\mathcal{F}$ sends $\mathcal{S}$ to 0, the functor $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathcal{A} \to U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-mod factors through $\mathcal{Q}$ by Theorem B.1.1 (v):

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{Q}"] \arrow[dr, "\mathcal{F}"'] & \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \arrow[d, "\mathcal{F}'"] \\ & U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)\text{-mod} \end{tikzcd}$$

for a functor $\mathcal{F}'\colon \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \to U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-mod.

Note that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ are tensor categories with the convolution as tensor product. The module $R(0) \simeq \mathbf{k}$ is a unit object. Note also that $Q := qR(0)$ is an invertible central object of $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ and $X \mapsto Q \circ X \simeq X \circ Q$ coincides with the grading shift functor. The functors $\mathcal{Q}$, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ are tensor functors.

Similarly, we define $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}}$ as the smallest Serre subcategory of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}$ such that

(4.4.2)
(1) $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}}$ contains $L(a, a+N)$,
(2) $X \circ Y,\ Y \circ X \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}$, $Y \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}}$,
(3) $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}}$ is stable under (not necessarily finite) direct sums.

Then we can easily see that $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}} \cap \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}$ and hence we have

$$\text{The functor } \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}/\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}} \text{ is fully faithful.}$$

Proposition 4.4.1.

(a) *If an object X is simple in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$, then there exists a simple object M in $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying*
  (i) $\mathcal{Q}(M) \simeq X$,
  (ii) $b_k - a_k + 1 \le N$ *for* $1 \le k \le r$, *where* $\big((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_r, b_r)\big)$ *is the multisegment associated with* M.

(b) *Let* $\big((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_r, b_r)\big)$ *be the multisegment associated with a simple object* M *in* $\mathcal{A}$. *If* $b_k - a_k + 1 \le N$ *for* $1 \le k \le r$, *then* $\mathcal{Q}(M)$ *is simple in* $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. (a) If X is simple in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$, then there exists an irreducible module $M \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{Q}(M) \simeq X$ by Proposition B.1.2 (b). Let $\big((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_r, b_r)\big)$ be the multisegment associated with M. Then $M \simeq \mathrm{hd}\big(L(a_1, b_1) \circ \cdots \circ L(a_r, b_r)\big)$ in $R(\ell)$-mod, by Proposition 4.2.5. If $b_k - a_k + 1 > N$ for some $1 \le k \le r$, then $\mathcal{Q}(M) = 0$ by the definition of $\mathcal{S}$. Since $X \simeq \mathcal{Q}(M)$ is simple, it is a contradiction.

(b) Since M is irreducible, $\mathcal{Q}(M)$ is zero or simple in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$. If $M \simeq \mathrm{hd}\big(L(a_1, b_1) \circ \cdots \circ L(a_r, b_r)\big)$ and $b_k - a_k + 1 \le N$ for all $1 \le k \le r$, then $\mathcal{F}(M) \not\simeq 0$ by Theorem 4.3.3. It follows that $\mathcal{Q}(M) \not\simeq 0$. □

We obtain the following corollary as an immediate consequence.

Corollary 4.4.2. *The functor* $\mathcal{F}' \colon \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \to U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-mod *sends simple objects in* $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ *to simple objects in* $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-mod.

4.5. **The category $\mathcal{T}_J'$.** Since all the images of $L(a, a+N-1)$ under $\mathcal{F}'$ are isomorphic to the trivial representation of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$, we can localize $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ one step further by using Appendix A.6.

Set

$$L_a := L(a, a+N-1) \quad \text{and} \quad u_a := u(a, a+N-1) \in L_a \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.5.1}$$

Then $\mathcal{F}(L_a)$ is isomorphic to the trivial representation of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$.

The following proposition will play a central role in the rest of this section.

Proposition 4.5.1. *Let* $a, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and set*

$$\begin{aligned} p &= (\epsilon_a - \epsilon_{a+N}, \alpha_j) - 2\delta(a \le j \le a+N-1) \\ &= -\delta_{j,a} - \delta_{j,a-1} - \delta_{j,a+N-1} - \delta_{j,a+N} - 2\delta(a < j < a+N-1). \end{aligned}$$

(i) *The image of the morphism* $R_{L_a, L(j)_z} \colon L_a \circ L(j)_z \to q^p L(j)_z \circ L_a$ *is contained in*

$$q^p z^{\delta(a \le j < a+N-1)} L(j)_z \circ L_a.$$

(ii) *The image of the morphism* $R_{L(j)_z,L_a}\colon L(j)_z \circ L_a \to q^p L_a \circ L(j)_z$ *is contained in*

$$q^p z^{\delta(a<j\le a+N-1)} L_a \circ L(j)_z.$$

(iii) *If* $j \neq a-1, a+N$*, then the morphisms*

$$z^{-\delta(a\le j<a+N-1)} R_{L_a,L(j)_z}\colon L_a \circ L(j)_z \to q^{\delta_{j,a}-\delta_{j,a+N-1}} L(j)_z \circ L_a$$

and

$$(-1)^{\delta(a<j\le a+N-1)} z^{-\delta(a<j\le a+N-1)} R_{L(j)_z,L_a}\colon q^{\delta_{j,a}-\delta_{j,a+N-1}} L(j)_z \circ L_a \to L_a \circ L(j)_z$$

are isomorphisms and the inverses to each other.

(iv) *If* $j = a-1$*, then we have a commutative diagram with an exact row:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & L_a \circ L(a-1)_z \arrow[r, "R_{L_a,L(a-1)_z}"] \arrow[dr, hook] & q^{-1}L(a-1)_z \circ L_a \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "z^{-1}R_{L(a-1)_z,L_a}"] & q^{-1}L(a-1,a+N-1) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & & L_a \circ z^{-1}L(a-1)_z\,. & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

(v) *If* $j = a+N$*, we have a commutative diagram with an exact row:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & qL(a+N)_z \circ L_a \arrow[r, "qR_{L(a+N)_z,L_a}"] \arrow[dr, hook] & L_a \circ L(a+N)_z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "-z^{-1}R_{L_a,L(a+N)_z}"] & L(a,a+N) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & & qz^{-1}L(a+N)_z \circ L_a\,. & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. (i) and (ii) immediately follow from Lemma 1.5.3.

(iii) For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $h_j(z) = \prod_{a\le k\le a+N-1, k\neq j} Q_{j,k}(z,0)$. Then we can easily see that

$$h_j(z) = \begin{cases} -z^2 & \text{if } a<j<a+N-1, \\ (-1)^{\delta(j=a+N-1,a+N)}z & \text{if } j=a-1,a,a+N-1,a+N, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$h_j(z) = (-1)^{\delta(a<j\le a+N-1)} z^{\delta(a\le j<a+N-1)+\delta(a<j\le a+N-1)}$$

for $j \neq a-1, a+N$. Then Lemma 1.3.1 (vi) implies

$$\begin{aligned} R_{L_a,L(j)_z} \circ R_{L(j)_z,L_a} &= h_j(z)\,\mathrm{id}_{L(j)_z\circ L_a}, \\ R_{L(j)_z,L_a} \circ R_{L_a,L(j)_z} &= h_j(z)\,\mathrm{id}_{L_a\circ L(j)_z}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5.2}$$

Hence we obtain (iii).

(iv) By Lemma 1.5.3, we have

$$R_{L_a,L(a-1)_z}(u_a \otimes u(a-1)_z) = \tau_N \cdots \tau_1\big(u(a-1)_z \otimes u_a\big).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(\tau_2\cdots\tau_N)\Big(\tau_N\cdots\tau_1\big(u(a-1)_z\otimes u_a\big)\Big)=\tau_1\big(u(a-1)_z\otimes u_a\big),$$

which implies

$$\operatorname{Im}(R_{L_a,L(a-1)_z})=R(\epsilon_{a-1}-\epsilon_{a+N})\tau_1\big(u(a-1)_z\otimes u_a\big).$$

Note that we have $\tau_1^2\big(u(a-1)_z\otimes u_a\big)=x_1\big(u(a-1)_z\otimes u_a\big)$. Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Ker}\big(L(a-1)_z\circ L_a\to L(a-1,a+N-1)\big)\\&\quad=R(\epsilon_{a-1}-\epsilon_{a+N})x_1\big(u(a-1)_z\otimes u_a\big)+R(\epsilon_{a-1}-\epsilon_{a+N})\tau_1\big(u(a-1)_z\otimes u_a\big)\\&\quad=\operatorname{Im}(R_{L_a,L(a-1)_z}).\end{aligned}$$

The other parts are derived from $R_{L(a-1)_z,L_a}\circ R_{L_a,L(a-1)_z}=z\,\mathrm{id}$ which is obtained by (4.5.2).

(v) is proved similarly to (vi). ☐

Define an abelian group homomorphism

$$c_a\colon \mathsf{Q}_J\to\mathbb{Z}$$

as

$$c_a(\alpha_j):=(\epsilon_a+\epsilon_{a+N},\alpha_j)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } j\neq a,a-1,a+N-1,a+N,\\ -1 & \text{if } j=a-1,\\ 1 & \text{if } j=a,\\ -1 & \text{if } j=a+N-1,\\ 1 & \text{if } j=a+N.\end{cases}\tag{4.5.3}$$

Then, by the preceding proposition, we obtain a homomorphism

$$z^{-\delta(a\le j<a+N-1)-\delta_{j,a+N}}R_{L_a,L(j)_z}\colon L_a\circ L(j)_z\to q^{c_a(\alpha_j)}z^{-1}L(j)_z\circ L_a.\tag{4.5.4}$$

For $a,j\in\mathbb{Z}$, set

$$f_{a,j}(z)=(-1)^{\delta_{j,a+N}}z^{-\delta(a\le j<a+N-1)-\delta_{j,a+N}}.\tag{4.5.5}$$

Then we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5.2. *For any $a,j\in J$, the $R(N+1)$-module homomorphism*

$$f_{a,j}(z)R_{L_a,L(j)_z}\colon L_a\circ L(j)_z\longrightarrow q^{c_a(\alpha_j)}z^{-1}L(j)_z\circ L_a$$

induces an isomorphism

$$f_{a,j}(z)R_{L_a,L(j)_z}\colon L_a\circ L(j)_z\longrightarrow q^{c_a(\alpha_j)}L(j)_z\circ L_a$$

in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}/\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}}$.

Remark 4.5.3. To make Corollary 4.5.2 hold, it is enough to take

$$f_{a,j}(z) = cz^{-\delta(a\le j<a+N-1)-\delta_{j,a+N}}$$

for an arbitrary $c \in \mathbf{k}^\times$. We take $(-1)^{\delta_{j,a+N}}$ as c so that (iii) and (iv) in Theorem 4.5.8 below hold.

For $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}_J^+$, we define commutative algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\beta &:= \bigoplus_{\nu\in J^\beta} \mathbf{k}[x_1,\dots,x_\ell]e(\nu) \subset R(\beta), \\ K(\beta) &:= \bigoplus_{\nu\in J^\beta} \mathbf{k}[x_1^{\pm1},\dots,x_\ell^{\pm1}]e(\nu), \text{and} \\ R_K(\beta) &:= K(\beta) \underset{\mathbb{P}_\beta}{\otimes} R(\beta) \supset R(\beta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\ell = |\beta|$. Set

$$f_{a,\beta} = \sum_{\nu\in I^\beta}\prod_{k=1}^{\ell} f_{a,\nu_k}(x_k)e(\nu) \in K(\beta) \subset R_K(\beta).$$

It belongs to the center of $R_K(\beta)$. Hence we may consider $f_{a,\beta}$ as an $R(\beta)$-module endomorphism of $R_K(\beta)$.

Since $R(\beta) \simeq \bigoplus_{\nu\in J^\beta} (L(\nu_1))_{z_1} \circ \cdots \circ (L(\nu_\ell))_{z_\ell}$, we can apply the preceding proposition to study $L_a \circ R(\beta)$ and $R(\beta) \circ L_a$. Then Corollary 4.5.2 yields the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5.4. *For any $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}_J^+$, the $R(\epsilon_a - \epsilon_{a+N} + \beta)$-module homomorphism $f_{a,\beta} R_{L_a,R_K(\beta)} \colon L_a \circ R_K(\beta) \to q^{c_a(\beta)} R_K(\beta) \circ L_a$ induces an isomorphism*

$$L_a \circ R(\beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} q^{c_a(\beta)} R(\beta) \circ L_a$$

in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}/\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}}$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.5.2, the assertion holds for $|\beta| = 1$. The general case immediately follows from this since $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}/\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}}$ is a tensor category with the convolution $\circ$ as tensor product by Proposition B.1.4. □

Note that the morphism $f_{a,\beta} R_{L_a,R(\beta)} \colon L_a \circ R(\beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} q^{c_a(\beta)} R(\beta) \circ L_a$ in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}/\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}}$ commutes with the right action of $R(\beta)$.

Lemma 4.5.5. *Let S be the automorphism of $P_J = \bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_a$ given by $S(\epsilon_a) = \epsilon_{a+N}$. We define the bilinear form B on P_J by*

$$B(x,y) = -\sum_{k>0} (S^k x, y) \quad \textit{for } x,y \in P_J. \tag{4.5.6}$$

Then we have

$$c_a(x) = B(x, \epsilon_a - \epsilon_{a+N}) - B(\epsilon_a - \epsilon_{a+N}, x)$$

for any $x \in \mathsf{Q}_J$.

Proof. Set $\beta_a = \epsilon_a - \epsilon_{a+N}$. Then we have

$$B(\beta_a, x) = -(\epsilon_{a+N}, x).$$

On the other hand, $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(x, S^k\beta_a) = 0$ implies that

$$B(x, \beta_a) = -\sum_{k>0}(S^k x, \beta_a) = -\sum_{k>0}(x, S^{-k}\beta_a) = \sum_{k\geq 0}(x, S^k\beta_a) = (x, \epsilon_a).$$

$\square$

For $\alpha \in \mathsf{Q}_J^+$, set $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = R(\alpha)$-gmod and $\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{A}_\alpha$. Then $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$ is a Serre subcategory of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ and we have $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathsf{Q}_J^+} (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S})_\alpha$, where $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S})_\alpha = \mathcal{A}_\alpha/\mathcal{S}_\alpha$. Then Proposition 4.5.4 yields an isomorphism

$$q^{B(\beta_a,\alpha)} L_a \circ X \xrightarrow{\sim} q^{B(\alpha,\beta_a)} X \circ L_a$$

in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ functorial in $X \in (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S})_\alpha$.

Definition 4.5.6. *We define the new tensor product* $\star\colon \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}/\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}} \times \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}/\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}/\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}}$ *by*

$$X \star Y = q^{B(\alpha,\beta)} X \circ Y \simeq Q^{\otimes B(\alpha,\beta)} \circ X \circ Y,$$

where $X \in (\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}/\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}})_\alpha$, $Y \in (\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}/\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}})_\beta$ *and* $Q = q\,\mathbf{1}$.

Then $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}/\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}}$ as well as $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ is endowed with a new structure of tensor category by $\star$ as shown in Appendix A.8. With this tensor category structure, Proposition 4.5.4 can be rephrased as follows.

Lemma 4.5.7. *For any* $a \in J$ *and* $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}_J^+$, *the* $\big(R(\epsilon_a - \epsilon_{a+N-1} + \beta), R(\beta)\big)$*- bimodule homomorphism*

$$f_{a,\beta} R_{L_a, R_K(\beta)}\colon L_a \star R_K(\beta) \longrightarrow R_K(\beta) \star L_a$$

induces an isomorphism

$$f_{a,\beta} R_{L_a, R(\beta)}\colon L_a \star R(\beta) \longrightarrow R(\beta) \star L_a$$

in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{big}}/\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{big}}$ *which commutes with the right actions of* $R(\beta)$.

Theorem 4.5.8. *The family* $\{L_a\}_{a\in J}$ *is a commuting family of central objects in* $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ *(see §A.6). Namely, the following statements hold.*

(i) L_a *is a central object in* $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ *(see § A.3); i.e.,*

(a) $f_{a,j}(z)R_{L_a,L(j)_z}$ *induces an isomorphism in* $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$

$$R_a(X)\colon L_a \star X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \star L_a$$

functorial in $X \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$,

(b) *the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_a \star X \star Y \arrow[r, "R_a(X)\star Y"] \arrow[dr, "R_a(X\star Y)"'] & X \star L_a \star Y \arrow[d, "X\star R_a(Y)"] \\
& X \star Y \star L_a
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ *for any* $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$.

(ii) *The isomorphism* $R_a(L_a)\colon L_a \star L_a \xrightarrow{\sim} L_a \star L_a$ *coincides with* $\mathrm{id}_{L_a\star L_a}$ *in* $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$.

(iii) *For* $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, *the isomorphisms*

$$R_a(L_b)\colon L_a \star L_b \xrightarrow{\sim} L_b \star L_a \ \text{ and } \ R_b(L_a)\colon L_b \star L_a \xrightarrow{\sim} L_a \star L_b$$

in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ *are the inverses to each other.*

Proof. (i) (a) follows from Lemma 4.5.7 and the fact that $L_a \star X \simeq \big(L_a \star R(\beta)\big) \otimes_{R(\beta)} X$ and $X \star L_a \simeq \big(R(\beta) \star L_a\big) \otimes_{R(\beta)} X$.

(ii) (b) For $\beta, \gamma \in \mathsf{Q}_J^+$ ($|\beta| = \ell$, $|\gamma| = \ell'$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(R(\beta) \star R_a(R(\gamma))\big) \circ \big(R_a(R(\beta)) \star R(\gamma)\big)\big(u_a \otimes e(\beta) \otimes e(\gamma)\big) \\
&\quad = \big(R(\beta) \star R_a(R(\gamma))\big)\varphi_{\ell,N} f_{a,\beta}\big(e(\beta) \otimes u_a \otimes e(\gamma)\big) \\
&\quad = \varphi_{\ell,N} f_{a,\beta}\big(e(\beta) \otimes \varphi_{\ell',N} f_{a,\gamma}(e(\gamma) \otimes u_a)\big) \\
&\quad = \varphi_{\ell+\ell',N}(f_{a,\beta} \boxtimes f_{a,\gamma})(e(\beta) \otimes e(\gamma) \otimes u_a)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$R_a(R(\beta) \star R(\gamma))(u_a \otimes e(\beta) \otimes e(\gamma)) = \varphi_{\ell+\ell',N} f_{a,\beta+\gamma}(e(\beta) \otimes e(\gamma) \otimes u_a).$$

Since

$$f_{a,\beta+\gamma} e(\beta) \boxtimes e(\gamma) = (f_{a,\beta} \boxtimes f_{a,\gamma}) e(\beta) \boxtimes e(\gamma),$$

we obtain

$$R_a(R(\beta) \star R(\gamma)) = \big(R(\beta) \star R_a(R(\gamma))\big) \cdot \big(R_a(R(\beta)) \star R(\gamma)\big).$$

We obtain (i) (b) by applying $\otimes_{R(\beta)} X$ and $\otimes_{R(\gamma)} Y$ for $X \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ and $Y \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma$.

If $X \in (\mathcal{A}/S)_\beta$, we have $R_a(X_z)|_{z=0} = R_a(X)$. If $|\beta| = \ell$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_\ell$ act by 0 on X, then we have

$$R_a(X_z)(u_a \otimes x_z) = f_{a,\beta}(z) R_{L_a,X_z}(u_a \otimes x_z)$$

for $x \in X$, where $f_{a,\beta}(z) := f_{a,\beta}|_{x_1=\cdots=x_\ell=z}$.

(ii) When $X = L_a$, we have $f_{a,\beta}(z) = z^{-(N-1)}$ and hence

$$R_a(L_a) = R_a((L_a)_z)|_{z=0} = r_{L_a,L_a} = \mathrm{id}_{L_a}$$

by Proposition 4.2.3 (i).

(iii) By (ii), we may assume that $a < b$. It follows from Lemma 1.3.1 (vi) that

$$R_{(L_b)_{z'},(L_a)_z} \circ R_{(L_a)_z,(L_b)_{z'}} = \prod_{\substack{a \le i \le a+N-1,\\ b \le j \le b+N-1,\, i \neq j}} Q_{ij}(z,z').$$

Set $\beta_a = \epsilon_a - \epsilon_{a+N}$ Then

$$f_{a,\beta_b}(z'-z)\ R_{(L_a)_z,(L_b)_{z'}} \colon (L_a)_z \star (L_b)_{z'} \to (L_b)_{z'} \star (L_a)_z$$

and

$$f_{b,\beta_a}(z-z')\ R_{(L_b)_{z'},(L_a)_z} \colon (L_b)_{z'} \star (L_a)_z \to (L_a)_z \star (L_b)_{z'}$$

specialize to $R_a(L_b) \colon L_a \star L_b \xrightarrow{\sim} L_b \star L_a$ and $R_b(L_a) \colon L_b \star L_a \xrightarrow{\sim} L_a \star L_b$. Note that $f_{a,\beta_b}(z) = \prod_{j=b}^{b+N-1} f_{a,j}(z)$. Hence, to prove our claim, it is enough to show that

$$f_{a,\beta_b}(z'-z) f_{b,\beta_a}(z-z') \prod_{\substack{a \le i \le a+N-1,\\ b \le j \le b+N-1,\, i \neq j}} Q_{ij}(z,z') = 1. \tag{4.5.7}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} A(a,b) &= \{i \mid a \le i+1 \le a+N-1,\ b \le i \le b+N-1\} \\ &= \{i \mid a-1 \le i \le a+N-2,\ b \le i \le b+N-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\prod_{\substack{a \le i \le a+N-1,\\ b \le j \le b+N-1,\, i \neq j}} Q_{ij}(z,z') = (z'-z)^{\sharp A(a,b)} (z-z')^{\sharp A(b,a)}.$$

Similarly, set

$$B(a,b) = \{i \mid a \le i \le a+N,\ i \neq a+N-1,\ b \le i \le b+N-1\}.$$

Then we have

$$f_{a,\beta_b}(z'-z) = (-1)^{\delta(b \le a+N \le b+N-1)} (z'-z)^{-\sharp B(a,b)}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sharp A(a,b) - \sharp B(a,b) &= \delta(b \le a-1 \le b+N-1) - \delta(b \le a+N \le b+N-1) \\ &= \delta(1 \le a-b \le N) - \delta(1 \le b-a \le N), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.5.7). □

By the preceding theorem, $\{(L_a, R_a)\}_{a\in J}$ forms a commuting family of central objects in $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}, \star)$ (§ A.6). Following Appendix A.6, we localize $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}, \star)$ by this commuting family. Let us denote by $\mathcal{T}'_J$ the resulting category $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S})[L_a^{\star-1} \mid a \in J]$. Let $\Upsilon\colon \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{T}'_J$ be the projection functor. We denote by $\mathcal{T}_J$ the tensor category $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S})[L_a \simeq \mathbf{1} \mid a \in J]$ and by $\Xi\colon \mathcal{T}'_J \to \mathcal{T}_J$ the canonical functor (see § A.7 and the remark below). Thus we have a chain of tensor functors

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\Upsilon} (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S})[L_a^{\star-1} \mid a \in J] \xrightarrow{\Xi} (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S})[L_a \simeq \mathbf{1} \mid a \in J].$$

Remark 4.5.9. Note that $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}'_J$ are Q_J-graded (namely, $\mathcal{T}'_J$ has a decomposition $\mathcal{T}'_J = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathsf{Q}_J} (\mathcal{T}'_J)_\alpha$, etc.). The category $\mathcal{T}_J$ is $\mathsf{Q}_{J,N}$-graded with $\mathsf{Q}_{J,N} := \mathsf{Q}_J / \sum_{a\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\beta_a$, where $\beta_a = \varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_{a+N}$. Note that $\mathsf{Q}_{J,N} \simeq \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_k$.

4.6. **Rigidity of the tensor categories $\mathcal{T}'_J$ and $\mathcal{T}_J$.** In this subsection, we will show that the tensor category $\mathcal{T}'_J$ is rigid; i.e., every object in $\mathcal{T}'_J$ has a left dual and a right dual. The rigidity of $\mathcal{T}_J$ follows from this fact.

Let ℓ be a non-negative integer and $a \in J$. We set $\beta_a = \epsilon_a - \epsilon_{a+N}$ and $\gamma_a = \beta_a - \alpha_a = \epsilon_{a+1} - \epsilon_{a+N}$. Set

$$K_\ell(a) := e(\alpha_a, \gamma_a + \ell\beta_a) L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)} \in R(\gamma_a + \ell\beta_a)\text{-gmod}.$$

By the shuffle lemma, we know

$$L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)} = e(\alpha_a, \gamma_a + \ell\beta_a) L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)}.$$

Hence $K_\ell(a)$ is isomorphic to $L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)}$ as a vector space. For example, we have

$$K_0(a) \simeq L(a+1, a+N-1) \in R(\gamma_a)\text{-gmod}.$$

Let

$$L_\ell(a) := L(a)_z / z^{\ell+1} L(a)_z \in R(\alpha_a)\text{-gmod}.$$

We denote by $u_\ell(a) \in L_\ell(a)$ the image of $u(a)_z \in L(a)_z$.

Proposition 4.6.1. *For $\ell \geq 0$, let us denote by z the $R(\gamma_a + \ell\beta_a)$-module endomorphism of $K_\ell(a)$ given by the action of x_1 on $L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)}$. Then we have*

(i) $z^{\ell+1} = 0$,

(ii) $\operatorname{Ker} z = \operatorname{Im} z^\ell = R(\alpha_a, \gamma_a + \ell\beta_a) u_a^{\otimes(\ell+1)} \simeq K_0(a) \circ L_a^{\circ\ell}$,

(iii) $\operatorname{Ker} z^\ell = \operatorname{Im} z = R(\alpha_a, \gamma_a + \ell\beta_a) R(\ell\beta_a, \beta_a) u_a^{\otimes(\ell+1)} \simeq K_{\ell-1}(a) \circ L_a$.

Proof. Set $u = u_a^{\otimes(\ell+1)} \in L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)}$. Then

$$R(\alpha_a, \gamma_a + \ell\beta_a) u \simeq K_0(a) \circ L_a^{\circ\ell},$$

which is an irreducible $R(\gamma_a + \ell\beta_a)$-module by Corollary 4.2.8. It is obvious that $R(\alpha_a, \gamma_a + \ell\beta_a) u \subset \operatorname{Ker} z$.

In order to show the converse inclusion, let us prove

$$z^\ell\tau_1\cdots\tau_{\ell N}\ u=(-1)^\ell(\tau_2\cdots\tau_N)\ (\tau_{N+2}\cdots\tau_{2N})\cdots(\tau_{(\ell-1)N+2}\cdots\tau_{\ell N})\ u. \tag{4.6.1}$$

If $\ell=0$, it is trivial. Set $a(u,v)=\dfrac{u^\ell-v^\ell}{u-v}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}x_1^\ell\tau_1\tau_2\cdots\tau_{\ell N}\ u&=\big(\tau_1x_2^\ell-a(x_1,x_2)\big)\tau_2\cdots\tau_{\ell N}\ u\\&=\tau_1\tau_2\cdots\tau_Nx_{N+1}^\ell\tau_{N+1}\cdots\tau_{\ell N}\ u-\tau_2\cdots\tau_Na(0,x_{N+1})\tau_{N+1}\cdots\tau_{\ell N}\ u.\end{aligned}$$

By induction on ℓ, we obtain

$$x_{N+1}^\ell\tau_{N+1}\cdots\tau_{\ell N}\ u=x_{N+1}(-1)^{\ell-1}(\tau_{N+2}\cdots\tau_{2N})\cdots(\tau_{(\ell-1)N+2}\cdots\tau_{\ell N})\ u=0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}a(0,x_{N+1})\tau_{N+1}\cdots\tau_{\ell N}\ u&=x_{N+1}^{\ell-1}\tau_{N+1}\cdots\tau_{\ell N}\ u\\&=(-1)^{\ell-1}(\tau_{N+2}\cdots\tau_{2N})\cdots(\tau_{(\ell-1)N+2}\cdots\tau_{\ell N})\ u,\end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain (4.6.1).

Since the right-hand side of (4.6.1) is a non-zero element of the simple $R(\gamma_a+\ell\beta_a)$-module $R(\alpha_a,\gamma_a+\ell\beta_a)u$, we conclude that

$$R(\alpha_a,\gamma_a+\ell\beta_a)u\subset\operatorname{Ker}z\cap\operatorname{Im}z^\ell.$$

Consider the following sequence of homomorphisms

$$\big(\operatorname{Ker}z^{\ell+1}/\operatorname{Ker}z^\ell\big)\overset{z}{\hookrightarrow}\big(\operatorname{Ker}z^\ell/\operatorname{Ker}z^{\ell-1}\big)\overset{z}{\hookrightarrow}\cdots\overset{z}{\hookrightarrow}\big(\operatorname{Ker}z^2/\operatorname{Ker}z\big)\overset{z}{\hookrightarrow}\operatorname{Ker}z.$$

Since $\operatorname{Ker}z^{\ell+1}/\operatorname{Ker}z^\ell\xrightarrow[z^\ell]{\sim}\operatorname{Ker}z\cap\operatorname{Im}z^\ell$, we have

$$\dim\big(\operatorname{Ker}z^k/\operatorname{Ker}z^{k-1}\big)\ge\dim\big(\operatorname{Ker}z^\ell/\operatorname{Ker}z^{\ell-1}\big)\ge\dim K_0(a)\circ L_a^{\circ\ell}$$

for $1\le k\le\ell+1$. Because

$$\dim K_0(a)\circ L_a^{\circ\ell}=\frac{((\ell+1)N-1)!}{(N-1)!(N!)^\ell},\ \text{ and }\quad\dim K_\ell(a)=\frac{((\ell+1)N)!}{(N!)^{\ell+1}},$$

we have

$$\dim\operatorname{Ker}z^{\ell+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{\ell=1}\dim\big(\operatorname{Ker}z^k/\operatorname{Ker}z^{k-1}\big)\ge(\ell+1)\dim K_0(a)\circ L_a^{\circ\ell}=\dim K_\ell(a).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&K_\ell(a)=\operatorname{Ker}z^{\ell+1},\\&\operatorname{Ker}z^k/\operatorname{Ker}z^{k-1}\xrightarrow[z^{k-1}]{\sim}\operatorname{Ker}z\text{ for }1\le\ k\le\ell+1,\\&\operatorname{Ker}z=\operatorname{Im}z^\ell\simeq K_0(a)\circ L_a^{\circ\ell}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get the assertions (i) and (ii).

For (iii), observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim K_{\ell-1}(a)\circ L_a &= \ell\ \dim K_0(a)\circ L_a^{\circ\ell},\\ K_{\ell-1}(a)\circ L_a &\simeq R(\alpha_a,\gamma_a+\ell\beta_a)R(\ell\beta_a,\beta_a)u. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $z|_{K_{\ell-1}(a)\circ L_a}$ is induced by $\bigl(z|_{K_{\ell-1}(a)}\bigr)\circ L_a$. It follows that

$$R(\alpha_a,\gamma_a+\ell\beta_a)R(\ell\beta_a,\beta_a)u\subset \operatorname{Ker} z^\ell.$$

Comparing the dimensions, we have

$$R(\alpha_a,\gamma_a+\ell\beta_a)R(\ell\beta_a,\beta_a)u= \operatorname{Ker} z^\ell$$

as desired. □

Corollary 4.6.2. *There exist a surjective homomorphism*

$$L_\ell(a)\circ K_\ell(a)\twoheadrightarrow L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)}, \tag{4.6.2}$$

and an injective homomorphism

$$L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)}\rightarrowtail q^{-\ell-1}K_\ell(a)\circ L_\ell(a) \tag{4.6.3}$$

in $R\bigl((\ell+1)\beta_a\bigr)$*-gmod.*

Proof. From Proposition 4.6.1 (1), we have $x_1^{\ell+1}=0$ on $L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)}$. Hence we obtain (4.6.2). Taking duals, we have by (1.2.3)

$$\bigl(L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)}\bigr)^*\rightarrowtail q^{(\gamma_a+\ell\beta_a,\alpha_a)}K_\ell(a)^*\circ L_\ell(a)^*.$$

Since

$$\bigl(L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)}\bigr)^*\simeq q^{\ell(\ell+1)}L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)},\ \ K_\ell(a)^*\simeq q^{\ell(\ell+1)}K_\ell(a),\ \text{and}\ L_\ell(a)^*\simeq q^{-2\ell}L_\ell(a),$$

we obtain (4.6.3). □

Note that $B(\alpha_a,\gamma_a)=B(\alpha_a,\beta_a)=B(\beta_a,\beta_a)=1$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_a^{\star(\ell+1)} &\simeq q^{\ell(\ell+1)/2}L_a^{\circ(\ell+1)},\\ L_\ell(a)\star K_\ell(a) &\simeq q^{\ell+1}L_\ell(a)\circ K_\ell(a). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.6.2), we have

$$L_\ell(a)\star K_\ell(a)\twoheadrightarrow q^{\ell+1-\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2}}L_\ell^{\star(\ell+1)}.$$

Set

$$\widetilde{K}_\ell(a):=q^{\frac{(\ell+1)(\ell-2)}{2}}K_\ell(a)\star L_a^{\star-(\ell+1)}\in\mathcal{T}'_J. \tag{4.6.4}$$

Then we obtain a morphism in $\mathcal{T}'_J$

$$\varepsilon_\ell\colon L_\ell(a)\star\widetilde{K}_\ell(a)\longrightarrow \mathbf{1}.$$

Similarly, from (4.6.3), we obtain a morphism

$$\eta_\ell \colon \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow \widetilde{K}_\ell(a) \star L_\ell(a).$$

Theorem 4.6.3.

(i) *The object* $\widetilde{K}_\ell(a)$ *is a right dual to* $L_\ell(a)$ *in the category* $\mathcal{T}'_J$ *and* $(\varepsilon_\ell, \eta_\ell)$ *is a quasi-adjunction.*

(ii) *The category* $\mathcal{T}'_J$ *and* $\mathcal{T}_J$ *are rigid tensor categories; i.e., every object has a right dual object and a left dual object.*

Proof. (i) We shall prove it by the induction on ℓ. By interpreting $\widetilde{K}_0(a) = L_0(a) = 0$, the $\ell = 0$ case is obvious. Assume that $\ell > 0$. By the definition, we have an exact sequence in $\mathcal{A}$

$$1 \longrightarrow q^{2\ell} L(a) \longrightarrow L_\ell(a) \longrightarrow L_{\ell-1}(a) \longrightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 4.6.1 (ii) and (iii) we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{\ell-1} \circ L_a \longrightarrow K_\ell(a) \xrightarrow{z^\ell} q^{-2\ell} K_0(a) \circ L_a^{\circ \ell} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.6.5}$$

Since $B(\gamma_a, \beta_a) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &K_\ell(a) \star L_a \simeq q^\ell K_\ell(a) \circ L_a, \\ &K_0(a) \star L_a^{\star \ell} \simeq K_0(a) \circ L_a^{\star \ell} \simeq q^{(\ell-1)\ell/2} K_0(a) \circ L_a^{\circ \ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.6.5) can be understood as

$$0 \longrightarrow q^{1-\ell} K_{\ell-1} \star L_a \longrightarrow K_\ell(a) \xrightarrow{z^\ell} q^{-2\ell-(\ell-1)\ell/2} K_0(a) \star L_a^{\star \ell} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying the functor $\star\big(q^{\frac{(\ell+1)(\ell-2)}{2}} L_a^{\star -(\ell+1)}\big)$, we have an exact sequence in $\mathcal{T}'_J$

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{K}_{\ell-1}(a) \longrightarrow \widetilde{K}_\ell(a) \longrightarrow q^{-2\ell} \widetilde{K}_0(a) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We can easily see that the following diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_\ell(a) \star \widetilde{K}_{\ell-1}(a) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L_{\ell-1}(a) \star \widetilde{K}_{\ell-1} \arrow[d, "\varepsilon_{\ell-1}"'] \\
L_\ell(a) \star \widetilde{K}_\ell(a) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_\ell"] & \mathbf{1} \\
q^{2\ell} L(a) \star \widetilde{K}_\ell(a) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & q^{2\ell} L(a) \star q^{-2\ell} \widetilde{K}_0(a), \arrow[u, "\varepsilon_0"']
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{K}_{\ell-1}(a) \star L_{\ell-1}(a) \arrow[r] & \widetilde{K}_\ell \star L_{\ell-1}(a) \\
\mathbf{1} \arrow[r, "\eta_\ell"] \arrow[u, "\eta_{\ell-1}"] \arrow[d, "\eta_0"'] & \widetilde{K}_\ell(a) \star L_\ell(a) \arrow[u] \arrow[d] \\
q^{-2\ell} \widetilde{K}_0(a) \star q^{2\ell} L(a) \arrow[r] & q^{-2\ell} \widetilde{K}_\ell(a) \star L_\ell(a).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then the assertion follows by the induction on ℓ and Lemma A.2.3.

(ii) By (i), $L_\ell(a)$ has a right dual for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Hence an object of the form

$$q^s L_{\ell_1}(a_1) \star \cdots \star L_{\ell_r}(a_r) \star S$$

has a right dual, where S is a tensor product of copies of $L_a^{\star -1}$ $(a \in \mathbb{Z})$.

Because every object X in $\mathcal{T}'_J$ has a resolution

$$P' \to P \to X \to 0,$$

where P' and P are direct sums of objects with the above form, we conclude that X also has a right dual.

Similarly, every object has a left dual. Note that the left dual of $L(a)$ in $\mathcal{T}'_J$ is isomorphic to $q^{-1}L(a-N+1, a-1) \star L_{a-N+1}^{\star -1}$. $\square$

Now we will show that the functor $\mathcal{F}' \colon \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \to U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-mod factors through $\mathcal{T}_J$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6.4. *For $b \in J$, set $V_k = V_{q^{2(b-k)}}$ $(1 \le k \le N)$, $W = V_N \otimes V_{N-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_1$, and choose an epimorphism $\varphi \colon W \to \mathbf{k}$ in $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-mod. Let*

$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{W,V_z} \colon W \otimes V_z \to V_z \otimes W$$

be the R-matrix obtained by the composition of normalized R-matrices

$$V_N \otimes \cdots \otimes V_1 \otimes V_z \xrightarrow{R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V_1,V_z}} V_N \otimes \cdots \otimes V_2 \otimes V_z \otimes V_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V_N,V_z}} V_z \otimes V_N \otimes \cdots \otimes V_1,$$

and let $g(z) = \dfrac{q^{N-1}(z - q^{2(b-N)})}{z - q^{2(b-1)}}$.

Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} W \otimes V_z \arrow[r, "R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{W,V_z}"] \arrow[d, "\varphi \otimes V_z"'] & V_z \otimes W \arrow[d, "V_z \otimes \varphi"] \\ \mathbf{k} \otimes V_z \arrow[r, "g(z)"] & V_z \otimes \mathbf{k}. \end{tikzcd} \tag{4.6.6}$$

Proof. Set $W' = V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N$. Then there exists a $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-module homomorphism $r \colon W \to W'$ such that $\mathrm{Im}(r) \simeq \mathbf{k}$. Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} W \otimes V_z \arrow[r, "R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{W,V_z}"] \arrow[d, "r \otimes V_z"'] & V_z \otimes W \arrow[d, "V_z \otimes r"] \\ W' \otimes V_z \arrow[r, "R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{W',V_z}"] & V_z \otimes W'. \end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{U_q'(\mathfrak{g})}(V_z, V_z) = \mathbf{k}(z)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(r) \simeq \mathbf{k}$, there exists $g(z) \in \mathbf{k}(z)$ such that the diagram (4.6.6) is commutative.

Set $c_k = q^{2(b-k)}$ and choose φ such that $\varphi((u_N)_{c_N} \otimes \cdots \otimes (u_1)_{c_1}) = 1$. Denote by R_k^{norm} the normalized R-matrix

$$R_k^{\mathrm{norm}} \colon V_k \otimes V_{k-1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_1 \otimes V_z \to V_z \otimes V_k \otimes V_{k-1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_1$$

given inductively by $R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V_k,V_z} \cdot (V_k \otimes R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{k-1})$.

It is enough to show that

$$(V_z \otimes \varphi) \circ R_N^{\mathrm{norm}}((u_N)_{c_N} \otimes \cdots \otimes (u_1)_{c_1} \otimes (u_1)_z) = g(z)(u_1)_z. \tag{4.6.7}$$

We shall show

$$\begin{aligned} &R_k^{\mathrm{norm}}\big((u_k)_{c_k} \otimes \cdots \otimes (u_1)_{c_1} \otimes (u_1)_z\big) \\ &\quad \in \frac{q^{k-1}(z-c_k)}{z-c_1}(u_1)_z \otimes (u_k)_{c_k} \otimes \cdots \otimes (u_1)_{c_1} + \textstyle\sum_{1<j\le N}(u_j)_z \otimes V_{k-1} \otimes \dots V_1 \end{aligned} \tag{4.6.8}$$

by induction on k. It is trivial if $k=1$. Assume that $k>1$. Then by (4.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\mathrm{norm}}\big((u_k)_{c_k} \otimes (u_1)_z\big) &= \frac{q(z-c_k)}{z-q^2c_k}(u_1)_z \otimes (u_k)_{c_k} + \frac{z(1-q^2)}{z-q^2c_k}(u_k)_z \otimes (u_1)_{c_k} \\ &= \frac{q(z-c_k)}{z-c_{k-1}}(u_1)_z \otimes (u_k)_{c_k} + \frac{z(1-q^2)}{z-q^2c_k}(u_k)_z \otimes (u_1)_{c_k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$R^{\mathrm{norm}}\big((u_k)_{c_k} \otimes (u_j)_z\big) \in \sum_{1<s\le N} (u_s)_z \otimes V_k \text{ for } j>1.$$

Hence we obtain (4.6.8).

Applying $V_z \otimes \varphi$, we obtain

$$(V_z \otimes \varphi) \circ R_N^{\mathrm{norm}}\big((u_N)_{c_N} \otimes \cdots \otimes (u_1)_{c_1} \otimes (u_1)_z\big) \in g(z)(u_1)_z + \sum_{1<j\le N} \mathbf{k}(u_j)_z,$$

which yields (4.6.7). □

Now we will choose $\{c_{i,j}(u,v)\}_{i,j\in J}$ as promised in Remark 3.1.1. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$A_r(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r=0, \\ q^{-r}(z+1-X(r)) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $X(r) = q^{2r}$. Set

$$B_r(u,v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \le 0, \\ q^{-r}(1+v) - q^r(1+u) & \text{if } r \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, for $r \ge 1$, we have

$$B_r(0,z) = A_r(z) \text{ and } \quad B_r(z,0) = -A_{-r}(z). \tag{4.6.9}$$

Theorem 4.6.5. *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ and $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$, set*

$$c_{k,0}(u,v) = \prod_{\substack{0\le s\le k,\\ s\equiv k \bmod N}} \frac{B_s(u,v)B_{s-N}(u,v)}{B_{s-1}(u,v)B_{s-N+1}(u,v)},$$

$$c_{i,j}(u,v) = \begin{cases} c_{i-j,0}(u,v) & \text{for } j \le i, \\ c_{j-i,0}(v,u)^{-1} & \text{for } j > i. \end{cases}$$

Then the diagram (A.7.1) is commutative for the functor $\mathcal{F}'\colon \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \to U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-mod and the commuting family of central objects $\{(L_a, R_a)\}_{a\in J}$. That is, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{F}'(L_a \star M) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{F}'(R_a(M))"] & \mathcal{F}'(L_a)\otimes\mathcal{F}'(M) \arrow[r, "g_a\otimes\mathcal{F}'(M)"] & \mathbf{k}\otimes\mathcal{F}'(M) \arrow[dr] & \\
\mathcal{F}'(M \star L_a) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathcal{F}'(M)\otimes\mathcal{F}'(L_a) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{F}'(M)\otimes g_a"] & \mathcal{F}'(M)\otimes\mathbf{k} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{F}'(M)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.6.10}$$

is commutative for any isomorphism $g_a\colon \mathcal{F}'(L_a) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{k}$.

Proof. First, one can easily check that $\{c_{i,j}(u,v)\}$ satisfies the condition (3.1.1). It is enough to show the commutativity of the diagram (4.6.10) for $M = L(j)_z$. In this case, we have $\mathcal{F}'(M) \simeq V_{\mathrm{aff}}$.

Set $L = L(a) \circ L(a+1) \circ \cdots \circ L(a+N-1)$ and $W = \mathcal{F}'(L)$. Then we have $R_a(L(j)_z) = f_{a,j}(z) R_{L,L(j)_z}$. On the other hand, Proposition 3.2.2 implies

$$\mathcal{F}'(R_{L,L(j)_z}) = \prod_{a\le k\le a+N-1} P_{k,j}(0,z) R^{\mathrm{norm}}_{W,\mathcal{F}'(V(j)_z)}.$$

The above lemma implies that

$$\mathcal{F}'\big(R_a(L(j)_z)\big) = f_{a,j}(z) q^{N-1} \frac{Z - X(a)}{Z - X(a+N-1)} \prod_{a\le k\le a+N-1} P_{k,j}(0,z)\, \mathrm{id}_{V_{\mathrm{aff}}},$$

where $Z = z_V$ and $Z = X(j)(z+1)$. Hence it is enough to show that

$$f_{a,j}(z) q^{N-1} \frac{Z - X(a)}{Z - X(a+N-1)} \prod_{a\le k\le a+N-1} P_{k,j}(0,z) = 1. \tag{4.6.11}$$

Note that $P_{k,j}(0,z) = c_{k,j}(0,z)(-z)^{\delta(j=k+1)}$. Because

$$f_{a,j}(z) = (-1)^{\delta_{j,a+N}} z^{-\delta(a\le j<a+N-1)-\delta_{j,a+N}},$$

it amounts to showing that

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=a}^{a+N-1} c_{k,j}(0,z) &= (-1)^{\delta(a+1\le j\le a+N+1)} q^{1-N} \frac{\big(z+1-X(a-j+N-1)\big)^{\delta(j\neq a+N-1)}}{\big(z+1-X(a-j)\big)^{\delta(j\neq a)}} \\
&= (-1)^{\delta(a<j\le a+N-1)} \frac{A_{a-j+N-1}(z)}{A_{a-j}(z)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $c_{i+1,j+1}(u,v) = c_{i,j}(u,v)$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have only to show that

$$\prod_{k=a}^{a+N-1} c_{k,0}(0,z) = (-1)^{\delta(a<0\le a+N-1)} \frac{A_{a+N-1}(z)}{A_a(z)}$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We shall show that

$$\prod_{k=a}^{a+N-1} c_{k,0}(u,v) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{B_{a+N-1}(u,v)}{B_a(u,v)} & \text{if } a \ge 0, \\ \dfrac{B_{-(a+N-1)}(v,u)}{B_{-a}(v,u)} & \text{if } a < 1-N, \\ \dfrac{B_{a+N-1}(u,v)}{B_{-a}(v,u)} & \text{if } 1-N \le a < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then by (4.6.9), we would obtain the desired result.

If $a \ge 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=a}^{a+N-1} c_{k,0}(u,v) &= \prod_{k=a}^{a+N-1} \prod_{\substack{0\le s\le k,\\ s\equiv k \bmod N}} \frac{B_s(u,v)B_{s-N}(u,v)}{B_{s-1}(u,v)B_{s-N+1}(u,v)} \\ &= \prod_{0\le s\le a+N-1} \frac{B_s(u,v)}{B_{s-1}(u,v)} \frac{B_{s-N}(u,v)}{B_{s-N+1}(u,v)} = \frac{B_{a+N-1}(u,v)}{B_{-1}(u,v)} \frac{B_{-N}(u,v)}{B_a(u,v)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $a \le 1-N$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=a}^{a+N-1} c_{k,0}(u,v) &= \prod_{k=a}^{a+N-1} c_{-k,0}(v,u)^{-1} = \Big(\prod_{k=-(a+N-1)}^{-a} c_{k,0}(v,u) \Big)^{-1} \\ &= \Big(\frac{B_{-a}(v,u)}{B_{-(a+N-1)}(v,u)} \Big)^{-1} = \frac{B_{-(a+N-1)}(v,u)}{B_{-a}(v,u)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $1-N \le a < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{k=a}^{a+N-1} c_{k,0}(u,v) = \prod_{k=a}^{-1} c_{-k,0}(v,u)^{-1} \prod_{k=0}^{a+N-1} c_{k,0}(u,v) \\ &= \Big(\frac{B_{-a-1}(v,u)}{B_{-a}(v,u)} \frac{B_{-a-2}(v,u)}{B_{-a-1}(v,u)} \cdots \frac{B_0(v,u)}{B_1(v,u)} \Big) \Big(\frac{B_0(u,v)}{B_{-1}(u,v)} \frac{B_1(u,v)}{B_0(u,v)} \cdots \frac{B_{a+N-1}(u,v)}{B_{a+N-2}(u,v)} \Big) \\ &= \frac{B_{a+N-1}(u,v)}{B_{-a}(v,u)}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Hence, Proposition A.7.3 implies that the functor $\mathcal{F}' \colon \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \to U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-mod factors through $\mathcal{T}_J$. Consequently, we obtain a functor $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \colon \mathcal{T}_J \to U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-mod such that the following diagram quasi-commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{Q}"] \arrow[rrd, "\mathcal{F}"'] & \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \arrow[r, "\Upsilon"] \arrow[rd, "\mathcal{F}'"] & \mathcal{T}_J' \arrow[r, "\Xi"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{T}_J \arrow[ld, "\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}"] \\
 & & U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)\text{-mod}\,. &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.6.12}$$

Moreover, by Proposition A.7.2, we obtain

Proposition 4.6.6. *The functor $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is exact.*

4.7. **The Category $\mathcal{C}_J$.**

Recall that $\mathscr{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the category of finite-dimensional integrable $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-modules. Let $\mathcal{C}_J$ be the full subcategory of $\mathscr{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ consisting of $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-modules M such that every composition factor of M appears as a composition factor of a tensor product of modules of the form $V(\varpi_1)_{q^{2s}}$ $(s \in J)$. By the definition, $\mathcal{C}_J$ is abelian and is stable under taking submodules, quotients, extensions and tensor products. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_J$ contains $V(\varpi_i)_{(-q)^{i+2s-1}}$ for $1 \le i \le N-1$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ can be considered as an exact functor

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \colon \mathcal{T}_J \to \mathcal{C}_J.$$

Note that the category $\mathcal{C}_J$ coincides with the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in [10].

Lemma 4.7.1. *Let $\mathbf{s} = \big((a_1,b_1),\ldots,(a_r,b_r)\big)$ be an ordered multisegment such that $b_k - a_k + 1 \le N$ for any $1 \le k \le r$. Let t be an integer such that $1 \le t \le r$ and $b_t - a_t + 1 = N$. Let us set $\mathbf{s}' = \big((a_k,b_k)\big)_{k \ne t}$, and let $M(\mathbf{s})$ and $M(\mathbf{s}')$ be the simple graded R-modules associated with $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{s}'$, respectively.*

Then $M(\mathbf{s}') \circ L(a_t,b_t)$ is isomorphic to $M(\mathbf{s})$ in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ up to a grading shift.

Proof. In this proof, we omit the grading shift. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= L(a_1,b_1) \circ \cdots \circ L(a_{t-1},b_{t-1}),\\
L' &= L(a_{t-1},b_{t-1}) \circ \cdots \circ L(a_1,b_1),\\
K &= L(a_{t+1},b_{t+1}) \circ \cdots \circ L(a_r,b_r),\\
K' &= L(a_r,b_r) \circ \cdots \circ L(a_{t+1},b_{t+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $M(\mathbf{s}')$ is isomorphic to the image of $L \circ K \xrightarrow{f'} K' \circ L'$ and $M(\mathbf{s})$ is isomorphic to the image of $L \circ L(a_t,b_t) \circ K \xrightarrow{f} K' \circ L(a_t,b_t) \circ L'$. The homomorphism f is decomposed into

$$\begin{aligned} L \circ L(a_t, b_t) \circ K \quad &\xrightarrow{\ \phi\ } \quad L \circ K \circ L(a_t, b_t) \\ &\xrightarrow{f' \circ L(a_t,b_t)} \quad K' \circ L' \circ L(a_t, b_t) \\ &\xrightarrow{\ \psi\ } \quad K' \circ L(a_t, b_t) \circ L'. \end{aligned}$$

Since $L(a_t, b_t) \circ K \to K \circ L(a_t, b_t)$ and $L' \circ L(a_t, b_t) \to L(a_t, b_t) \circ L'$ are isomorphisms in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ by Proposition 4.2.3, ϕ and ψ are also isomorphisms in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$. Hence $M(\mathbf{s}') \circ L(a_t, b_t)$ is isomorphic to $M(\mathbf{s})$ in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$. □

Corollary 4.7.2. *If X be a simple object in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$, then $X \circ L_a$ is a simple object in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proposition 4.7.3.

(i) *The canonical functor $\Omega = \Xi \circ \Upsilon \colon \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{T}_J$ sends simple objects to simple objects.*

(ii) $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_J}(X, Y) < \infty$ *for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_J$.*

(iii) *Let us denote by $\mathcal{I}rr(\mathcal{T}_J)$ the set of the isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\mathcal{T}_J$. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathcal{I}rr(\mathcal{T}_J)$ by $X \sim Y$ if and only if $X \simeq q^c Y$ in $\mathcal{T}_J$ for some integer c. Let $\mathcal{I}rr(\mathcal{T}_J)_{q=1}$ be a set of representatives of elements in $\mathcal{I}rr(\mathcal{T}_J)/\sim$.*

Then the set $\mathcal{I}rr(\mathcal{T}_J)_{q=1}$ is isomorphic to the set of ordered multisegments

$$\mathbf{s} = \big((a_1, b_1), \dots (a_r, b_r)\big)$$

satisfying

$$b_k - a_k + 1 < N \text{ for any } 1 \le k \le r. \tag{4.7.1}$$

(iv) *The functor $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \colon \mathcal{T}_J \to \mathcal{C}_J$ induces a bijection between $\mathcal{I}rr(\mathcal{T}_J)_{q=1}$ and $\mathcal{I}rr(\mathcal{C}_J)$, the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible objects in $\mathcal{C}_J$.*

Proof. (i) follows from Corollary 4.7.2 and Proposition A.7.2.

(ii) follows from Lemma A.6.3.

(iii) By (i), every element in $\mathcal{I}rr(T_J)_{q=1}$ is of the form $[\Omega(M(\mathbf{s}))]$, for some ordered multisegment $\mathbf{s} = \big((a_1, b_1), \dots (a_r, b_r)\big)$. By Lemma 4.7.1, we can assume that $\mathbf{s}$ satisfies (4.7.1). Hence the assignment $\mathbf{s} \mapsto [\Omega(M(\mathbf{s}))] \in \mathcal{I}rr(T_J)_{q=1}$ is surjective.

For two multisegments $\mathbf{s}_1$ and $\mathbf{s}_2$ satisfying (4.7.1), if $\Omega(M(\mathbf{s}_1)) \simeq \Omega(M(\mathbf{s}_2))$ in $\mathcal{T}_J$ up to grading shift, then $\mathcal{F}(M(\mathbf{s}_1)) \simeq \mathcal{F}(M(\mathbf{s}_2))$ in $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$-mod implies $\mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{s}_2$ by Theorem 2.2.1 (iii). Thus we obtain (iii).

(iv) By (iii), for any simple object X in $\mathcal{T}_J$, we have $X \simeq (\Omega \cdot \mathcal{Q})(q^c M(\mathbf{s}))$ for some ordered multisegment $\mathbf{s}$ satisfying (4.7.1) and some integer c. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \mathcal{F}(M(\mathbf{s}))$ is irreducible by Theorem 4.3.3.

It is known that every irreducible module in $\mathcal{C}_J$ can be obtained as the head of a tensor product of the form

$$V(\varpi_{i_1})_{(-q)^{c_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\varpi_{i_r})_{(-q)^{c_r}}$$

for some $\{c_k \in \mathbb{Z}\}_{1 \le k \le r}$ such that $c_k \equiv i_k - 1 \mod 2$ and that $(-q)^{c_k - c_j}$ is not the zero of $d_{V(\varpi_j), V(\varpi_k)}$ for $1 \le j < k \le r$. Moreover, such a sequence $\big((i_1, c_1), \ldots (i_r, c_r)\big)$ is unique up to a permutation (Theorem 2.2.1 (iii)).

Set $a_k = \dfrac{c_k - i_k + 1}{2}$ and $b_k = \dfrac{c_k + i_k - 1}{2}$. By applying a permutation, we may assume that the multisegment $\big((a_1, b_1), \ldots (a_r, b_r)\big)$ is ordered. Note that $(a_j, b_j) \ge (a_k, b_k)$ implies that $(-q)^{c_k - c_j}$ is not the zero of $d_{V(\varpi_j), V(\varpi_k)}$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{F}(L(a_1, b_1) \circ \cdots \circ L(a_r, b_r)) \simeq V(\varpi_{i_1})_{(-q)^{c_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\varpi_{i_r})_{(-q)^{c_r}}$$

and $\mathcal{F}(M(\mathbf{s}))$ is isomorphic to the head of $V(\varpi_{i_1})_{(-q)^{c_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\varpi_{i_r})_{(-q)^{c_r}}$. Hence the assignment $\mathcal{I}rr(\mathcal{T}_J)_{q=1} \ni X \mapsto [\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(X)] \in \mathcal{I}rr(\mathcal{C}_J)$ is bijective. $\square$

Finally, we have established the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.7.4. *The exact functor* $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \colon \mathcal{T}_J \to \mathcal{C}_J$ *induces a ring isomorphism*

$$\phi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}} \colon K(\mathcal{T}_J)/(q-1)K(\mathcal{T}_J) \xrightarrow{\sim} K(\mathcal{C}_J).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{T}_J$ is a graded rigid tensor categorification of $\mathcal{C}_J$.

Appendix A. Localization

In this section, we shall recall the basic facts on the localization of tensor categories. Since the materials here are more or less known or elementary, we omit most of the proofs.

A.1. **Tensor category.**

Let us recall a *tensor category* (often called a *monoidal category*). In this paper, we mainly consider additive tensor categories.

A *tensor category* consists of the following data:

(i) a category $\mathcal{T}$,
(ii) a bifunctor $\bullet \otimes \bullet \colon \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$,
(iii) an isomorphism $a(X, Y, Z) \colon (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \xrightarrow{\sim} X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$ which is functorial in $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{T}$,
(iv) an object $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{T}$ (called a *unit object*),
(v) an isomorphism $\varepsilon \colon \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}$

satisfying the following axioms:

(a) (the Pentagon axiom) the following diagram is commutative for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathcal{T}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
((X \otimes Y) \otimes Z) \otimes W \arrow[r, "a(X \otimes Y, Z, W)"] \arrow[d, "a(X,Y,Z) \otimes W"'] & (X \otimes Y) \otimes (Z \otimes W) \arrow[dd, "a(X,Y,Z \otimes W)"] \\
(X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)) \otimes W \arrow[d, "a(X, Y \otimes Z, W)"'] & \\
X \otimes ((Y \otimes Z) \otimes W) \arrow[r, "X \otimes a(Y,Z,W)"'] & X \otimes (Y \otimes (Z \otimes W)),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{A.1.1}$$

(b) the functors from $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathcal{T}$ given by $X \mapsto \mathbf{1} \otimes X$ and $X \mapsto X \otimes \mathbf{1}$ are fully faithful.

We refer [19], for example, for the fundamental properties of tensor categories.

Note that the isomorphism $\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes X \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \otimes X]{\sim} \mathbf{1} \otimes X$ induces a canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{1} \otimes X \xrightarrow{\sim} X$. Similarly, there is a canonical isomorphism $X \otimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} X$.

Note that a unit object $\mathbf{1}$ is unique up to a unique isomorphism. Namely, for an object Z and an isomorphism $e \colon Z \otimes Z \to Z$, if the functor $X \mapsto Z \otimes X$ is an auto-equivalence of $\mathcal{T}$, then there exists a unique isomorphism $\varphi \colon Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \otimes Z \arrow[r, "e"] \arrow[d, "\varphi \otimes \varphi"] & Z \arrow[d, "\varphi"] \\
\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[r, "\varepsilon"] & \mathbf{1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ be tensor categories. A functor $F \colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ is called a *tensor functor* if it is endowed with an isomorphism $F(X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(X) \otimes F(Y)$ functorial in $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$ and an isomorphism $F(\mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}$ which make the following diagrams commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X \otimes Y \otimes Z) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F(X \otimes Y) \otimes F(Z) \arrow[d] \\
F(X) \otimes F(Y \otimes Z) \arrow[r] & F(X) \otimes F(Y) \otimes F(Z),
\end{tikzcd}$$

(A.1.2)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F(\mathbf{1}) \otimes F(\mathbf{1}) \arrow[r] & \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[d] \\
F(\mathbf{1}) \arrow[rr] & & \mathbf{1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

For $X \in \mathcal{T}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we write $X^{\otimes n} = \underbrace{X \otimes \cdots \otimes X}_{n\text{-times}}$.

We say that an object X is *invertible* if the functors $Z \mapsto X \otimes Z$ and $Z \mapsto Z \otimes X$ are equivalences of categories. If X is invertible, then there exist an object Y and isomorphisms $f \colon X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}$ and $g \colon Y \otimes X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \otimes Y \otimes X \arrow[r, "f \otimes X"] \arrow[d, "X \otimes g"'] & \mathbf{1} \otimes X \arrow[d] & \text{and} & Y \otimes X \otimes Y \arrow[r, "g \otimes Y"] \arrow[d, "Y \otimes f"'] & \mathbf{1} \otimes Y \arrow[d] \\
X \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[r] & X & & Y \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[r] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

are commutative. The triple (Y, f, g) is unique up to a unique isomorphism. We write $Y = X^{\otimes(-1)}$ so that one may define $X^{\otimes n}$ for any integer n.

A.2. **Adjunction and Quasi-adjunction.**

Definition A.2.1. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tensor category with a unit object $\mathbf{1}$. Let (X, Y) be a pair of objects and let $\varepsilon \colon X \otimes Y \to \mathbf{1}$ and $\eta \colon \mathbf{1} \to Y \otimes X$ be morphisms.*

(i) *We say that (ε, η) is an* adjunction *and that X is a* left dual *to Y and Y is a* right dual *to X if the conditions (a) and (b) below are satisfied:*
   (a) *the composition $X \simeq X \otimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{X \otimes \eta} X \otimes Y \otimes X \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes X} \mathbf{1} \otimes X \simeq X$ is equal to the identity of X.*
   (b) *the composition $Y \simeq \mathbf{1} \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes Y} Y \otimes X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{Y \otimes \varepsilon} \mathbf{1} \otimes Y \simeq Y$ is equal to the identity of Y.*

(ii) *If the composition $X \otimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{X \otimes \eta} X \otimes Y \otimes X \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes X} \mathbf{1} \otimes X$ and $\mathbf{1} \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes Y} Y \otimes X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{Y \otimes \varepsilon} \mathbf{1} \otimes Y$ are isomorphisms, then we say that (ε, η) is a* quasi-adjunction.

Lemma A.2.2. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tensor category with a unit object $\mathbf{1}$ and let $\varepsilon \colon X \otimes Y \to \mathbf{1}$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{T}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(a) *There exists a morphism $\eta \colon \mathbf{1} \to Y \otimes X$ such that (ε, η) is an adjunction.*
(b) *There exists a morphism $\eta \colon \mathbf{1} \to Y \otimes X$ such that (ε, η) is a quasi-adjunction.*
(c) *For any $V, W \in \mathcal{T}$, the composition*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(V, Y \otimes W) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X \otimes V, X \otimes Y \otimes W) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes W} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X \otimes V, W)$$

is a bijection.

(d) *For any $V, W \in \mathcal{T}$, the composition*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(V, W \otimes X) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(V \otimes Y, W \otimes X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{W \otimes \varepsilon} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(V \otimes Y, W)$$

is a bijection.

In this case, the morphism η *in* (a) *is unique.*

Moreover, if (ε, η) *satisfies* (b)*, then the following statements hold.*

(i) *We have* $(g^{-1} \otimes X) \circ \eta = (Y \otimes f^{-1}) \circ \eta$ *and the pair* $\big(\varepsilon, (g^{-1} \otimes X) \circ \eta\big)$ *is an adjunction,*

(ii) *We have* $\varepsilon \circ (X \otimes g^{-1}) = \varepsilon \circ (f^{-1} \otimes Y)$ *and the pair* $\big(\varepsilon \circ (X \otimes g^{-1}), \eta\big)$ *is an adjunction.*

Hence for an object X of $\mathcal{T}$, a left dual (resp. a right dual) of X is unique up to a unique isomorphism if it exists.

Lemma A.2.3. *Let* $\mathcal{T}$ *be an abelian tensor category. Let*

$$0 \to X' \to X \to X'' \to 0, \quad 0 \to Y'' \to Y \to Y' \to 0$$

be exact sequences and morphisms

$$\varepsilon' \colon X' \otimes Y' \to \mathbf{1}, \quad \varepsilon \colon X \otimes Y \to \mathbf{1}, \quad \varepsilon'' \colon X'' \otimes Y'' \to \mathbf{1},$$
$$\eta' \colon \mathbf{1} \to Y' \otimes X', \quad \eta \colon \mathbf{1} \to Y \otimes X, \quad \eta'' \colon \mathbf{1} \to Y'' \otimes X''$$

are given so that the following the diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \otimes Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X' \otimes Y' \arrow[d, "\varepsilon'"] \\
X \otimes Y \arrow[r, "\varepsilon"] & \mathbf{1} \\
X \otimes Y'' \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & X'' \otimes Y'', \arrow[u, "\varepsilon''"']
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
Y' \otimes X' \arrow[r] & Y' \otimes X \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{1} \arrow[r, "\eta"] \arrow[u, "\eta'"] \arrow[d, "\eta''"'] & Y \otimes X \arrow[d] \\
Y'' \otimes X'' \arrow[r] & Y \otimes X''.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Assume further that (ε', η') *and* (ε'', η'') *are quasi-adjunctions. Then the pair* (ε, η) *is also a quasi-adjunction.*

Proof. We shall only show that the composition $X \otimes \mathbf{1} \to X \otimes Y \otimes X \to \mathbf{1} \otimes X$ is an isomorphism. Consider the following diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & X' \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X'' \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
& X' \otimes Y' \otimes X' \arrow[r, phantom, "Ⓐ"] \arrow[d] & X \otimes Y \otimes X \arrow[r, phantom, "Ⓑ"] \arrow[d] & X'' \otimes Y'' \otimes X'' \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbf{1} \otimes X' \arrow[r] & \mathbf{1} \otimes X \arrow[r] & \mathbf{1} \otimes X'' \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

If we show that Ⓐ and Ⓑ are commutative, then the composition of the middle vertical arrows is an isomorphism because the one in the right and the one in the left are isomorphisms. Hence it is enough to show the commutativity of the squares. For example, the square Ⓑ is commutative, because we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & X \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "X\otimes\eta''"] \arrow[ddl, "X\otimes\eta"'] & X'' \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[d, "X''\otimes\eta''"] \\
 & X \otimes Y'' \otimes X'' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X'' \otimes Y'' \otimes X'' \arrow[ddl, "\varepsilon''\otimes X''"] \\
X \otimes Y \otimes X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varepsilon\otimes X"] & X \otimes Y \otimes X'' \arrow[d, "\varepsilon\otimes X''"'] & \\
\mathbf{1} \otimes X \arrow[r] & \mathbf{1} \otimes X''. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The commutativity of Ⓐ can be shown in a similar way. □

A.3. **Central objects.** Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tensor category. A *central object* of $\mathcal{T}$ is an object P of $\mathcal{T}$ equipped with an isomorphism

$$R_P(X)\colon P \otimes X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \otimes P$$

functorial in $X \in \mathcal{T}$ such that

$$\text{(A.3.1)}\quad \begin{tikzcd}
P \otimes X \otimes Y \arrow[r, "R_P(X)"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "R_P(X \otimes Y)"] & X \otimes P \otimes Y \arrow[r, "R_P(Y)"'] & X \otimes Y \otimes P
\end{tikzcd} \quad \text{commutes for any } X, Y \in \mathcal{T}.$$

In this case, the following diagram is necessarily commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[r, "\sim", "R_P(\mathbf{1})"'] \arrow[dr, "\sim"'] & \mathbf{1} \otimes P \arrow[d, "\wr"] \\
 & P.
\end{tikzcd}$$

If (P_1, R_{P_1}) and (P_2, R_{P_2}) are central objects, then $P_1 \otimes P_2$ is a central object with

$$R_{P_1 \otimes P_2}\colon P_1 \otimes P_2 \otimes X \xrightarrow[P_1 \otimes R_{P_2}(X)]{\sim} P_1 \otimes X \otimes P_2 \xrightarrow[R_{P_1}(X) \otimes P_2]{\sim} X \otimes P_1 \otimes P_2.$$

A.4. **Commuting family of objects.** Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tensor category. Consider a family of object $\{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{T}$ and a family of isomorphisms $\{B_{i,j}\colon P_i \otimes P_j \xrightarrow{\sim} P_j \otimes P_i\}_{i,j \in I}$.

Definition A.4.1. *We say that* $(\{P_i\}_{i\in I}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in I})$ *is a* commuting family *if the isomorphisms* $B_{i,j} (i, j \in I)$ *satisfy the following conditions:*

(a) $B_{i,i} = \mathrm{id}_{P_i \otimes P_i}$ *for any* $i \in I$,
(b) $B_{j,i} \circ B_{i,j} = \mathrm{id}_{P_i \otimes P_j}$ *for any* $i, j \in I$,
(c) *the isomorphisms* $\{B_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in I}$ *satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation; namely, the following diagram is commutative for any* $i, j, k \in I$*:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& P_i \otimes P_j \otimes P_k \arrow[dl, "B_{i,j}"'] \arrow[dr, "B_{j,k}"] & \\
P_j \otimes P_i \otimes P_k \arrow[d, "B_{i,k}"'] & & P_i \otimes P_k \otimes P_j \arrow[d, "B_{i,k}"] \\
P_j \otimes P_k \otimes P_i \arrow[dr, "B_{j,k}"'] & & P_k \otimes P_i \otimes P_j \arrow[dl, "B_{i,j}"] \\
& P_k \otimes P_j \otimes P_i. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let us denote by $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}$. If $(\{P_i\}_{i \in I}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in I})$ is a commuting family, then we can find

(i) an object P^α of $\mathcal{T}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}$,
(ii) an isomorphism $P_i \xrightarrow{\sim} P^{e_i}$ for any $i \in I$,
(iii) an isomorphism $f_{\alpha,\beta} \colon P^\alpha \otimes P^\beta \xrightarrow{\sim} P^{\alpha+\beta}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}$,

satisfying the following conditions:

(a) P^0 is isomorphic to $\mathbf{1}$,
(b) the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P^\alpha \otimes P^\beta \otimes P^\gamma \arrow[r, "f_{\alpha,\beta}"] \arrow[d, "f_{\beta,\gamma}"'] & P^{\alpha+\beta} \otimes P^\gamma \arrow[d, "f_{\alpha+\beta,\gamma}"] \\
P^\alpha \otimes P^{\beta+\gamma} \arrow[r, "f_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma}"] & P^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}$,

(c) the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P_i \otimes P_j \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "B_{i,j}"'] & P^{e_i} \otimes P^{e_j} \arrow[dr, "f_{e_i,e_j}"] & \\
P_j \otimes P_i \arrow[r, "\sim"] & P^{e_j} \otimes P^{e_i} \arrow[r, "f_{e_j,e_i}"'] & P^{e_i+e_j}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative for any $i, j \in I$.

Moreover, such an $(\{P^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}})$ is unique up to a unique isomorphism.

More generally, we have the following lemma.

Lemma A.4.2. *Let* $(\{P_i\}_{i \in I}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in I})$ *and* $(\{P'_i\}_{i \in I}, \{B'_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in I})$ *be two commuting families, and let* $(\{P^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}})$ *and* $(\{P'^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}}, \{f'_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}})$ *be the corresponding families as above. Let* $\varphi_i \colon P_i \to P'_i$ $(i \in I)$ *be a family of morphisms such* *that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P_i \otimes P_j \arrow[r, "\varphi_i \otimes \varphi_j"] \arrow[d, "B_{i,j}"] & P'_i \otimes P'_j \arrow[d, "B'_{i,j}"] \\
P_j \otimes P_i \arrow[r, "\varphi_j \otimes \varphi_i"] & P'_j \otimes P'_i
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative for any $i, j \in I$*. Then there exists a unique family of morphisms* $\varphi_\alpha \colon P^\alpha \to P'^\alpha$ $(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus I})$ *such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P^\alpha \otimes P^\beta \arrow[r, "\varphi_\alpha \otimes \varphi_\beta"] \arrow[d, "f_{\alpha,\beta}"] & P'^\alpha \otimes P'^\beta \arrow[d, "f'_{\alpha,\beta}"] \\
P^{\alpha+\beta} \arrow[r, "\varphi_{\alpha+\beta}"] & P'^{\alpha+\beta}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus I}$*, and* $\varphi_{e_i} = \varphi_i$ *for any* $i \in I$*.*

A.5. **Localization.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. Then the category $\mathrm{Fct}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ of endofunctors has a structure of a tensor category by $F \otimes G = F \cdot G$, the composition of functors. Let $\{\Phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a commuting family of objects of $\mathrm{Fct}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$. Then we can define $\Phi^\alpha \in \mathrm{Fct}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus I}$ and $\Phi^\alpha \cdot \Phi^\beta \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi^{\alpha+\beta}$ as in the preceding subsection.

We define the category $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ as follows. The objects of $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ are pairs (X, α) of $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}$. The homomorphisms are defined by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}}\big((X, \alpha), (Y, \beta)\big) = \varinjlim_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus I}, \\ \gamma+\alpha, \gamma+\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus I}}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Phi^{\gamma+\alpha}(X), \Phi^{\gamma+\beta}(Y)).$$

Note that we have a well-defined inductive system in the above definition, since $\{\Phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a commuting family.

The composition of morphisms in $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is defined in an evident way.

We define a functor $\Upsilon \colon \mathcal{C} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ by $X \mapsto (X, 0)$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}$, we define a functor $\widetilde{\Phi}^\alpha \colon \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ by

$$(X, \beta) \mapsto (X, \beta + \alpha).$$

Then all the functors $\widetilde{\Phi}^\alpha$ are auto-equivalences. Moreover, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "\Phi^\alpha"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{C} \arrow[d] \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\Phi}^\alpha"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is quasi-commutative for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus I}$. We call $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ the *localization of* $\mathcal{C}$ *by the commuting family* $\{\Phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ and denote it by $\mathcal{C}[\Phi_i^{-1} \mid i \in I]$.

The following lemma can be easily verified.

Lemma A.5.1. *Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is an abelian category and the Φ_i's are exact functors. Then*

(i) $\mathcal{C}[\Phi_i^{-1} \mid i \in I]$ *is an abelian category and the functor* $\Upsilon \colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}[\Phi_i^{-1} \mid i \in I]$ *is an exact functor.*
(ii) *For* $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $\Upsilon(X) \simeq 0$ *if and only if there exists* $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus I}$ *such that* $\Phi^\alpha(X) \simeq 0$.

A.6. **Localization of tensor categories.** Now let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tensor category and let $\{(P_i, R_{P_i})\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of central objects in $\mathcal{T}$. Set

$$B_{i,j} = R_{P_i}(P_j)\colon P_i \otimes P_j \xrightarrow{\sim} P_j \otimes P_i.$$

If $(\{P_i\}_{i\in I}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{i,j\in I})$ is a commuting family of objects, we say that $\{(P_i, R_{P_i})\}_{i\in I}$ is a *commuting family of central objects*. Note that it means

(a) for any $i \in I$, R_{P_i} satisfies (A.3.1),
(b) for any $i \in I$, $R_{P_i}(P_i) = \mathrm{id}_{P_i \otimes P_i}$,
(c) for any $i, j \in I$, $R_{P_j}(P_i) \circ R_{P_i}(P_j) = \mathrm{id}_{P_i \otimes P_j}$.

For a commuting family $\{(P_i, R_{P_i})\}_{i\in I}$ of central objects, let $\Phi_i \in \mathrm{Fct}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$ be the endofunctor defined by $X \mapsto X \otimes P_i$. We define the isomorphism $B^{\Phi}_{i,j}\colon \Phi_i\Phi_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi_j\Phi_i$ by

$$\Phi_i\Phi_j(X) = X \otimes P_j \otimes P_i \xrightarrow[B_{j,i}]{\sim} X \otimes P_i \otimes P_j = \Phi_j\Phi_i(X).$$

Then it is easy to see that $\{\Phi_i\}_{i\in I}$ becomes a commuting family of endofunctors.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T}[P_i^{\otimes -1} \mid i \in I]$ be the localization of $\mathcal{T}$ by $\{\Phi_i\}_{i\in I}$. Hence we have $\mathrm{Ob}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{T}) \times \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}$ and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}}((X,\alpha),(Y,\beta)) = \varinjlim_{\substack{\gamma+\alpha,\, \gamma+\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus I} \\ \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus I}}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X \otimes P^{\alpha+\gamma}, Y \otimes P^{\beta+\gamma}).$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus I}$, we can define an isomorphism which is functorial in X

$$R^\alpha(X)\colon X \otimes P^\alpha \xrightarrow{\sim} P^\alpha \otimes X$$

such that the following diagrams are commutative for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P^\alpha \otimes X \otimes Y \arrow[r, "R^\alpha(X)\otimes Y"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "R^\alpha(X\otimes Y)"] & X \otimes P^\alpha \otimes Y \arrow[r, "X \otimes R^\alpha(Y)"'] & X \otimes Y \otimes P^\alpha ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P^{\alpha}\otimes P^{\beta}\otimes X \arrow[r, "P^{\alpha}\otimes R^{\beta}(X)"'] \arrow[d] & P^{\alpha}\otimes X\otimes P^{\beta} \arrow[r, "R^{\alpha}(X)\otimes P^{\beta}"'] & X\otimes P^{\alpha}\otimes P^{\beta} \arrow[d] \\
P^{\alpha+\beta}\otimes X \arrow[rr, "R^{\alpha+\beta}(X)"] & & X\otimes P^{\alpha+\beta},
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P_i\otimes X \arrow[r, "R_{P_i}(X)"] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & X\otimes P_i \arrow[d, "\wr"] \\
P^{e_i}\otimes X \arrow[r, "R^{e_i}(X)"] & X\otimes P^{e_i}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, such isomorphisms R^{α} are unique.

The category $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ has a structure of tensor category as follows. For $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}$ and $X,Y\in\mathcal{T}$, we define

$$(X,\alpha)\otimes(Y,\beta)=(X\otimes Y,\alpha+\beta).$$

For $\alpha',\beta'\in\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}$ and $X',Y'\in\mathcal{T}$, we define the map

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}}\big((X,\alpha),(X',\alpha')\big)\times\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}}\big((Y,\beta),(Y',\beta')\big)\\ \to\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}}\big((X\otimes Y,\alpha+\beta),(X'\otimes Y',\alpha'+\beta')\big)\end{aligned}$$

by taking the inductive limit of the composition of the morphisms below with respect to $\gamma,\gamma'\in\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\ge 0}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X\otimes P^{\alpha+\gamma},X'\otimes P^{\alpha'+\gamma})\times\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(Y\otimes P^{\beta+\gamma'},Y'\otimes P^{\beta'+\gamma'})\\
&\quad\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X\otimes P^{\alpha+\gamma}\otimes Y\otimes P^{\beta+\gamma'},X'\otimes P^{\alpha'+\gamma}\otimes Y'\otimes P^{\beta'+\gamma'})\\
&\quad\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}\big(X\otimes Y\otimes P^{\alpha+\gamma}\otimes P^{\beta+\gamma'},X'\otimes Y'\otimes P^{\alpha'+\gamma}\otimes P^{\beta'+\gamma'}\big)\\
&\quad\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}\big(X\otimes Y\otimes P^{\alpha+\alpha'+\gamma+\gamma'},X'\otimes Y'\otimes P^{\alpha'+\beta'+\gamma+\gamma'}\big)\\
&\quad\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}}\big((X\otimes Y,\alpha+\beta),(X'\otimes Y',\alpha'+\beta')\big).\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ becomes a tensor category. Moreover, $X\mapsto(X,0)$ gives a tensor functor $\Upsilon\colon\mathcal{T}\to\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ such that the image of P_i is an invertible object of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ for any $i\in I$. We write $\mathcal{T}[P_i^{\otimes -1}\mid i\in I]$ for $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$.

Lemma A.6.1. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tensor category and let $\{(P_i,R_{P_i})\}_{i\in I}$ be a commuting family of central objects of $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be another tensor category and $\Psi\colon\mathcal{T}\to\mathcal{C}$ a tensor functor. Assume that $\Psi(P_i)$ is invertible for any $i\in I$. Then the functor Ψ factors through $\mathcal{T}\xrightarrow{\Upsilon}\mathcal{T}[P_i^{\otimes -1}\mid i\in I]\xrightarrow{\Psi'}\mathcal{C}$ with a tensor functor Ψ'. Moreover, such a Ψ' is unique up to a unique isomorphism.*

Proposition A.6.2. *Let $(\mathcal{T},\otimes)$ be a tensor category and let $\{(P_i,R_{P_i})\}_{i\in I}$ be a commuting family of central objects of $\mathcal{T}$. Consider the following conditions.*

(a) $\mathcal{T}$ *is an abelian category.*
(b) $\otimes$ *is an exact bifunctor.*
(c) *Any object of* $\mathcal{T}$ *has a finite length.*
(d) *If* X *is a simple object of* $\mathcal{T}$*, then* $X \otimes P_i$ *is a simple object for any* $i \in I$*.*

Set $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T}[P_i^{\otimes -1} \mid i \in I]$*. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *If* $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes)$ *satisfies* (a) *and* (b)*, then* $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ *is an abelian category and the functor* $\Upsilon \colon \mathcal{T} \to \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ *is exact.*
(ii) *If* $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes)$ *satisfies* (a)–(d)*, then* $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \otimes)$ *satisfies* (a)–(c)*, and the functor* $\Upsilon \colon \mathcal{T} \to \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ *sends simple objects to simple objects. Conversely, every simple object of* $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ *is isomorphic to* $\Upsilon(S) \otimes P^\alpha$ *for some* $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}$ *and a simple object* S *of* $\mathcal{T}$*.*

For easy reference, we record the following lemma.

Lemma A.6.3. *Let* $\mathbf{k}$ *be a field and let* $\mathcal{C}$ *be a* $\mathbf{k}$*-linear abelian category. Assume that*
(a) *any object of* $\mathcal{C}$ *has finite length,*
(b) $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(S, S) < \infty$ *for any simple object* S *in* $\mathcal{C}$*.*

Then we have $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) < \infty$ *for all* $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$*.*

A.7. **Graded case.** Let L be a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. An additive tensor category $\mathcal{T}$ is called L-*graded* if $\mathcal{T}$ has a decomposition $\mathcal{T} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in L} \mathcal{T}_\lambda$ such that $\otimes$ induces a functor $\mathcal{T}_\lambda \times \mathcal{T}_\mu \to \mathcal{T}_{\lambda+\mu}$ for any $\lambda, \mu \in L$ and that $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{T}_0$.

Let $\{(P_i, R_{P_i})\}_{i \in I}$ be a commuting family of central objects of $\mathcal{T}$ such that $P_i \in \mathcal{T}_{\lambda_i}$ for $\lambda_i \in L, i \in I$. Let $\ell \colon \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I} \to L$ be a homomorphism given by $\ell(e_i) = \lambda_i$ $(i \in I)$. Hence P^α belongs to $\mathcal{T}_{\ell(\alpha)}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}$.

Now we assume that $\ell \colon \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I} \to L$ is injective. We will define a tensor category $\mathcal{T}'$ and a tensor functor

$$\Omega \colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$$

such that $\Omega(P_i) \simeq \mathbf{1}$ for $i \in I$. We take $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{T}') = \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{T})$ and

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}'}(X, Y) = \varinjlim_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}, \\ \lambda + \ell(\alpha) = \mu + \ell(\beta)}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X \otimes P^\alpha, Y \otimes P^\beta)$$

for $X \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda$ and $Y \in \mathcal{T}_\mu$. If $\lambda - \mu$ is not in the image of $\ell \colon \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I} \to L$, then we understand that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}'}(X, Y) = 0$. The tensor product of $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}'$ is the same as the one in $\mathcal{T}$. Then $\cdot \otimes \cdot$ becomes a bifunctor on $\mathcal{T}'$ as in the case of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T}[P_i^{\otimes -1} \mid i \in I]$.

Note that the category $\mathcal{T}'$ has a decomposition $\mathcal{T}' = \bigoplus\limits_{a \in \operatorname{Coker}(\ell)} \mathcal{T}'_a$. We write $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}[P_i \simeq \mathbf{1} \mid i \in I]$.

Lemma A.7.1. *Let* $\mathcal{T}$ *be an abelian* L*-graded tensor category and* $\{(P_i, R_{P_i})\}_{i \in I}$ *be a commuting family of central objects in* $\mathcal{T}$ *as above. Assume that the functor* $\mathcal{T} \ni X \mapsto P_i \otimes X$ *is an exact functor for all* $i \in I$*. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *The functor* $\Omega\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}[P_i \simeq \mathbf{1} \mid i \in I]$ *is exact.*
(ii) $\Omega(P_i)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathbf{1}$ *for any* $i \in I$.
(iii) *Every exact sequence in* $\mathcal{T}[P_i \simeq \mathbf{1} \mid i \in I]$ *is isomorphic to the image of an exact sequence in* $\mathcal{T}$.
(iv) *The functor* Ω *is decomposed into*

$$\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\Upsilon} \mathcal{T}[P_i^{\otimes -1} \mid i \in I] \xrightarrow{\Xi} \mathcal{T}[P_i \simeq \mathbf{1} \mid i \in I],$$

where $\Xi(X, \alpha) = X$ *for* $X \in \mathcal{T}, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}$.

We have the similar results to the one in Proposition A.6.2 for the category $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}[P_i \simeq \mathbf{1} \mid i \in I]$ and the functor $\Omega\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$.

Proposition A.7.2. *Let* $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes)$ *and* $\{(P_i, R_{P_i})\}_{i \in I}$ *be as in* Lemma A.7.1. *Consider the following conditions.*

(a) $\mathcal{T}$ *is an abelian category.*
(b) $\otimes$ *is an exact bifunctor.*
(c) *Every object of* $\mathcal{T}$ *has finite length.*
(d) *If* X *is a simple object of* $\mathcal{T}$, *then* $X \otimes P_i$ *is a simple object for all* $i \in I$.

Set $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}[P_i \simeq \mathbf{1} \mid i \in I]$. *Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *If* $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes)$ *satisfies* (a) *and* (b), *then* $\mathcal{T}'$ *is an abelian category and the functor* $\Omega\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ *is exact.*
(ii) *If* $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes)$ *satisfies* (a)–(d), *then* $(\mathcal{T}', \otimes)$ *satisfies* (a)–(c), *and the functor* $\Omega\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ *sends simple objects to simple objects. Conversely, every simple object of* $\mathcal{T}'$ *is the image of a simple object of* $\mathcal{T}$ *under* Ω.

The following proposition gives a characterization of $\mathcal{T}[P_i \simeq \mathbf{1} \mid i \in I]$.

Proposition A.7.3. *Let* $\mathcal{T}$ *be a tensor category and let* $\{(P_i, R_{P_i})\}_{i \in I}$ *be a commuting family of central objects of* $\mathcal{T}$ *as in* Lemma A.7.1. *Let* $\mathcal{C}$ *be another tensor category and* $\Psi\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{C}$ *a tensor functor. Assume that, for any* $i \in I$, *there exists an isomorphism* $g_i\colon \Psi(P_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}$ *such that the following diagrams are commutative for any* $X \in \mathcal{T}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Psi(P_i \otimes X) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\Psi(R_{P_i}(X))"] & \Psi(P_i) \otimes \Psi(X) \arrow[r, "g_i \otimes \Psi(X)"] & \mathbf{1} \otimes \Psi(X) \arrow[dr] & \\
\Psi(X \otimes P_i) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \Psi(X) \otimes \Psi(P_i) \arrow[r, "\Psi(X) \otimes g_i"] & \Psi(X) \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[r] & \Psi(X).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{A.7.1}$$

Then Ψ *factors as* $\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\Omega} \mathcal{T}[P_i \simeq \mathbf{1} \mid i \in I] \xrightarrow{\Psi'} \mathcal{C}$ *with a tensor functor* Ψ'. *Moreover, such a* Ψ' *is unique up to a unique isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $(\{P^\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}})$ be as in § A.4. Taking $X = P_j$ in (A.7.1), the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Psi(P_i\otimes P_j) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\Psi(R_{P_i}(P_j))"] & \Psi(P_i)\otimes\Psi(P_j) \arrow[r, "g_i\otimes g_j"] & \mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] \\
\Psi(P_j\otimes P_i) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \Psi(P_j)\otimes\Psi(P_i) \arrow[r, "g_j\otimes g_i"] & \mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Hence, Lemma A.4.2 implies that there exists a family of morphisms $g_\alpha\colon \Psi(P^\alpha)\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbf{1}$ $(\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0})$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Psi(P^\alpha\otimes P^\beta) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\Psi(f_{\alpha,\beta})"] & \Psi(P^\alpha)\otimes\Psi(P^\beta) \arrow[r, "g_\alpha\otimes g_\beta"] & \mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1} \arrow[d, "\sim"] \\
\Psi(P^{\alpha+\beta}) \arrow[rr, "g_{\alpha+\beta}"] & & \mathbf{1}.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{A.7.2}$$

We can also check that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Psi(P^\alpha\otimes X) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\Psi(R_{P^\alpha}(X))"] & \Psi(P^\alpha)\otimes\Psi(X) \arrow[r, "g_\alpha\otimes\Psi(X)"] & \mathbf{1}\otimes\Psi(X) \arrow[dr] & \\
\Psi(X\otimes P^\alpha) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \Psi(X)\otimes\Psi(P^\alpha) \arrow[r, "\Psi(X)\otimes g_\alpha"] & \Psi(X)\otimes\mathbf{1} \arrow[r] & \Psi(X)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{A.7.3}$$

is commutative for any $X\in\mathcal{T}$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}$.

We shall define the functor $\Psi'\colon \mathcal{T}' := \mathcal{T}[P_i\simeq\mathbf{1}\mid i\in I]\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}$ as follows. For $X\in\mathcal{T}$, we set $\Psi'(X)=\Psi(X)$. For $X\in\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ and $Y\in\mathcal{T}_\mu$, we define $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}'}(X,Y)\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Psi'(X),\Psi'(Y))$ as the inductive limit of

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X\otimes P^\alpha, Y\otimes P^\beta) &\to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\big(\Psi(X\otimes P^\alpha),\Psi(Y\otimes P^\beta)\big)\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\big(\Psi(X)\otimes\Psi(P^\alpha),\Psi(Y)\otimes\Psi(P^\beta)\big)\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\big(\Psi(X)\otimes\mathbf{1},\Psi(Y)\otimes\mathbf{1}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Here the limit is taken over $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\lambda+\ell(\alpha)=\mu+\ell(\beta)$. It is easy to verify that Ψ' is a well-defined functor. For $X,Y\in\mathcal{T}$, we have an isomorphism

$$\Psi'(X\otimes Y)=\Psi(X\otimes Y)\xrightarrow{\sim}\Psi(X)\otimes\Psi(Y)=\Psi'(X)\otimes\Psi'(Y).$$

Let us show that it is an isomorphism of functors. In order to see this, it is enough to show that for $X\in\mathcal{T}_\lambda$, $Y\in\mathcal{T}_\mu$ $X'\in\mathcal{T}_{\lambda'}$, $Y'\in\mathcal{T}_{\mu'}$, and $f\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}'}(X,X')$,

$g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}'}(Y, Y')$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Psi'(X \otimes Y) \arrow[r, "\Psi'(f \otimes g)"] \arrow[d, "\sim"] & \Psi'(X' \otimes Y') \arrow[d, "\sim"] \\
\Psi'(X) \otimes \Psi'(Y) \arrow[r, "\Psi'(f) \otimes \Psi'(g)"] & \Psi'(X') \otimes \Psi'(Y')
\end{tikzcd} \tag{A.7.4}$$

is commutative.

Assume that f is given by $\tilde{f} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X \otimes P^{\alpha}, X' \otimes P^{\alpha'})$ and g is given by $\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(Y \otimes P^{\beta}, Y' \otimes P^{\beta'})$. We have two sequences of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(X \otimes P^{\alpha} \otimes Y \otimes P^{\beta}) &\xrightarrow[R_{P^{\alpha}}(Y)]{\sim} \Psi(X \otimes Y \otimes P^{\alpha} \otimes P^{\beta}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi(X \otimes Y \otimes P^{\alpha+\beta}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi(X \otimes Y) \otimes \Psi(P^{\alpha+\beta}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi(X \otimes Y)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(X \otimes P^{\alpha} \otimes Y \otimes P^{\beta}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi(X) \otimes \Psi(P^{\alpha}) \otimes \Psi(Y) \otimes \Psi(P^{\beta}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi(X) \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \Psi(Y) \otimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi(X) \otimes \Psi(Y).\end{aligned}$$

We denote the first composition by $\varphi\colon \Psi(X \otimes P^{\alpha} \otimes Y \otimes P^{\beta}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi'(X \otimes Y)$ and the second one by $\psi\colon \Psi(X \otimes P^{\alpha} \otimes Y \otimes P^{\beta}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi'(X) \otimes \Psi'(Y)$.

Similarly, we have two isomorphisms $\varphi'\colon \Psi(X' \otimes P^{\alpha'} \otimes Y' \otimes P^{\beta'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi'(X' \otimes Y')$ and $\psi'\colon \Psi(X' \otimes P^{\alpha'} \otimes Y' \otimes P^{\beta'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi'(X') \otimes \Psi'(Y')$. We can easily see that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Psi'(X \otimes Y) \arrow[d, "\Psi'(f \otimes g)"] & \Psi(X \otimes P^{\alpha} \otimes Y \otimes P^{\beta}) \arrow[l, "\sim"', "\varphi"] \arrow[r, "\sim", "\psi"'] \arrow[d, "\Psi(\tilde{f} \otimes \tilde{g})"] & \Psi'(X) \otimes \Psi'(Y) \arrow[d, "\Psi'(f) \otimes \Psi'(g)"] \\
\Psi'(X' \otimes Y') & \Psi(X' \otimes P^{\alpha'} \otimes Y' \otimes P^{\beta'}) \arrow[l, "\sim"', "\varphi'"] \arrow[r, "\sim", "\psi'"'] & \Psi'(X') \otimes \Psi'(Y').
\end{tikzcd}$$

On the other hand, (A.7.2) and (A.7.3) imply that ψ coincides with the composition $\Psi(X \otimes P^{\alpha} \otimes Y \otimes P^{\beta}) \xrightarrow[\varphi]{\sim} \Psi(X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi(X) \otimes \Psi(Y)$, and a similar relation holds for φ' and ψ'. Hence we obtain the commutativity of (A.7.4). □

Lemma A.7.4. *Under the conditions in the above proposition, we further assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is an abelian category and Ψ is an exact functor. Then the functor $\Psi'\colon \mathcal{T}[P_i \simeq \mathbf{1} \mid i \in I] \to \mathcal{C}$ is exact.*

Remark A.7.5. The commutativity of (A.7.1) does not depend on the choice of an isomorphism g_i $(i \in I)$. Indeed, in a tensor category $\mathcal{T}$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "X \otimes \varphi"'] & X \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathbf{1} \otimes X \arrow[d, "\varphi \otimes X"'] \\
X \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[r, "\sim"] & X \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathbf{1} \otimes X
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative for any $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{1})$.

A.8. **Twisting.** As in the preceding section, let $\mathcal{T} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in L} \mathcal{T}_\lambda$ be an L-graded additive tensor category. Let (Q, R_Q) be an invertible central object of $\mathcal{T}$ such that $Q \in \mathcal{T}_0$. Then $Q^{\otimes n}$ is a central object for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let B be a $\mathbb{Z}$-valued bilinear form on L. We define an additive bifunctor $\widetilde{\otimes}$ on $\mathcal{T}$ by

$$X \widetilde{\otimes} Y = Q^{\otimes B(\lambda,\mu)} \otimes X \otimes Y \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda \text{ and } Y \in \mathcal{T}_\mu.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\widetilde{\otimes}$ gives a new tensor category structure on $\mathcal{T}$, where the associativity is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(X \widetilde{\otimes} Y) \widetilde{\otimes} Z &\simeq Q^{\otimes B(\lambda+\mu,\zeta)} \otimes Q^{\otimes B(\lambda,\mu)} \otimes X \otimes Y \otimes Z \\
&\simeq Q^{\otimes B(\lambda,\mu)+B(\lambda+\zeta)+B(\mu,\zeta)} \otimes X \otimes Y \otimes Z \\
&\simeq Q^{\otimes B(\lambda,\mu+\zeta)} \otimes Q^{\otimes B(\mu,\zeta)} \otimes X \otimes Y \otimes Z \simeq X \widetilde{\otimes} (Y \widetilde{\otimes} Z)
\end{aligned}$$

for $X \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda$, $Y \in \mathcal{T}_\mu$, $Z \in \mathcal{T}_\zeta$.

We say that $(\mathcal{T}, \widetilde{\otimes})$ is the tensor category twisted by Q and B.

Appendix B. Quotient categories

B.1. **Serre category.** In this appendix, we recall the notion of the quotient category of an abelian category by a subcategory. For more details, see [28, § 4.3]. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a *Serre subcategory* of $\mathcal{A}$; i.e.,

(i) $\mathcal{S}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$,

(ii) $\mathcal{S}$ is stable under taking subobjects, quotients and extensions, namely, for any exact sequence $0 \to X' \to X \to X'' \to 0$ in $\mathcal{A}$, the middle term X is in $\mathcal{S}$ if and only if X' and X'' are in $\mathcal{S}$.

For two objects X and Y of $\mathcal{A}$, we have a directed set

$$\mathfrak{L}(X,Y) = \{(X',Y') \mid X' \subset X, Y' \subset Y, X/X' \in \mathcal{S}, Y' \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

with the order given by

$$(X'_1, Y'_1) \le (X', Y') \Leftrightarrow X' \subset X'_1, Y'_1 \subset Y'.$$

If $(X'_1, Y'_1) \le (X', Y')$, we have a canonical homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X'_1, Y/Y'_1) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X', Y/Y').$$

The *quotient category* $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ is defined as follows:

1. The objects of $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ are the same as the objects of $\mathcal{A}$.
2. For two objects X, Y of $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$, the morphisms are given by
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}}(X,Y) := \varinjlim_{(X',Y')\in\mathfrak{L}(X,Y)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X', Y/Y').$$
3. For $X, Y, Z \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S})$ the composition
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}}(X,Y) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}}(Y,Z) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}}(X,Z)$$
is given by taking the inductive limit with respect to $(X', Z') \in \mathfrak{L}(X,Z)$ of the following map:
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X',Y) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, Z/Z') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X', Z/Z').$$

Note that the maps

$$\varinjlim_{(X,Y')\in\mathfrak{L}(X,Y)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y/Y') \to \varinjlim_{(X',Y')\in\mathfrak{L}(X,Y)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X', Y/Y'),$$
$$\varinjlim_{(X',Y)\in\mathfrak{L}(X,Y)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X', Y) \to \varinjlim_{(X',Y')\in\mathfrak{L}(X,Y)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X', Y/Y')$$

are bijective.

Now we can define the functor $\mathcal{Q}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ in an obvious way.

Theorem B.1.1 ([28, § 4.3])**.** *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a Serre subcategory of an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *The quotient category $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ is abelian.*
- (ii) *For an object $X \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{Q}(X) \simeq 0$ if and only if $X \in \mathcal{S}$.*
- (iii) *The functor $\mathcal{Q}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ is exact.*
- (iv) *Every exact sequence in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic to the image of an exact sequence in $\mathcal{A}$ under $\mathcal{Q}$.*
- (v) *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an abelian category and let $\mathcal{H}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be an exact functor such that $\mathcal{H}(X) \simeq 0$ for all X in $\mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ factors through $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ with an exact functor $\overline{\mathcal{H}}\colon \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, such an $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is unique up to isomorphism.*
- (vi) *An additive functor $\mathcal{G}\colon \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{B}$ is exact if and only if $\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{Q}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is exact.*

As for simple objects in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$, we have the following proposition.

Proposition B.1.2. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a Serre subcategory of an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$.*

- (i) *If X is simple in $\mathcal{A}$ and $X \notin \mathcal{S}$, then $\mathcal{Q}(X)$ is simple in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$.*
- (ii) *Assume that every object in $\mathcal{A}$ has finite length. Then every simple object Y in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}(X)$ for a simple object X in $\mathcal{A}$.*
- (iii) *If X_1 and X_2 are simple objects of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{Q}(X_1) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(X_2) \not\simeq 0$ in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$, then $X_1 \simeq X_2$ in $\mathcal{A}$.*

Since the proofs are elementary, we omit them.

The following lemma is also elementary.

Lemma B.1.3. *Let* $\mathbf{k}$ *be a field and let* $\mathcal{A}$ *be a* $\mathbf{k}$*-linear abelian category. Assume the following conditions:*

(a) $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X,Y) < \infty$ *for any* $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$*,*

(b) *any object of* $\mathcal{A}$ *has finite length.*

Then, for any Serre subcategory $\mathcal{S}$ *of* $\mathcal{A}$*, the quotient category* $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ *is a* $\mathbf{k}$*-linear abelian category satisfying* (*a*) *and* (*b*)*.*

The following proposition is easy to verify.

Proposition B.1.4. *Let* $\mathcal{A}$ *be an abelian tensor category such that* $\otimes$ *is an exact bifunctor and let* $\mathcal{S}$ *be a Serre subcategory of* $\mathcal{A}$*. Assume the following condition:*

$$\text{for any } X \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } Y \in \mathcal{S},\ X \otimes Y \text{ and } Y \otimes X \text{ belong to } \mathcal{S}. \tag{B.1.1}$$

Then $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ *has a structure of a tensor category such that* $\mathcal{Q}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ *is a tensor functor.*

References

[1] T. Akasaka and M. Kashiwara, *Finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ., **33** (1997), 839-867.

[2] S. Ariki, *On the decomposition numbers of the Hecke algebra of* $G(M,1,n)$, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), 789-808.

[3] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups. I.* Ann. Sci. École. Norm. Sup. **10** (4) (1977), 441-472.

[4] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov-Lauda algebras*, Invent. Math., **178** (2009) 451–484.

[5] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[6] ______, *Quantum affine algebras and affine Hecke algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **174** (2) (1996), 295-326.

[7] I. V. Cherednik, *A new interpretation of Gelfand-Tzetlin bases*, Duke Math. J., **54** (1987), 563-577.

[8] E. Date and M. Okado, *Calculation of excitation spectra of the spin model related with the vector representation of the quantized affine algebra of type* $A_n^{(1)}$, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A **9** (3) (1994), 399-417.

[9] V. Ginzburg , N. Reshetikhin and E. Vasserot, *Quantum groups and flag varieties*, A.M.S. Contemp. Math. **175** (1994), 101-130.

[10] D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, *Cluster algebras and quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **154** (2) (2010), 265-341.

[11] M. Jimbo, *A q-analogue of* $U(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$*, Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math.Phys. **11** (1986), 247-252.

[12] V. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[13] S.-J. Kang and M. Kashiwara, *Categorification of highest weight modules via Khovanov- Lauda-Rouquier algebras*, Invent. Math. **190** (2012), 699–742.

[14] S.-J. Kang and E. Park, *Irreducible modules over Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras of type A_n and semistandard tableaux*, J. Algebra **339** (2011), 223–251.

[15] M. Kashiwara, *Crystalizing the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **133** (1990), 249–260.

[16] ———, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.

[17] ———, *Crystal bases of modified quantized enveloping algebra*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), 383–413.

[18] ———, *On level zero representations of quantum affine algebras*, Duke. Math. J. **112** (2002), 117–175.

[19] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **332**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[20] S. Kato, *PBW bases and KLR algebras*, arXiv:1203.5254v3.

[21] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347.

[22] ———, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 2685–2700.

[23] M. Kim, *Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras and R-matrices*, Ph. D. thesis, Seoul National University, 2012.

[24] A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc and J.-Y. Thibon, *Hecke algebras at roots of unity and crystal bases of quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **181** (1996), 205-263.

[25] A. Lauda and M. Vazirani, *Crystals from categorified quantum groups*, Adv. Math., **228** (2011), 803-861.

[26] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Birkhöser, Boston, 1993.

[27] P. McNamara, *Finite dimensional representations of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras I: Finite Type*, arXiv:1207.5860v2 [math.RT].

[28] N. Popescu, *Abelian Categories with Applications to Rings and Modules*, L.M.S. Monographs **3**, London Mathematical Society, 1973.

[29] R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, arXiv:0812.5023v1.

[30] R. Rouquier, *Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras*, arXiv:1112.3619v1.

[31] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Perverse sheaves and quantum Grothendieck rings, in Studies in memory of Issai Schur*, Prog. Math. **210**, Birkhäuser, 2002, 345-365.

[32] ———, *Canonical bases and KLR algebras*, J. reine angew. Math. **659** (2011), 67–100.

[33] M. Vazirani, *Parameterizing Hecke algebra modules: Bernstein-Zelevinsky multisegments, Kleshchev multipartitions, and crystal graphs*, Tranform. Groups **7** (3) (2002), 267–303.

[34] A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups. II. On irreducible representations of $GL(n)$*, Ann. Sci. École. Norm. Sup. **13** (2) (1980), 165–210.

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: `sjkang@math.snu.ac.kr`

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan, & Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: `masaki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`

School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130-722, Korea
E-mail address: `mhkim@kias.re.kr`